Abstract. This paper considers an invariant of modules over a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, called the critical group. This generalizes the critical groups of complex finite group representations studied in [1,11]. A formula is given for the cardinality of the critical group generally, and the critical group for the regular representation is described completely. A key role in the formulas is played by the greatest common divisor of the dimensions of the indecomposable projective representations.

1. Introduction

Every connected finite graph has an interesting isomorphism invariant, called its critical or sandpile group. This is a finite abelian group, defined as the cokernel of the (reduced) Laplacian matrix of the graph. Its cardinality is the number of spanning trees in the graph, and it has distinguished coset representatives related to the notion of chip-firing on graphs ([17], [24]). In recent work motivated by the classical McKay correspondence, a similar critical group was defined by Benkart, Klivans and the third author [1] (and studied further by Gaetz [11]) for complex representations of a finite group. They showed that the critical group of such a representation has many properties in common with that of a graph.

The current paper was motivated in trying to understand the role played by semisimplicity for the group representations. In fact, we found that much of the theory generalizes not only to arbitrary finite group representations in any characteristic, but even to representations of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras.

Thus we start in Section 2 by reviewing modules \( V \) for a Hopf algebra \( A \) which is finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field \( F \). This section also defines the critical group \( K(V) \) as follows: if \( n := \dim V \), and if \( A \) has \( \ell + 1 \) simple modules, then the cokernel of the map \( L_V \) on the Grothendieck group \( G_0(A) \) has abelian group structure \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \).

To develop this further, in Section 3 we show that the vectors in \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \) giving the dimensions of the simple and indecomposable projective \( A \)-modules are left- and right-nullvectors for the map \( L_V \). In the case of a group algebra \( A = F G \) for a finite group \( G \), we extend results from [1] and show that the columns in the Brauer character tables for the simple and indecomposable projective modules give complete sets of left- and right-eigenvectors for \( L_V \).

Section 4 uses this to prove the following generalization of a result of Gaetz [11, Ex. 9]. Let \( d := \dim A \), and let \( \gamma \) be the greatest common divisor of the dimensions of the \( \ell + 1 \) indecomposable projective \( A \)-modules.

**Theorem 1.1.** If \( \ell = 0 \) then \( K(A) = 0 \), else \( K(A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\gamma\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\ell-1} \).

Section 5 proves the following formula for \#\( K(V) \), analogous to one for critical groups of graphs.

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume \( K(V) \) is finite, so that \( L_V \) has nullity one. If the characteristic polynomial of \( L_V \) factors as \( \det(xI - L_V) = x \prod_{i=1}^\ell (x - A_i) \), then \( \#K(V) = \left| \frac{1}{d} (A_1 A_2 \cdots A_\ell) \right| \).

Section 5 makes this much more explicit in the case of a group algebra \( F G \) for a finite group \( G \), generalizing another result of Gaetz [11, Thm. 3(i)]. Let \( p \geq 0 \) be the characteristic of the field \( F \). Let \( p^\ell \) be the order
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1 And even further to finite tensor categories, although we will not emphasize this; see Remark 3.13 below.
of the $p$-Sylow subgroups of $G$ (with $p^a$ to be understood as 1 if $p = 0$), and denote by $\chi_V(g)$ the Brauer character value for $V$ on a $p$-regular element $g$ in $G$; see Section 3 for definitions.

Corollary 1.3. For any $F[G]$-module $V$ of dimension $n$ with $K(V)$ finite, one has

$$\#K(V) = \frac{P^a}{\#G} \prod_{g \neq e} (n - \chi_V(g)),$$

where the product runs through a set of representatives $g$ for the non-identity $p$-regular $G$-conjugacy classes. In particular, the quantity on the right is a positive integer.

The question of when the abelian group $K(V)$ is finite, as opposed to having a free part, occupies Section 6. The crucial condition is a generalization of faithfulness for semisimple finite group representations: one needs the $A$-module $V$ to be tensor-rich in the sense that every simple $A$-module occurs in at least one of its tensor powers $V^\otimes k$. In fact, we show that tensor-richness implies something much stronger about the map $L_V$: its submatrix $L_V$ obtained by striking out the row and column indexed by the trivial $A$-module turns out to be a nonsingular $M$-matrix, that is, the inverse $(L_V)^{-1}$ has all nonnegative entries.

Theorem 1.4. The following are equivalent for an $A$-module $V$.

(i) $L_V$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.
(ii) $L_V$ is nonsingular.
(iii) $L_V$ has rank $\ell$, so nullity 1.
(iv) $K(V)$ is finite.
(v) $V$ is tensor-rich.

The question of which $A$-modules $V$ are tensor-rich is answered completely for group algebras $A = F[G]$ via a result of Brauer in Section 7. We suspect that the many questions on finite-dimensional Hopf algebras raised here (Questions 3.12, 5.2, 5.11, 6.9) have good answers in general, not just for group algebras.

