

A hyperfactorial divisibility
Darij Grinberg
brief version

Let us define a function $H : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$H(n) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} k! \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Our goal is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 0 (MacMahon). We have

$$H(b+c)H(c+a)H(a+b) \mid H(a)H(b)H(c)H(a+b+c)$$

for every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark: Here, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (and not the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, as some authors do).

Before we come to the proof, first some definitions:

Notations.

- Let R be a ring. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_{i,j}$ be an element of R for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$. Then, we denote by $(a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq v \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}}$ the $u \times v$ matrix $A \in R^{u \times v}$ whose entry in row i and column j is $a_{i,j}$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$.
- Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $P \in R[X]$ be a polynomial. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we denote by $\text{coeff}_j P$ the coefficient of the polynomial P before X^j . (In particular, this implies $\text{coeff}_j P = 0$ for every $j > \deg P$.) Thus, for every $P \in R[X]$ and every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\deg P \leq d$, we have

$$P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^d \text{coeff}_k(P) \cdot X^k.$$

- Let R be a ring. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be n elements of R . Then, $\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ will mean the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n (from top-left to bottom-right). In other words,
$$\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a_i, & \text{if } i = j; & 1 \leq j \leq n \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j & 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array} \right).$$
- If n and m are two integers, then the *binomial coefficient* $\binom{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is defined by

$$\binom{m}{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{m(m-1) \cdots (m-n+1)}{n!}, & \text{if } n \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is well-known that $\binom{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We are first going to prove a known fact from linear algebra:

Theorem 1 (Vandermonde determinant). Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m elements of R . Then,

$$\det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Actually we are more interested in a corollary - and generalization - of this fact:

Theorem 2 (generalized Vandermonde determinant). Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $P_j \in R[X]$ be a polynomial such that $\deg(P_j) \leq j - 1$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m elements of R . Then,

$$\det \left((P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) \right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Both Theorems 1 and 2 can be deduced from the following lemma:

Lemma 3. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $P_j \in R[X]$ be a polynomial such that $\deg(P_j) \leq j - 1$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m elements of R . Then,

$$\det \left((P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) \right) \cdot \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right).$$

Proof of Lemma 3. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $P_j(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \text{coeff}_k(P_j) \cdot X^k$ (since $\deg(P_j) \leq j - 1 \leq m - 1$). Thus, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have

$$P_j(a_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \text{coeff}_k(P_j) \cdot a_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_i^k \cdot \text{coeff}_k(P_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_i^{k-1} \cdot \text{coeff}_{k-1}(P_j)$$

(here we substituted $k - 1$ for k in the sum). Hence,

$$(P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} = (a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \cdot (\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}.$$

But the matrix $(\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}$ is upper triangular (since $\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j) = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfying $i > j$ ¹); hence,

¹because $i > j$ yields $i - 1 > j - 1$, thus $i - 1 > \deg(P_j)$ (since $\deg(P_j) \leq j - 1$) and therefore $\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j) = 0$

$\det \left((\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j)$ (since the determinant of an upper triangular matrix equals the product of its diagonal entries).

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left((P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) &= \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \cdot (\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \\ &= \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \cdot \underbrace{\det \left((\text{coeff}_{i-1}(P_j))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right)}_{= \prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j)} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) \right) \cdot \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, Lemma 3 is proven.

Proof of Theorem 1. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, define a polynomial $P_j \in R[X]$ by $P_j(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (X - a_k)$. Then, P_j is a monic polynomial of degree $j - 1$. In other words, $\deg(P_j) = j - 1$ and $\text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) = 1$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus, Lemma 3 yields

$$\det \left((P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) \right) \cdot \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right). \quad (1)$$

But the matrix $(P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}$ is lower triangular (since $P_j(a_i) = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfying $i < j$, as follows quickly from the definition of P_j); hence, $\det \left((P_j(a_i))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^m P_j(a_j)$ (since the determinant of a lower triangular matrix equals the product of its diagonal entries). Thus, (1) becomes

$$\prod_{j=1}^m P_j(a_j) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \underbrace{\text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j)}_{=1} \right) \cdot \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right).$$

But $P_j(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (X - a_k)$ yields $P_j(a_j) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_j - a_k)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left((a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m P_j(a_j) = \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_j - a_k) = \prod_{\substack{(j,k) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ k < j}} (a_j - a_k) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j < i}} (a_i - a_j) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Theorem 1 is proven.

