

Frobenius's last proof*Peter G. Doyle*

version of 13 April 2019 (arXiv:1904.06573v1)

Errata and comments**Errata and comments**

- **page 6, §4:** The recurrence $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + x^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ holds only for $n > 0$ (since you decided to set $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for all $n < 0$). This is worth saying.
- **page 7:** The "alternative recurrence" also requires $n > 0$. (I would also suggest giving the two recurrences labels, and referring to them in the later proofs that use them.)
- **page 7, proof of Proposition 7:** Before the computation, add "For any $n > 1$, we have" (since the computation is not true for $n \leq 1$).
- **page 8, proof of Proposition 7:** After "This establishes the recurrence" (the last sentence of the proof), I would add ", since combining consecutive addends in the definition of $P(n)$ yields

$$P(n) = (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \lambda \equiv n \pmod{2}}} \left(x^{a(\lambda)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n+5\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right] - x^{a(\lambda+1)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n+5(\lambda+1) \end{bmatrix} \right] \right)$$

and similarly

$$P(n-1)$$

$$= (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \lambda \equiv n \pmod{2}}} \left(x^{a(\lambda)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ (n-1)+5\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right] - x^{a(\lambda+1)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ (n-1)+5(\lambda+1) \end{bmatrix} \right] \right)$$

$$= (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \lambda \equiv n \pmod{2}}} \left(x^{a(\lambda)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n+5\lambda \end{bmatrix} - 1 \right] - x^{a(\lambda+1)} \left[\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n+5(\lambda+1) \end{bmatrix} \right] \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P(n-2) \\
 &= (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \lambda \equiv n \pmod{2}}} \left(x^{a(\lambda)} \left[\left\lfloor \frac{(n-2) + 5\lambda}{2} \right\rfloor \right] - x^{a(\lambda+1)} \left[\left\lfloor \frac{(n-2) + 5(\lambda+1)}{2} \right\rfloor \right] \right) \\
 &= (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \lambda \equiv n \pmod{2}}} \left(x^{a(\lambda)} \left[\left\lfloor \frac{n+5\lambda}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right] - x^{a(\lambda+1)} \left[\left\lfloor \frac{n+5(\lambda+1)}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

"

- **page 9, Proposition 5:** Replace "+Q(n-2)" by "+x^{n-1}Q(n-2)".
- **page 9, Proposition 6:** Under the summation sign, replace the " $-\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{5} \rfloor$ " by " $-\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor$ ".
- **page 10, proof of Proposition 6:** At the beginning of the proof, add "For each $n > 0$, we have". Also, add a period after the computation that follows.
- **page 10, proof of Proposition 6:** Replace "with $a = c(\lambda)$, $b = c(\lambda+1)$ " by "with $a = x^{c(\lambda)}$, $b = x^{c(\lambda+1)}$ ".
- **page 10, §5:** Add a "with" before the chain of inequalities " $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 1$ ", and add a comma after this chain of inequalities.
- **page 11:** I would replace "GS1" by "Proposition 4" on the off-chance someone won't get the abbreviation. Similarly for "GS2".
- **page 11:** In the last displayed equation on this page, replace " $\prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - x^{5k+2})(1 - x^{5k+3})$ " by " $\prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{5k+2})(1 - x^{5k+3})}$ ".
- **page 12:** You seem to use "all-but-equal" by "differing by 1"; this might not be standard usage.
- I found it curious that the polynomials $R(n)$ in your Proposition 6 look very similar to the $C_{2n}(q)$ and $C_{2n+1}(q)$ in

A. A. Kirillov, A. Melnikov, *On a Remarkable Sequence of Polynomials*, 1995.

and in

Shalosh B. Ekhad, Doron Zeilberger, *The Number of Solutions of $X^2 = 0$ in Triangular Matrices over $GF(q)$* , The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **3** (1996), #R2.

Do you see any closer connection?