1.1. Notations and standing assumptions. Throughout this paper, $F$ will be an algebraically closed field, and $A$ will be a finite-dimensional algebra over $F$. Outside of Section 2.7 we will further assume that $A$ is a Hopf algebra. We denote by $\dim V$ the dimension of an $F$-vector space $V$. Only finite-dimensional $A$-modules $V$ will be considered. All tensor products are over $F$.

Vectors $v$ in $R^m$ for various rings $R$ are regarded as column vectors, with $v_i$ denoting their $i^{th}$ coordinate. The $(i, j)$ entry of a matrix $M$ will be denoted $M_{i, j}$. (Caveat lector: Most of the matrices appearing in this paper belong to $Z^{m \times m'}$ or $C^{m \times m'}$, even when they are constructed from $F$-vector spaces. In particular, the rank of such a matrix is always understood to be its rank over $Q$ or $C$.)

Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{\ell+1}$ (resp., $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{\ell+1}$) be the inequivalent simple (resp., indecomposable projective) $A$-modules, with $\text{top}(P_i) := P_i / \text{rad} P_i = S_i$. Define two vectors $s$ and $p$ in $Z^{\ell+1}$ as follows:

$$s := [\dim(S_1), \ldots, \dim(S_{\ell+1})]^T, \quad p := [\dim(P_1), \ldots, \dim(P_{\ell+1})]^T.$$

2. Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras

2.1. Finite-dimensional algebras. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field $F$. Unless explicitly mentioned otherwise, we will only consider left $A$-modules $V$, with $\dim V := \dim_F V$ finite, and all tensor products $\otimes$ will be over the field $F$. We recall several facts about such modules; see, e.g., Webb [31, Chap. 7] and particularly [31, Thm. 7.3.9]. The left-regular $A$-module $A$ has a decomposition

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell+1} P_i^{\dim S_i}. \quad (2.1)$$
For an $A$-module $V$, if $[V : S_i]$ denotes the multiplicity of $S_i$ as a composition factor of $V$, then

\[(2.2) \quad [V : S_i] = \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_i, V).\]

There are two Grothendieck groups, $G_0(A)$ and $K_0(A)$:

- The first one, $G_0(A)$, is defined as the quotient of the free abelian group on the set of all isomorphism classes $[V]$ of $A$-modules $V$, subject to the relations $[U] - [V] + [W]$ for each short exact sequence $0 \to U \to V \to W \to 0$ of $A$-modules. This group has a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis consisting of the classes $[S_1], \ldots, [S_{\ell+1}]$, due to the Jordan-Hölder theorem.

- The second one, $K_0(A)$, is defined as the quotient of the free abelian group on the set of all isomorphism classes $[V]$ of projective $A$-modules $V$, subject to the relations $[U] - [V] + [W]$ for each direct sum decomposition $V = U \oplus W$ of $A$-modules. This group has a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis consisting of the classes $[P_1], \ldots, [P_{\ell+1}]$, due to the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem.

Note that (2.1) implies the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** For a finite-dimensional algebra $A$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$, in $K_0(A)$, the class $[A]$ of the left-regular $A$-module has the expansion $[A] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} (\dim S_i)[P_i]$.

The two bases of $G_0(A)$ and $K_0(A)$ give rise to group isomorphisms $G_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \cong K_0(A)$. There is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear pairing $K_0(A) \times G_0(A) \to \mathbb{Z}$ induced from $\langle [P], [S] \rangle := \dim \text{Hom}_A(P, S)$. This is a perfect pairing since the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis elements satisfy

\[(\langle [P_i], [S_j] \rangle) = \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_i, S_j) = [S_j : S_i] = \delta_{i,j}\]

(where (2.2) was used for the second equality). There is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $K_0(A) \to G_0(A)$ which sends the class $[P]$ of a projective $A$-module $P$ in $K_0(A)$ to the class $[P]$ in $G_0(A)$. This map is expressed in the usual bases by the Cartan matrix $C$ of $A$; this is the integer $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$-matrix having entries

\[(2.3) \quad C_{i,j} := [P_j : S_i] = \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_i, P_j).\]

If one chooses orthogonal idempotents $e_i$ in $A$ for which $P_i \cong Ae_i$ as $A$-modules, then one can reformulate

\[(2.4) \quad C_{i,j} = \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_i, P_j) = \dim \text{Hom}_A(Ae_i, Ae_j) = \dim (e_i Ae_j)\]

where the last equality used the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_A(Ae_i, V) \cong eV$ sending $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(1)$, for any $A$-module $V$ and any idempotent $e$ of $A$; see, e.g., [31, Prop. 7.4.1 (3)].

Taking dimensions of both sides in (2.1) identifies the dot product of $s$ and $p$.

**Proposition 2.2.** If $A$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field, then $s^T p = \dim(A)$.

On the other hand, the definition (2.3) of the Cartan matrix $C$ immediately yields the following:

**Proposition 2.3.** If $A$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field, then $p^T = s^T C$.

### 2.2 Hopf algebras

Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$, with

- counit $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{F}$,
- coproduct $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$,
- antipode $\alpha : A \to A$.