Now, Theorem 2 immediately follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 1.

A consequence of Theorem 2:

Corollary 4. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m elements of R . Then,

$$\det \left(\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Proof of Corollary 4. For every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, define a polynomial $P_j \in R[X]$ by $P_j(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (X - k)$. Then, P_j is a monic polynomial of degree $j - 1$. In other words, $\deg(P_j) = j - 1$ and $\text{coeff}_{j-1}(P_j) = 1$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus, applying Theorem 2 to these polynomials P_j yields the assertion of Corollary 4.

Also notice that:

Lemma 5. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (i - j) = H(m).$$

Proof of Lemma 5. We have

$$\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (i - j) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}^2; \\ i > j}} (i - j)$$

(here we shifted i and j by 1, which doesn't change anything since $i - j$ remains constant)

$$= \prod_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}} \prod_{\substack{j \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}; \\ i > j}} (i - j) = \prod_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (i - j) = \prod_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}} \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^i j}_{=i!}$$

(here, we substituted j for $i - j$ in the second product)

$$= \prod_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,m-1\}} i! = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} k! = H(m).$$

Hence, Lemma 5 is proven.

Now, we notice that every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $c \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} H(a+b+c) &= \prod_{k=0}^{a+b+c-1} k! = \left(\underbrace{\prod_{k=0}^{a+b-1} k!}_{=H(a+b)} \right) \cdot \prod_{k=a+b}^{a+b+c-1} k! = H(a+b) \cdot \prod_{k=a+b}^{a+b+c-1} k! \\ &= H(a+b) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (a+b+i-1)! \end{aligned}$$

(here we substituted $a+b+i-1$ for k in the product),

(2)

$$H(b+c) = \prod_{k=0}^{b+c-1} k! = \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k=0}^{b-1} k! \right)}_{=H(b)} \cdot \prod_{k=b}^{b+c-1} k! = H(b) \cdot \prod_{k=b}^{b+c-1} k! = H(b) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (b+i-1)! \quad (3)$$

(here we substituted $b+i-1$ for k in the product),

$$H(c+a) = \prod_{k=0}^{c+a-1} k! = \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k=0}^{a-1} k! \right)}_{=H(a)} \cdot \prod_{k=a}^{c+a-1} k! = H(a) \cdot \prod_{k=a}^{c+a-1} k! = H(a) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (a+i-1)! \quad (4)$$

(here we substituted $a+i-1$ for k in the product).

Next, a technical lemma.

Lemma 6. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} = \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)! \cdot (b+j-1)!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k).$$

The *proof* of this lemma is completely straightforward: Either we have $a+i-j \geq 0$ and Lemma 6 follows from standard manipulations with binomial coefficients, or we have $a+i-j < 0$ and Lemma 6 follows from $\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} =$

$$0 \text{ and } \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k) = 0.$$

Another trivial lemma:

Lemma 7. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_{i,j}$ be an element of R for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}^2$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u$ be u elements of R . Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_u$ be u elements of R . Then,

$$\det \left((\alpha_i a_{i,j} \beta_j)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^u \alpha_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^u \beta_i \cdot \det \left((a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \right).$$

