

**Math 201-003 Fall 2019 (Darij Grinberg): homework set 2**

due date: Wednesday 2019-10-16 at the beginning of class,  
or before that by email or Blackboard

**Please solve 5 of the 6 exercises!**

**Exercise 1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $n$  numbers  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  denote the  $n \times n$ -matrix whose diagonal entries are  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  (in this order from top to bottom), while its off-diagonal entries are all 0. In other words,

$$\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is called the *diagonal matrix with diagonal entries*  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ .

(a) Given any  $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $3 \times 3$ -matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ a' & b' & c' \\ a'' & b'' & c'' \end{pmatrix}$ , compute  $\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, d_3) \cdot A$ .

(b) State a rule for computing  $\text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \cdot A$ , where  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and where  $A$  is any  $n \times m$ -matrix (with  $m$  being any nonnegative integer).

(c) State a rule for computing  $A \cdot \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , where  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and where  $A$  is any  $m \times n$ -matrix (with  $m$  being any nonnegative integer).

[You don't have to prove these rules.]

We recall the definition of linear combinations ([Strickland, Definition 7.1]):

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  be some vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, a *linear combination* of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  means a vector that can be written in the form

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k v_k \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  in this definition are called *coefficients* of this linear combination; but they are not necessarily unique (in fact, they are unique if  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  are linearly independent; we shall see this later in class). For example, if  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , then the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$  is a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , and can be written as  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$  in many ways:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 1v_1 + 1v_2 = 3v_1 + 0v_2 = 0v_1 + \frac{3}{2}v_2 = 2v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2 = \cdots$$

We recall the definition of linear independence ([Strickland, §8]):

**Definition 0.2.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  be some vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(a) A *relation* (more precisely: *linear relation*) between  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  means a choice of reals  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  satisfying

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0.$$

(Here,  $0$  denotes the zero vector  $0_{n \times 1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .)

(b) The *trivial relation* between  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  is the relation obtained by choosing  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$ . Clearly,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  always have this trivial relation.

(c) We say that the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  (or, more precisely, the list  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$  of these vectors) are *independent* (more precisely: *linearly independent*) if the only relation between  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  is the trivial relation. Otherwise, we say that these vectors are *dependent*.

For example, if we set

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are linearly dependent, since they have the nontrivial relation  $1v_1 + (-2)v_2 + 1v_3 = 0$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Are  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  dependent? (If yes, show a nontrivial relation between them.)

(b) Is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$  a linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ ? (If yes, provide a choice of coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  that demonstrate it.)

(c) Is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$  a linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ ? (If yes, provide a choice of coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  that demonstrate it.)

Clearly, rearranging a list of vectors does not change the set of its linear combinations: In fact, the rearranged vectors will have the same linear combinations as the original vectors; only the coefficients will change their order. For example, the linear combinations of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are the same as the linear combinations of  $v_3, v_1, v_2$ , since  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \lambda_3 v_3 = \lambda_3 v_3 + \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$ .

Rearranging a list of vectors also does not change its linear dependence or independence: In fact, any linear relation between the rearranged vectors is a rearranged linear relation between the original vectors, and vice versa. Thus,  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are dependent if and only if  $v_3, v_1, v_2$  are dependent.

What happens to lists of vectors when we duplicate a vector? The following exercise answers this question (at least when it's the last vector that is duplicated):

**Exercise 3.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  be some vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $v_{k-1} = v_k$ . Justify the following (i.e., sketch the proofs):

(a) The vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  are linearly dependent.

(b) The linear combinations of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  are the same vectors as the linear combinations of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we let  $e_k$  denote the column vector of size  $n$  whose  $k$ -th entry is 1 and whose all other entries are 0.

(For example: If  $n = 3$ , then  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .)

Explain why each vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear combination of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ .

Definition 0.1 and Definition 0.2 make sense not only for vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For example, we can replace “vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ” by “polynomials” in both definitions, and obtain definitions for linear combinations and linear independence of polynomials (in one variable  $x$ , with real coefficients).<sup>1</sup> Thus:

- The polynomial  $x^2 + 2x - 1$  is a linear combination of the polynomials  $x^2$  and  $(x - 1)^2$ , since

$$x^2 + 2x - 1 = 2 \cdot x^2 + (-1) \cdot (x - 1)^2.$$

- The polynomials  $x^2$ ,  $(x - 1)^2$ ,  $(x - 2)^2$  and  $(x - 3)^2$  are linearly dependent, since there exists a nontrivial linear relation between them: If we take  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -3$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$  and  $\lambda_4 = -1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 x^2 + \lambda_2 (x - 1)^2 + \lambda_3 (x - 2)^2 + \lambda_4 (x - 3)^2 \\ = 1 \cdot x^2 + (-3) \cdot (x - 1)^2 + 3 \cdot (x - 2)^2 + (-1) \cdot (x - 3)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- The polynomials  $x^2$ ,  $(x - 1)^2$  and  $(x - 2)^2$  are linearly independent, because the only relation

$$\lambda_1 x^2 + \lambda_2 (x - 1)^2 + \lambda_3 (x - 2)^2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

between them is the trivial one. How to be sure of this? One way is to assume that (1) holds, and try to derive  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$  from it. This can be done, for example, by comparing coefficients: Straightforward expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 x^2 + \lambda_2 (x - 1)^2 + \lambda_3 (x - 2)^2 \\ = \lambda_1 x^2 + \lambda_2 (x^2 - 2x + 1) + \lambda_3 (x^2 - 4x + 4) \\ = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) x^2 + (-2\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_3) x + (\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3), \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Of course, we then have to interpret 0 as the zero polynomial rather than the zero vector  $0_{n \times 1}$ .

and thus (1) rewrites as

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)x^2 + (-2\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_3)x + (\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3) = 0.$$

Since equal polynomials have equal coefficients, this is equivalent to the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0 \\ -2\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_3 = 0 \\ \lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3 = 0 \end{cases},$$

whose only solution is  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (0, 0, 0)$  (you know how to check this) – and thus the relation must be trivial. So the polynomials  $x^2$ ,  $(x-1)^2$  and  $(x-2)^2$  are linearly independent.

**Exercise 5. (a)** Are the four polynomials  $x^3, (x-1)^3, (x-2)^3, (x-3)^3$  linearly independent?

**(b)** Is 1 a linear combination of these four polynomials?

Similarly, we can define linear combinations and linear independence of matrices: Just replace “vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ” by “ $n \times m$ -matrices” in Definition 0.1 and Definition 0.2<sup>2</sup>. For example, the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a linear combination of the matrices

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (-1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Exercise 6.** Consider the four matrices

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(The letter  $E$  stands for “east”, not for the identity matrix, which some also call  $E$ .)

**(a)** Is the identity matrix  $I_2$  a linear combination of  $N, E, S, W$ ?

**(b)** Are  $N, E, S, W$  linearly dependent?

## References

[Strickland] Neil Strickland, *MAS201 Linear Mathematics for Applications*, lecture notes, 28 September 2013.

<http://neil-strickland.staff.shef.ac.uk/courses/MAS201/>

<sup>2</sup>Of course, we then have to interpret 0 as the zero matrix  $0_{n \times m}$  rather than the zero vector  $0_{n \times 1}$ .