**Example 2.4.** Our main motivating example is the group algebra $A = \mathbb{F}G = \{ \sum_{g \in G} c_g g : c_g \in \mathbb{F} \}$, for a finite group $G$, with $\mathbb{F}$ of arbitrary characteristic. For $g \in G$, the corresponding basis element $g$ of $\mathbb{F}G$ has

- $\epsilon(g) = 1$,
- $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$,
- $\alpha(g) = g^{-1}$.
Example 2.5. For integers $m,n > 0$ with $m$ dividing $n$, the generalized Taft Hopf algebra $A = H_{n,m}$ is discussed in Cibils [5] and in Li and Zhang [18]. As an algebra, it is a skew group ring [20, Example 4.1.6]

$$H_{n,m} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \ltimes \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^m)$$

for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{e,g,g^2,\ldots,g^{n-1}\}$ acting on coefficients in a truncated polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}[x]/(x^m)$, via $g \cdot x = \omega^{-1}x$, with $\omega$ a primitive $n^{th}$ root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$. That is, the algebra $H_{n,m}$ is the quotient of the free associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra on two generators $g, x$, subject to the relations $g^n = 1, x^m = 0$ and $xg = \omega gx$. It has dimension $mn$, with $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{g^i x^j : 0 \leq i < n$ and $0 \leq j < m\}$.

The remainder of its Hopf structure is determined by these choices:

$$\begin{align*}
\epsilon(g) &= 1, & \epsilon(x) &= 0, \\
\Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, & \Delta(x) &= 1 \otimes x + x \otimes g, \\
\alpha(g) &= g^{-1}, & \alpha(x) &= -\omega^{-1}x.
\end{align*}$$

Example 2.6. Radford defines in [26, Exercise 10.5.9] a further interesting Hopf algebra, which we will denote $A(n, m)$. Let $n > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ be integers such that $n$ is even and $n$ lies in $\mathbb{F}^\times$. Fix a primitive $n^{th}$ root of unity $\omega$ in $\mathbb{F}$. As an algebra, $A(n, m)$ is again a skew group ring

$$A(n, m) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \ltimes \bigwedge \mathbb{F} \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\},$$

for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{e,g,g^2,\ldots,g^{n-1}\}$ acting this time on coefficients in an exterior algebra $\text{\bigwedge}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, via $g \cdot x = \omega x$. That is, $A(n, m)$ is the quotient of the free associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra on $g, x_1, \ldots, x_m$, subject to relations $g^n = 1, x_i^2 = 0, x_i x_j = -x_j x_i$, and $g x_i g^{-1} = \omega x_i$. It has dimension $n 2^m$ and an $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{g^i x_1 \cdots x_m : 0 \leq i < n, J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}\}$, where $x_J := x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}$ if $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k\}$. The remainder of its Hopf structure is determined by these choices:

$$\begin{align*}
\epsilon(g) &= 1, & \epsilon(x_i) &= 0, \\
\Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, & \Delta(x_i) &= 1 \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes g^{n/2}, \\
\alpha(g) &= g^{-1}, & \alpha(x_i) &= -x_i g^{n/2}.
\end{align*}$$

In the special case where $n = 2$, the Hopf algebra $A(2, m)$ is the Nichols Hopf algebra of dimension $2^{m+1}$ defined in Nichols [21]; see also Etingof et. al. [10, Example 5.5.7].

Example 2.7. When $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic $p$, a restricted Lie algebra is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{F}$, together with a $p$-operation $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying certain properties; see Montgomery [20, Defn. 2.3.2]. The restricted universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is then the quotient of the usual universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by the two-sided ideal generated by all elements $x^{[p]} - x^{[p]}$ for $x$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since this two-sided ideal is also a Hopf ideal, the quotient $U(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. The dimension of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is $p^\text{dim}_\mathbb{F} \mathfrak{g}$, as it has a PBW-style $\mathbb{F}$-basis of monomials $\{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \}_{0 \leq i_j < p}$ corresponding to a choice of ordered $\mathbb{F}$-basis $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We return to discussing general finite-dimensional Hopf algebras $A$ over $\mathbb{F}$.

The counit $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ gives rise to the 1-dimensional trivial $A$-module $\epsilon$, which is the vector space $\mathbb{F}$ on which $A$ acts through $\epsilon$. Furthermore, for each $A$-module $V$, we can define its subspace of $A$-fixed points:

$$V^{A} := \{v \in V : av = \epsilon(a)v \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$ 

The coproduct $\Delta$ gives rise to the tensor product $V \otimes W$ of two $A$-modules $V$ and $W$, defined via $a(v \otimes w) := \sum a_1 v \otimes a_2 w$, using the Sweedler notation $\Delta(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2$ for $a \in A$ (see, e.g., [26, Sect. 2.1] for an introduction to the Sweedler notation). With this definition, the canonical isomorphisms

$$\epsilon \otimes V \cong V \cong V \otimes \epsilon$$

are $A$-module isomorphisms. The following lemma appears, for example, as [8, Prop. 7.2.2].