This is clear because the matrix $(\alpha_i a_{i,j} \beta_j)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}}$ can be written as the product

$$\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u) \cdot (a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \cdot \text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_u),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left((\alpha_i a_{i,j} \beta_j)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \right) \\ &= \det \left(\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u) \cdot (a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \cdot \text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_u) \right) \\ &= \underbrace{\det(\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u))}_{=\prod_{i=1}^u \alpha_i} \cdot \det \left((a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq u \\ 1 \leq i \leq u}} \right) \cdot \underbrace{\det(\text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_u))}_{=\prod_{i=1}^u \beta_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, back to proving Theorem 0:

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \\
&= \det \left(\left(\frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)! \cdot (b+j-1)!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 6}) \\
&= \det \left(\left(\frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k) \cdot \frac{1}{(b+j-1)!} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{(b+i-1)!} \cdot \det \left(\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(by Lemma 7, applied to $R = \mathbb{Q}$, $u = c$, $a_{i,j} = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k)$, $\alpha_i = \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!}$ and $\beta_i = \frac{1}{(b+i-1)!}$). Since

$$\det \left(\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a+i-k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,c\}^2; \\ i > j}} \left(\underbrace{(a+i) - (a+j)}_{=i-j} \right)$$

(by Corollary 4, applied to $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $m = c$ and $a_i = a+i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$)

$$= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,c\}^2; \\ i > j}} (i-j) = H(c) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5, applied to } m = c),$$

this becomes

$$\det \left(\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{(b+i-1)!} \cdot H(c). \quad (5)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{H(a) H(b) H(c) H(a+b+c)}{H(b+c) H(c+a) H(a+b)} \\
&= \frac{H(a) H(b) H(c) H(a+b) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (a+b+i-1)!}{\left(H(b) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (b+i-1)! \right) \cdot \left(H(a) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (a+i-1)! \right) \cdot H(a+b)} \\
&\quad \text{(by (2), (3) and (4))} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^c (a+b+i-1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^c (b+i-1)! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^c (a+i-1)!} \cdot H(c) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^c (a+b+i-1)!}{\underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^c (a+i-1)!}_{= \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^c (b+i-1)!}_{= \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{(b+i-1)!}}} \cdot H(c) \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^c \frac{(a+b+i-1)!}{(a+i-1)!} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^c \frac{1}{(b+i-1)!} \right) \cdot H(c) \\
&= \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \quad \text{(by (5))} \\
&\in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(since $\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c \times c}$). In other words,

$$H(b+c) H(c+a) H(a+b) \mid H(a) H(b) H(c) H(a+b+c).$$

Thus, Theorem 0 is finally proven.

Remarks.

1. Theorem 0 was briefly mentioned (with a combinatorial interpretation, but without proof) on the first page of [1]. It also follows from the formula (2.1) in [3] (since $\frac{H(a) H(b) H(c) H(a+b+c)}{H(b+c) H(c+a) H(a+b)} = \prod_{i=1}^c \frac{(a+b+i-1)! (i-1)!}{(a+i-1)! (b+i-1)!}$), or, equivalently, the formula (2.17) in [4]. It is also generalized in [2], Section 429 (where one has to consider the limit $x \rightarrow 1$).

2. We can prove more:

Theorem 8. For every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $c \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{H(a) H(b) H(c) H(a+b+c)}{H(b+c) H(c+a) H(a+b)} \\
&= \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) = \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We recall a useful fact to help us in the proof:

Theorem 9, the Vandermonde convolution identity. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then,

$$\binom{x+y}{q} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{x}{k} \binom{y}{q-k}.$$

(The sum on the right hand side is an infinite sum, but only finitely many of its addends are nonzero.)

