

Invariant Theory with Applications

Jan Draisma and Dion Gijswijt

http:

[//www.win.tue.nl/~jdraisma/teaching/invtheory0910/lecturenotes12.pdf](http://www.win.tue.nl/~jdraisma/teaching/invtheory0910/lecturenotes12.pdf)

version of 7 December 2009

Errata and addenda by Darij Grinberg

The following is a haphazard list of errors I found in "Invariant Theory with Applications" by Jan Draisma and Dion Gijswijt.

16. Errata

- **Page 5, §1.1:** Replace "Clearly, the elements of V^* are regular of degree" by "Clearly, the elements of V^* are regular functions and are homogeneous of degree".
- **Page 7, §1.3:** "discribed" → "described".
- **Page 8, Example 1.3.2:** "althought" → "although".
- **Page 8, Example 1.3.3:** "with the same exponent" → "with the same coefficient".
- **Page 9, proof of Proposition 1.4.1:** Replace "To each $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ " by "To each $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ".
- **Page 9, proof of Proposition 1.4.1:** In (1.8), the entries in the last column should be $-c_n, -c_{n-1}, \dots, -c_2, -c_1$ (not $-c_n, -c_{n-1}, \dots, c_2, c_1$).
- **Page 9, proof of Proposition 1.4.1:** Replace "shows that $\chi_{A_c}(t) = t^n + c_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \dots + c_1t + c_0$ " by "shows that $\chi_{A_c}(t) = t^n + c_1t^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}t + c_n$ ".
- **Page 9, Exercise 1.4.2:** Replace "that $\chi_{A_c}(t) = t^n + c_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \dots + c_1t + c_0$ " by "that $\chi_{A_c}(t) = t^n + c_1t^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}t + c_n$ ".
- **Page 9, proof of Proposition 1.4.1:** In (1.9), replace " $(s_1(A_c), s_2(A_c), \dots, s_n(A_c))$ " by " $(s_1(A_c), s_2(A_c), \dots, s_n(A_c))$ ".
- **Page 9, proof of Proposition 1.4.1:** Replace "dense in $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ " by "dense in $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ". (This mistake appears twice.)
- **Page 9, Exercise 1.4.3:** Replace "nonzero eigenvalues" by "eigenvalues".
- **Page 10, Exercise 1.4.3:** Replace "distinct and nonzero" by "nonzero".

- **Page 10, Exercise 1.4.3:** It might be worth noticing that "the fact" you are mentioning about the Vandermonde determinant is a consequence of Lemma 2.2.4 below (using the well-known fact that the determinant of a square matrix equals the determinant of its transpose).
- **Page 15, Theorem 2.2.9:** You misspell "Sylvester" as "Sylverster".
- **Page 15, proof of Theorem 2.2.9:** Remove the comma in "Since, \tilde{A} contains".
- **Page 15, proof of Theorem 2.2.9:** You write: "it follows that $\text{Bez}(f)$ has rank $2k + r$ ". How does this follow? I only see that $\text{Bez}(f)$ has rank $\leq 2k + r$.
- **Page 20:** Replace "every element $T \in U \otimes V$ " by "every element $t \in U \otimes V$ ".
- **Page 20:** Replace "for T to zero" by "for t to zero".
- **Page 21:** "with of k -tensors" \rightarrow "with k -tensors".
- **Page 23:** "so that the v^α , $|\alpha| = k$ a basis of V " should be "so that the v^α with $|\alpha| = k$ form a basis of $S^k V$ ".
- **Page 23:** Replace " $\pi(v_1 \otimes \cdots v_k)$ " by " $\pi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k)$ ".
- **Page 23, Exercise 3.0.13:** Replace " $v \otimes v \cdots \otimes v$ " by " $v \otimes v \otimes \cdots \otimes v$ ".
- **Page 24, Exercise 3.1.4:** You should require that at least one of U and V is finite-dimensional.
- **Page 24, Exercise 3.1.4:** Replace "isomorphism" by "isomorphism".
- **Page 25:** Replace "so that $g(hf) = (hg)f$ " by "so that $g(hf) = (gh)f$ ".
- **Page 25, Example 4.0.8:** Replace "G module" by "G-module".
- **Page 26, Example 4.0.9:** Replace "G module" by "G-module".
- **Page 27, proof of Proposition 4.0.7:** " $(v | v) = \sum_{g \in G} (gv | gv)$ " should be " $(v | v) = \sum_{g \in G} (gv | gv)'$ ".
- **Page 28, Lemma 4.1.1:** Replace "G modules" by "G-modules".
- **Page 28, §4.1:** "of the isomorphism classes of G-modules" should be "of the isomorphism classes of irreducible G-modules".
- **Page 29, Exercise 4.1.2:** Remove the superscript " G ".