Lemma 2.8. Let $V$ be an $A$-module.

(i) Then, $V \otimes A \cong A^{\otimes \text{dim} V}$ as $A$-modules.
(ii) Also, \( A \otimes V \cong A^{\dim V} \) as \( A \)-modules.

The antipode \( \alpha : A \rightarrow A \) of the Hopf algebra \( A \) is bijective, since \( A \) is finite-dimensional; see, e.g., [20, Thm. 2.1.3], [26, Thm. 7.1.14 (b)], [22, Prop. 4], or [10, Prop. 5.3.5]. Hence \( \alpha \) is an algebra and coalgebra anti-automorphism. For each \( A \)-module \( V \), the antipode gives rise to two \( A \)-module structures on \( \text{Hom}_F(V,F) \): the left-dual \( V^* \) and the right-dual \( {}^* V \) of \( V \). They are defined as follows: For \( a \in A \), \( f \in \text{Hom}_F(V,F) \) and \( v \in V \), we set

\[
(af)(v) := \begin{cases} 
 f(\alpha(a)v), & \text{when regarding } f \text{ as an element of } V^*, \\
 f(\alpha^{-1}(a)v), & \text{when regarding } f \text{ as an element of } {}^* V.
\end{cases}
\]

The following two facts are straightforward exercises in the definitions.

**Lemma 2.9.** We have \( A \)-module isomorphisms \( e^* \cong {}^* \epsilon \cong \epsilon \).

**Lemma 2.10.** Let \( V \) be an \( A \)-module. We have canonical \( A \)-module isomorphisms \( {}^* (V^*) \cong V \cong ({}^* V)^* \).

For any two \( A \)-modules \( V \) and \( W \), we define an \( A \)-module structure on \( \text{Hom}_F(V,W) \) via

\[
(a \varphi)(v) := \sum a_1 \varphi(a_2)v
\]

for all \( a \in A \), \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}_F(V,W) \) and \( v \in V \). The following result appears, for example, as [32, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 2.11.** Let \( V \) and \( W \) be two \( A \)-modules. Then, we have an \( A \)-module isomorphism

\[
(2.6) \quad \Phi : W \otimes V^* \cong \text{Hom}_F(V,W)
\]

sending \( w \otimes f \) to the linear map \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}_F(V,W) \) that is defined by \( \varphi(v) = f(v)w \) for all \( v \in V \).

In particular, when \( W = \epsilon \), this shows \( V^* \cong \text{Hom}_F(V,\epsilon) \).

Next, we shall use a result that is proven in Schneider [28, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \( V \) and \( W \) be two \( A \)-modules. Then, \( \text{Hom}_A(V,W) = \text{Hom}_F(V,W)^A \).

The next four results are proven in Appendix 8.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let \( V \) and \( W \) be two \( A \)-modules. Then, \( \text{Hom}_A(V,W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, \epsilon) \).

**Lemma 2.14.** Let \( U \) and \( V \) be \( A \)-modules. Then, \( (U \otimes V)^* \cong V^* \otimes U^* \) and \( {}^* (U \otimes V) \cong {}^* V \otimes {}^* U \).

**Lemma 2.15.** For \( A \)-modules \( U, V, \) and \( W \), one has isomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
(2.7) \quad & \text{Hom}_A(U \otimes V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, W \otimes V), \\
(2.8) \quad & \text{Hom}_A(V^* \otimes U, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, V \otimes W), \\
(2.9) \quad & \text{Hom}_A(U \otimes {}^* V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, W \otimes V), \\
(2.10) \quad & \text{Hom}_A(V \otimes U, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, {}^* V \otimes W).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proposition 2.16.** Any \( A \)-module \( V \) has \( \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, A) = \dim V \).

Proposition 2.16 implies the following two Hopf algebra facts, to be compared with the two “transposed” algebra facts, Propositions 2.1 and 2.2:

**Corollary 2.17.** Let \( A \) be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field \( F \). Let \( P_i, S_i, p, s \) and \( C \) be as in Subsection 2.7.

(i) The class \([A] \) of the left-regular \( A \)-module expands in \( G_0(A) \) as \([A] = \sum_{i=1}^{s+1}(\dim P_i)[S_i] \).

(ii) The Cartan matrix \( C \) has \( Cs = p \).

\(^2\text{Schneider makes various assumptions that are not used in the proof.}\)
Proof. The assertion in (i) follows by noting that for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1$, one has
\[ [A : S_i] = \dim \Hom_A(P_i, A) = \dim (P_i), \]
where the first equality applied (2.2) and the second equality applied Proposition 2.16 with $V = P_i$.

This then helps to deduce assertion (ii), since for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1$, one has
\[
(Cs)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} C_{ij} s_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} [P_j : S_i] \dim S_j = \left[ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell+1} P_j^{\dim S_j} : S_i \right] = [A : S_i] = p_i,
\]
where the second-to-last equality used (2.1), and the last equality is assertion (i). Thus, $Cs = p_i$.