Proof of Theorem 8. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$ and every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{a+b}{k} \binom{i-1}{a+i-j-k} \\ &\quad (\text{by Theorem 9, applied to } x = a+b, y = i-1 \text{ and } q = a+i-j) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{a+b}{a-j+\ell} \binom{i-1}{i-\ell} \quad (\text{here we substituted } a-j+\ell \text{ for } k \text{ in the sum}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^c \binom{a+b}{a-j+\ell} \binom{i-1}{i-\ell} \\ &\quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{here, we restricted the summation from } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ to } \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \\ \text{which doesn't change the sum because} \\ \binom{a+b}{a-j+\ell} \binom{i-1}{i-\ell} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, c\} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^c \binom{i-1}{i-\ell} \binom{a+b}{a-j+\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} &= \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^c \binom{i-1}{i-\ell} \binom{a+b}{a-j+\ell} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \\ &= \left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \cdot \left(\binom{a+b}{a-j+i} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \\ &= \left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \cdot \left(\binom{a+b}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now, the matrix $\left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}}$ is lower triangular (since $\binom{i-1}{i-j} = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfying $i < j$). Since the determinant of an lower triangular matrix equals the product of its diagonal entries, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \underbrace{\binom{j-1}{j-j}}_{= \binom{j-1}{0}=1} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\det \left(\left(\binom{a+b+i-1}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) &= \det \left(\left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \cdot \left(\binom{a+b}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by (7))} \\
&= \underbrace{\det \left(\left(\binom{i-1}{i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right)}_{=1 \text{ by (8)}} \cdot \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right) \\
&= \det \left(\left(\binom{a+b}{a+i-j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq c \\ 1 \leq i \leq c}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combined with (6), this yields Theorem 8.

3. We notice a particularly known consequence of Corollary 4:

Corollary 10. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m integers. Then,

$$\det \left(\left(\binom{a_i-1}{j-1} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \cdot H(m) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

In particular,

$$H(m) \mid \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Proof of Corollary 10. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have

$$\binom{a_i-1}{j-1} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k)}{(j-1)!} = 1 \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k) \cdot \frac{1}{(j-1)!}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\det \left(\left(\binom{a_i-1}{j-1} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) &= \det \left(\left(1 \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k) \cdot \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \\
&= \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^m 1}_{=1} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(i-1)!}}_{= \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} k!} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} k!} = \frac{1}{H(m)}} \cdot \det \left(\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \\
&\quad = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \text{ by Corollary 4} \\
&\quad \left(\text{by Lemma 7, applied to } R = \mathbb{Q}, u = m, a_{i,j} = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (a_i - k), \alpha_i = 1 \text{ and } \beta_i = \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{H(m)} \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) = \det \left(\left(\binom{a_i - 1}{j - 1} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \cdot H(m).$$

Thus,

$$H(m) \mid \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j)$$

(since $\det \left(\left(\underbrace{\binom{a_i - 1}{j - 1}}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus, Corollary 10 is proven.

Corollary 11. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m integers. Then,

$$\det \left(\left(\binom{a_i}{j - 1} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) \cdot H(m) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Proof of Corollary 11. This follows from Corollary 10, applied to $a_i + 1$ instead of a_i .

References

- [1] Theresia Eisenkölbl, *Rhombus tilings of a hexagon with three fixed border tiles*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 88 (1999), 368-378; arXiv:math/9712261v2 [math.CO].
<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9712261v2>
- [2] Percy Alexander MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis, vol. 2*, Cambridge University Press, 1916; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960.
<http://www.archive.org/details/combinatoryanaly02macmuoft>²
- [3] Christian Krattenthaler, *Advanced Determinant Calculus: A Complement*, Linear Algebra Appl. 411 (2005), 68-166; arXiv:math/0503507v2 [math.CO].
<http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0503507v2>
- [4] Christian Krattenthaler, *Advanced Determinant Calculus*, S'eminare Lotharingien Combin. 42 (1999) (The Andrews Festschrift), paper B42q, 67 pp; arXiv:math/9902004v3 [math.CO].
<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9902004v3>

²See also:

- Percy Alexander MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis, vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, 1915, <http://www.archive.org/details/combinatoryanal01macmuoft>;
- Percy Alexander MacMahon, *An introduction to Combinatory analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1920, <http://www.archive.org/details/introductiontoco00macmrch>.