- **Page 31, Lemma 5.0.9:** "Dixon's" \rightarrow "Dickson's".
- **Page 32, proof of Hilbert's Basis Theorem:** "Dixon's" \rightarrow "Dickson's".
- **Page 32:** In (5.2), add a whitespace before "for all $f \in V_1$ ".
- **Page 32:** "This a G -module morphism" \rightarrow "This is a G -module morphism".
- **Page 33, Exercise 5.0.13:** "with zero coefficient" should be "with constant coefficient equal to 0".
- **Page 33, Exercise 5.0.13:** I am wondering whether you really mean "subalgebra" here and not "graded subalgebra".
- **Page 34, proof of Theorem 5.1.1:** Replace " $|\beta| \leq |G|$ " by " $|\beta| \leq |G|$ ".
- **Page 34, proof of Theorem 5.1.1:** Replace " $p_j = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} f_\alpha z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ " by " $p_j = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} f_\alpha z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ ".
- **Page 34, proof of Theorem 5.1.1:** You write: "Recall that p_j is a polynomial in $p_1, \dots, p_{|G|}$ ". Did you actually prove this anywhere? (This is a particular case of the following fact: In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, each S_n -invariant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ can be written as a polynomial in the Newton polynomials p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .¹ This is probably worth stating as an exercise in Chapter 2.
- **Page 37, proof of the weak Nullstellensatz:** Replace " $f_{k,\tilde{\zeta}} := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \tilde{\zeta})$ " by " $f_{k,\tilde{\zeta}} := f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \tilde{\zeta})$ ".
- **Page 37, proof of the weak Nullstellensatz:** Replace all three " $\sum_{i=1}^k$ " signs by " $\sum_{j=1}^k$ " signs.
- **Page 37:** "Nulstellensatz" \rightarrow "Nullstellensatz".
- **Page 39, proof of Theorem 6.1.10:** Replace the " $\sum_{i=1}^k$ " sign by a " $\sum_{j=1}^k$ " sign.
- **Page 41, Lemma 6.2.6:** Replace "from $\mathbb{C}[Y] \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ " by "from $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ to $\mathbb{C}[X]$ ".

¹The *proof* of this fact is easy: By Theorem 2.1.1, it suffices to show that the s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n are polynomials in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n . In other words, it suffices to show that s_k is a polynomial in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. But this easily follows by strong induction over k (indeed, (2.18) gives a way to write each s_k for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as a polynomial in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , provided that s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1} have already been written in this form).

- **Page 41, proof of Lemma 6.2.8:** "are a regular maps" \rightarrow "are regular maps".
- **Page 42, Example 6.3.3:** Replace "act on the W " by "act on the vector space W ".
- **Page 43, Theorem 6.3.4:** In property 4, replace " $\phi : Z \mapsto \mathbb{C}^m$ " by " $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ ".
- **Page 43, proof of Theorem 6.3.4:** In the proof of property 3, replace " $\phi : Z \mapsto U$ " by " $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ ".
- **Page 47, proof of Theorem 7.0.14:** Replace "Hence w is in the null-cone N_V " by "Hence w is in the null-cone N_W ".
- **Page 49:** Replace "Let $W \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} W_d$ be a direct sum" by "Let $W = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} W_d$ be a direct sum".
- **Page 49:** In (8.1), replace " V " and " V_d " by " W " and " W_d ", respectively.
- **Page 49, Example 8.0.18:** Replace " $H(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ " by " $H(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], t)$ ".
- **Page 50, Theorem 8.1.1:** Replace "of a finite group" by "of a finite group G ".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** In (8.5), replace " $\text{tr}(L_d(g))$ " by " $t^d \text{tr}(L_d(g))$ ".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** Replace "lets fix" by "let's fix".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** Replace "the inner sum $\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}(L_d(g))$ " by "the inner sum $\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} t^d \text{tr}(L_d(g))$ ".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** You write: "Pick a basis x_1, \dots, x_n of V^* that is a system of eigenvectors for $L_1(g)$ ". It is worth justifying why such a basis exists. (Namely, you are using the apocryphal theorem from linear algebra that says that if U is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space, and if α is an element of $\text{GL}(U)$ having finite order, then α is diagonalizable. You are applying this theorem to $U = V^*$ and $\alpha = L_1(g)$, which is allowed because the element $L_1(g)$ of $\text{GL}(V^*)$ has finite order (since the element g of G has finite order). This is not a difficult argument, but I don't think it is obvious enough to be entirely left to the reader.)
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** Replace "for a system" by "form a system".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** On the first line of the computation (8.7), replace " $(1 + \lambda_n t + \lambda_n t^2 + \dots)$ " by " $(1 + \lambda_n t + \lambda_n^2 t^2 + \dots)$ ".

- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** On the first line of the computation (8.8), replace " $\text{tr}(L_d(g))$ " by " $t^d \text{tr}(L_d(g))$ ".
- **Page 50, proof of Theorem 8.1.1:** On the third line of the computation (8.8), replace " $\det(I - \rho(g)t)$ " by " $\det(I - \rho(g)t^d)$ ".
- **Page 51, §8.2:** Replace "which u and v , differ" by "which u and v differ".
- **Page 51, §8.1:** It is worth pointing out that you use the word "code" to mean "linear code".
- **Page 52:** "Furhermore" \rightarrow "Furthermore".
- **Page 53, Theorem 8.2.6:** Replace " $(x^4 - y^4)$ " by " $(x^4 - y^4)^4$ ".
- **Page 57, Example 9.1.8:** Replace " $\prod_k \prod_l$ " by " $\sum_k \sum_l$ ".
- **Page 59, §9.2:** Replace "Consider the map $\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{GL}[\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, 1/\det(x)]]$ " by "Consider the map $\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, 1/\det(x)])$ ".
- **Page 65, Exercise 10.0.12:** Replace "larger enough" by "large enough".
- **Page 65, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** Replace "standard basis \mathbb{C}^2 " by "standard basis of \mathbb{C}^2 ".
- **Page 65, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** Replace "induced basis of $S^d(V)$ " by "induced basis of $S^k(V)$ ".
- **Page 65, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** Replace " $\sum_i d(\lambda) x^i y^{k-i}$ " by " $\sum_i d_i(\lambda) x^i y^{k-i}$ ".
- **Page 65, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** You claim that " d_0 and every d_i with $c_i \neq 0$ are nonzero polynomials with λ ". I would suggest explaining why they are nonzero. (Namely, the polynomial d_0 is nonzero because $d_0 = \sum_i c_i \lambda^i y^k$ (and because not all c_i are 0); meanwhile, the polynomials d_i with $c_i \neq 0$ are nonzero because they satisfy $d_i(0) = c_i \neq 0$.)
- **Page 66, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** Replace "Then for every i the vector $\mu^k \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} u = \sum_i \lambda^i c_i x^i y^{k-i}$ belongs to U " by "Then for every $\mu \in \{\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$ the vector $\mu^k \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} u = \sum_i \lambda^i c_i x^i y^{k-i}$ (with $\lambda = \mu^2$) belongs to U ".
- **Page 66, proof of Proposition 10.0.13:** In (10.4), replace " $S^d(\text{End}(S^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}))$ " by " $S^d(S^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C})$ ".
- **Page 66, Exercise 10.0.14:** Replace " $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ module" by " $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module".

- **Page 68, §11.1:** Replace "it identifies the space $\text{End}(V^{\otimes k})^{S_k}$ with $(\text{End}(V)^{\otimes k})^{S_k}$ of symmetric tensors" by "it identifies the space $\text{End}(V^{\otimes k})^{S_k}$ with the space $(\text{End}(V)^{\otimes k})^{S_k}$ of symmetric tensors".
- **Page 68, §11.1:** Replace "Applying the following theorem to $H = S_n$ " by "Applying the following theorem to $H = S_k$ ".
- **Page 69, proof of Theorem 11.2.1:** "representations" \rightarrow "representations".
- **Page 69, proof of Theorem 11.2.1:** You write: "By complete reducibility, the map $((U^*)^{\otimes d})^G \rightarrow (S^d U^*)^G$ is surjective". Actually, you don't need to use complete reducibility here: The projection map

$$\pi : (U^*)^{\otimes d} \rightarrow S^d U^*, \quad u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_d \mapsto u_1 u_2 \cdots u_d$$

has a G -equivariant section – namely, the linear map

$$\psi : S^d U^* \rightarrow (U^*)^{\otimes d}, \quad u_1 u_2 \cdots u_d \mapsto \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} u_{\sigma(1)} \otimes u_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\sigma(d)}.$$

Hence, the restriction $((U^*)^{\otimes d})^G \rightarrow (S^d U^*)^G$ of the map π to the G -invariants has a section as well (namely, the restriction of the section $\psi : S^d U^* \rightarrow (U^*)^{\otimes d}$ to the G -invariants). Therefore, this restriction is surjective.

I like this argument more not just because it avoids the use of complete reducibility, but also because it is more general (it works for any subgroup G of GL_n , including those for which the representations involved fail to be completely reducible).

- **Page 70, proof of Theorem 11.2.1:** "If $d = k$ " should be "If $k = d - k$ ".
- **Page 74:** "a fix a stochastic" \rightarrow "we fix a stochastic".
- **Page 75, §12.3:** Replace "formal linear combinations of the alphabet V " by "formal linear combinations of the alphabet B ".
- **Page 75, §12.3:** Replace "Next we define a polynomial map $\psi_T : \text{rep}(T) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{p \in \text{leaf}(T)}$ " by "Next we define a polynomial map $\Psi_T : \text{rep}(T) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{p \in \text{leaf}(T)} V_p$ ". (There were two typos here: " ψ_T " should be " Ψ_T ", and the " V_p " was missing.)
- **Page 76, §12.3:** I suppose that " $\bigotimes_{p \in \text{leaf}(T)} f(p)$ " should be " $\bigotimes_{p \in \text{leaf}(T)} f(p)$ ".