Note that Corollary 2.17(ii) follows from Proposition 2.3 whenever the Cartan matrix $C$ is symmetric. However, $C$ is not always symmetric, as illustrated by the following example.

Example 2.18. Consider Radford’s Hopf algebra $A = (\mathbb{A}, n, m)$ from Example 2.6, whose algebra structure is the skew group ring $\mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \ltimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$. In this case, it is not hard to see that the radical of $A$ is the two-sided ideal $I$ generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_m$, with $A/I \cong \mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$, and that $A$ has a system of orthogonal primitive idempotents $\{ e_k := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ki} g_i \}_{k=0,1,\ldots,n-1}$, where the subscript $k$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. This gives $n$ indecomposable projective $A$-modules $\{ P_k \}_{k=0,1,\ldots,n-1}$ with $P_k \cong \mathcal{A} e_k$, whose corresponding simple $A$-modules $S_k$ are the simple modules for the cyclic group algebra $A/I \cong \mathbb{F}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]$, regarded as $A$-modules by inflation.

We compute here the Cartan matrix $C$ for $A$, using the formulation $C_{i,j} = \dim (e_i A e_j)$ from (2.4). Recall that $A$ has $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{ g^k x_J : 0 \leq k < n, J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \}$ . Using the fact that the $e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ are orthogonal idempotents, and easy calculations such as $e_i g^k = \omega^{-ki} e_i$ and $x_J e_J = e_J \# J x_J$, one concludes that
\[
e_i \left( g^k x_J \right) e_j = \omega^{-k} e_i x_J e_j = \omega^{-ki} e_i e_J \# J x_J = \begin{cases} \omega^{-ki} e_i x_J, & \text{if } i = j \# J \mod n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Therefore $C_{i,j} = \dim (e_i A e_j) = \# \{ J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} : \# J = j \# i \mod n \}$. This matrix $C$ will not be symmetric in general; e.g. for $n = 4$ and $m = 1$, if one indexes rows and columns by $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$, then
\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

2.3. The Grothendieck ring and the critical group. The group $G_0(A)$ also has an associative (not necessarily commutative) augmented $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra structure:

- the multiplication is induced from $[V] \cdot [W] := [V \otimes W]$ (which is well-defined, since the tensor bifunctor over $\mathbb{F}$ is exact, and is associative since tensor products are associative),
- the unit element is $1 = [e]$, the class of the trivial $A$-module $e$, and
- the augmentation (algebra) map $G_0(A) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is induced from $[V] \mapsto \dim(V)$.

In many examples that we consider, $A$ will be commutative, so that $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$, and hence $G_0(A)$ is also commutative. However, Lemma 2.14 shows that there is a ring homomorphism $G_0(A) \to G_0(A)^{opp}$ sending each $[V]$ to $[V^*]$. Lemma 2.10 furthermore shows that this homomorphism is an isomorphism. Thus, $G_0(A) \cong G_0(A)^{opp}$ as rings. Consequently, when discussing constructions involving $G_0(A)$ that involve multiplication on the right, we will omit the discussion of the same construction on the left.

The kernel $I$ of the augmentation map, defined by the short exact sequence
\[
0 \to I \to G_0(A) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,
\]
is the (two-sided) augmentation ideal of $G_0(A)$. Recalling that the vector $s$ gave the dimensions of the simple $A$-modules, then under the additive isomorphism $G_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}$, the augmentation map $G_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \to \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the map $x \mapsto s^T x$ that takes dot product with $s$. Therefore the augmentation ideal $I \subset G_0(A)$ corresponds to the perp sublattice
\[
I = s^\perp := \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} : s^T x = 0 \}.
\]
We come now to our main definition.

**Definition 2.19.** Given an $A$-module $V$ of dimension $n$, define its critical group as the quotient (left-)$G_0(A)$-module of $I$ modulo the principal (left-)ideal generated by $n - [V]$: $$K(V) := I/G_0(A)(n - [V]).$$

We are interested in the abelian group structure of $K(V)$, which has some useful matrix reformulations. First, note that the short exact sequence of abelian groups (2.11) is split, since $\mathbb{Z}$ is free abelian. This gives a direct decomposition $G_0(A) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus I$ as abelian groups, which then induces a decomposition $$G_0(A)/G_0(A)(n - [V]) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus K(V).$$

Second, note that in the ordered $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $([S_1], \ldots, [S_{\ell+1}])$ for $G_0(A)$, one expresses multiplication on the right by $[V]$ via the McKay matrix $M = M_V$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)}$ where $M_{i,j} = [S_j \otimes V : S_i]$. Consequently multiplication on the right by $n - [V]$ is expressed by the matrix $L_V := nI_{\ell+1} - M_V$. Thus the abelian group structure of $K(V)$ can alternately be described in terms of the cokernel of $L_V$:

(2.12) $$\mathbb{Z} \oplus K(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}/\text{im } L_V,$$

(2.13) $$K(V) \cong s^+ / \text{im } L_V.$$

We will sometimes be able to reformulate $K(V)$ further as the cokernel of an $\ell \times \ell$ submatrix of $L_V$ (see the discussion near the end of Section 5). For this and other purposes, it is important to know about the left- and right-nullspaces of $L_V$, explored next.

3. **Left and right eigenspaces**

A goal of this section is to record the observation that, for any $A$-module $V$, the vectors $s$ and $p$ introduced earlier are always left- and right-eigenvectors for $M_V$, both having eigenvalue $n = \dim(V)$, and hence left- and right-nullvectors for $L_V = nI_{\ell+1} - M_V$. When $A = \mathbb{F}G$ is the group algebra of a finite group $G$, we complete this to a full set of left- and right-eigenvectors and eigenvalues: the eigenvalues of $M_V$ turn out to be the Brauer character values $\chi_V(g)$, while the left- and right-eigenvectors are the columns of the Brauer character table for the simple $A$-modules and indecomposable projective $A$-modules, respectively. This interestingly generalizes a well-known story from the McKay correspondence in characteristic zero; see [1] Prop. 5.3, 5.6.

Let us first establish terminology: a right-eigenvector (resp. left-eigenvector) of a matrix $U$ is a vector $v$ such that $Uv = \lambda v$ (resp. $v^T U = \lambda v^T$) for some scalar $\lambda$; notions of left- and right-nullspaces and left- and right-eigenspaces should be interpreted similarly.

We fix an $A$-module $V$ throughout Section 3; we set $n = \dim(V)$.

3.1. **Left-eigenvectors.** Left-eigenvectors of $M_V$ and $L_V$ will arise from the simple $A$-modules.

**Proposition 3.1.** The vector $s$ is a left-eigenvector for $M_V$ with eigenvalue $n$, and a left-nullvector for $L_V$.

**Proof.** Letting $M := M_V$, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell+1$, one has

$$ns_j = \dim(S_j) \dim(V) = \dim(S_j \otimes V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [S_j \otimes V : S_i] \dim(S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \dim(S_i) M_{i,j} = (s^T M)_j.$$

The full left-eigenspace decomposition for $M_V$ and $L_V$, when $A = \mathbb{F}G$ is a group algebra, requires the notions of $p$-regular elements and Brauer characters, recalled here.

**Definition 3.2.** Recall that for a finite group $G$ and a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$, an element $g$ in $G$ is $p$-regular if its multiplicative order lies in $\mathbb{F}^\times$. That is, $g$ is $p$-regular if it has order coprime to $p$ when $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic $p > 0$, and every $g$ in $G$ is $p$-regular when $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic $p = 0$. Let $p^a$ be the order of the $p$-Sylow subgroups of $G$, so that $\#G = p^aq$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. (In characteristic zero, set $p^a := 1$ and $q := \#G$.) The order of any $p$-regular element of $G$ divides $q$. 


To define Brauer characters for $G$, one first fixes a (cyclic) group isomorphism $\lambda \mapsto \hat{\lambda}$ between the $q^{th}$ roots of unity in the algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of $F$ and the $q^{th}$ roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$. Then for each $FG$-module $V$ of dimension $n$, and each $p$-regular element $g$ in $G$, the Brauer character value $\chi_V(g) \in \mathbb{C}$ can be defined as follows. Since $g$ is $p$-regular, it will act semisimply on $V$ by Maschke’s theorem, and have eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ in $\overline{F}$ which are $q^{th}$ roots of unity when acting on $V$ (or, strictly speaking, when $1 \otimes g$ acts on $\overline{F} \otimes_F V$). This lets one define $\chi_V(g) := \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i$, using the isomorphism fixed earlier. This $\chi_V(g)$ depends only on the conjugacy class of $g$ (not on $g$ itself), and so is also called the Brauer character value of $V$ at the conjugacy class of $g$.

Brauer showed [31 Theorem 9.3.6] that the number $\ell + 1$ of simple $FG$-modules is the same as the number of $p$-regular conjugacy classes. He further showed that the map sending $V \mapsto \chi_V$ induces a ring isomorphism from the Grothendieck ring $G_0(A)$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ is the ring of $\mathbb{C}$-valued class functions on the $\ell + 1$ distinct $p$-regular $G$-conjugacy classes, under pointwise addition and multiplication; see [31 Prop. 10.1.3]. One has the accompanying notion of the Brauer character table for $G$, an invertible $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$ matrix [31 Theorem 10.2.2] having columns indexed by the $p$-regular conjugacy classes of $G$, rows indexed by the simple $FG$-modules $S_i$, and entry $\chi_{S_i}(g_j)$ in the row for $S_i$ and column indexed by the conjugacy class of $g_j$.

**Definition 3.3.** Given a $p$-regular element $g$ in $G$, let $s(g) = [\chi_{S_1}(g), \ldots, \chi_{S_{\ell+1}}(g)]^T$ be the Brauer character values of the simple $FG$-modules at $g$, that is, the column indexed by the conjugacy class of $g$ in the Brauer character table of $G$. In particular, $s(e) = s$, where $e$ is the identity element of $G$.

**Proposition 3.4.** For any $p$-regular element $g$ in $G$, the vector $s(g)$ is a left-eigenvector for $M_V$ and $L_V$, with eigenvalues $\chi_V(g)$ and $n - \chi_V(g)$, respectively.

**Proof.** Generalize the calculation from Proposition [3.1] using the fact that $[V] \mapsto \chi_V$ is a ring map:

$$
\chi_V(g) \cdot s(g)_j = \chi_V(g)\chi_{S_j}(g) = \chi_{S_j \otimes V}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [S_j \otimes V : S_i] \chi_{S_i}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} s(g)_i M_{i,j} = (s(g)^T M)_j.
$$

\[\square\]

### 3.2 Right-eigenvectors

Right-eigenvectors for $L_V$ and $M_V$ will come from the indecomposable projective $A$-modules, as we will see below (Proposition 3.8) and, for group algebras, the stronger Proposition 3.10. First, we shall show some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** For any $A$-module $V$, and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1\}$, one has

$$
[V \otimes P_j^* : S_i] = \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \otimes V : S_j).
$$

In particular, taking $V = \epsilon$ gives a “dual symmetry” for the Cartan matrix $C$ of $A$:

$$
[P_j^* : S_i] = \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \otimes S_j).
$$

**Proof.** The result follows upon taking dimensions in the following consequence of (2.7) and (2.10):

$$
\text{Hom}_A(P_i, V \otimes P_j^*) \cong \text{Hom}_A(P_i \otimes P_j, V) \cong \text{Hom}_A(P_j, \ast) \otimes V).
$$

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.6.** The following equality holds in the Grothendieck group $G_0(A)$ for any $[V] \in G_0(A)$:

$$
[V \otimes P_j^*] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [S_i \otimes V : S_j][P_j^*], \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1\}.
$$

**Proof.** By (3.1), the multiplicity of $S_k$ in the left hand side is

$$
[V \otimes P_j^* : S_k] = \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \otimes V : S_j).
$$

However, one also has in $G_0(A)$ that

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \otimes V = \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \cdot [V] = \sum_i \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_i[S_i \cdot [V] = \sum_i \begin{bmatrix} \ast \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_i[S_i \otimes V]
$$

\[\square\]
and substituting this into (3.3) gives

\[ [V \otimes P^*_j : S_k] = \sum_i [S_i \otimes V : S_j] [P^*_k : S_i] = \sum_i [S_i \otimes V : S_j] P^*_i : S_k \]

where we have used (3.2) in the last equality. One can then recognize this last expression as the multiplicity of \( S_k \) in the right hand side of the desired equation. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.7.** Any indecomposable projective \( A \)-module \( P_i \) has its left-dual \( P^*_i \) and right-dual \( ^*P_i \) also indecomposable projective. Consequently, \( P^*_1, \ldots, P^*_{\ell+1} \) form a permutation of \( P_1, \ldots, P_{\ell+1} \).

**Proof.** Lemma 2.10 shows that \( P_i \) is preserved under taking left-duals. It is well-known [10, Prop. 6.1.3] that projectivity is preserved under taking left-duals. Thus \( P^*_i \) is also indecomposable projective and so is \( ^*P_i \) by the same argument. Then \( P^*_1, \ldots, P^*_{\ell+1} \) form a permutation of \( P_1, \ldots, P_{\ell+1} \) since \( ^*(V^*) \equiv V \).

Since \( \dim(P_i) = \dim(P^*_i) \), the definition of the vector \( p \) can be rewritten as

\[ p := [\dim(P_1), \ldots, \dim(P^*_{\ell+1})]^T = [\dim(P^*_1), \ldots, \dim(P^*_{\ell+1})]^T. \]

**Proposition 3.8.** This \( p \) is a right-eigenvector for \( M_V \) with eigenvalue \( n \), and a right-nullvector for \( L_V \).

**Proof.** Letting \( M := M_V \), for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1 \), using Lemma 3.6 one has

\[ np_j = \dim(V) \dim(P^*_j) = \dim\left(V \otimes P^*_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [S_i \otimes V : S_j] \dim(P^*_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} M_{j,i} p_i = (Mp)_j. \]

In the case of a group algebra \( A = \mathbb{F}G \), one has the analogous result to Proposition 3.4.

**Definition 3.9.** For a \( p \)-regular \( g \) in \( G \), let \( p^*(g) = [\chi P^*_1(g), \ldots, \chi P^*_{\ell+1}(g)]^T \) be the Brauer character values of the (left-duals of the) indecomposable projective \( A \)-modules \( P^*_i \) at \( g \). Note that \( p^*(g) \) is a re-ordering of the column indexed by \( g \) in the \( (\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1) \) table of Brauer characters of the indecomposable projective \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules, whose \((i,j)\)-entry is \( \chi P^*_1(g)_j \). In particular, \( p^*(e) = p \), where \( e \) is the identity in \( G \). Note that this indecomposable projective Brauer character table is also an invertible matrix [31, Theorem 10.2.2].

**Proposition 3.10.** This \( p^*(g) \) is a right-eigenvector for \( M_V \) and \( L_V \), with eigenvalues \( \chi_V(g) \) and \( n - \chi_V(g) \).

**Proof.** Generalize the calculation from Proposition 3.8 using the fact that \( [V] \mapsto \chi_V \) is a ring map:

\[ \chi_V(g) \cdot p^*(g)_j = \chi_V(g) \chi P^*_j(g) = \chi V \otimes P^*_j(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [S_i \otimes V : S_j] \chi P^*_i(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} M_{j,i} p^*(g)_i = (Mp^*(g))_j. \]

**Remark 3.11.** Note that since the Brauer character tables for the simple \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules and for the indecomposable projective \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules are both invertible, Propositions 3.4 and 3.10 yield full bases for \( C^{\ell+1} \) consisting of right-eigenvectors for \( M_V \) or \( L_V \), and of left-eigenvectors for \( M_V \) or \( L_V \).

**Question 3.12.** Are there analogues of Propositions 3.4, 3.10 for all finite-dimensional Hopf algebras?

In particular, what plays the role of \( p \)-regular elements, and Brauer characters?

**Remark 3.13.** It is perhaps worth noting that many of the previous results which we have stated for a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra \( A \), including Propositions 3.1 and 3.8 on \( s \) and \( p \) as left- and right-nullvectors for \( L_V \), hold in somewhat higher generality. One can replace the category of \( A \)-modules with a finite tensor category \( C \), replace \( G_0(A) \) with the Grothendieck ring \( G_0(C) \) of \( C \), and replace the assignment \( V \mapsto \dim V \) for \( A \)-modules \( V \) with the Frobenius-Perron dimension as an algebra morphism \( \FPdim : G_0(C) \to \mathbb{R} \); see [10] Chapters 1–4. Most of our arguments mainly use the existence of left- and right-duals \( V^* \) and \( ^*V \) for objects \( V \) in such a category \( C \), and properties of \( \FPdim \).
In fact, we feel that, in the same way that Frobenius-Perron dimension $\text{FPdim}(V)$ is an interesting real-valued invariant of an object in a tensor category, whenever $\text{FPdim}(V)$ happens to be an integer, the critical group $K(V)$ is another interesting invariant taking values in abelian groups.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We next give the structure of the critical group $K(A)$ for the left-regular representation $A$. We start with a description of its McKay matrix $M_A$ using the Cartan matrix $C$, and the vectors $s, p$ from Subsection 2.1.

Proposition 4.1. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$. Then the McKay matrix $M_A$ of the left-regular representation $A$ takes the form

$$M_A = Cs^T = ps^T.$$  

Proof. For every $A$-module $V$ and any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell + 1\}$, we obtain from [11] Lemma 2.8(i) the equality

$$[V \otimes A : S_i] = [A^\oplus \dim V : S_i] = (\dim V) [A : S_i].$$

Now, we can compute the entries of the McKay matrix $M_A$:

$$(M_A)_{i,j} = [S_j \otimes A : S_i] = \dim(S_j)[A : S_i] = \dim(S_j) \dim(P_i) = s_j p_i = \left(\text{ps}^T\right)_{i,j}$$

using (4.1) in the second equality, and Corollary 2.17(i) in the third. Thus $M_A = \text{ps}^T$ and then $\text{ps}^T = C s^T$, since $p = C s$ from Corollary 2.17(ii). \hfill $\square$

We will deduce the description of $K(A)$ from Proposition 4.1 and the following lemma from linear algebra:

Lemma 4.2. Let $s$ and $p$ be column vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell+1}$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and $s_{\ell+1} = 1$. (In this lemma, $s$ and $p$ are not required to be the vectors from Subsection 2.1.) Set $d := \text{gcd}(p)$ and assume that $d \neq 0$. Let $\gamma := \text{gcd}(p)$. Then the matrix $L := dI_{\ell+1} - \text{ps}^T$ has cokernel

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}/\text{im} L \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/\gamma \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/d \mathbb{Z})^{\ell-1}.$$  

Proof. Note that $s^T L = d s^T - s^T \text{ps}^T = d s^T - d s T = 0$. This has two implications. One is that $L$ is singular, so its Smith normal form has diagonal entries $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell, 0)$, with $d_i$ dividing $d_{i+1}$ for each $i$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}/\text{im} L \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}$, and our goal is to show that $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell) = (\gamma, d, d, \ldots, d)$.

The second implication is that $\text{im} L \subset s^T$, which we claim lets us reformulate the cokernel of $L$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}/\text{im} L \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus s^T/\text{im}(L), \quad \text{so that } s^T/\text{im}(L) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}.$$  

To see this claim, note that $x \mapsto x^T s$ gives a surjection $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, since $s_{\ell+1} = 1$, and hence a short exact sequence $0 \to s^T \to \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$. The sequence splits since $\mathbb{Z}$ is a free (hence projective) $\mathbb{Z}$-module, and then the resulting direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s^T$ induces the claimed decomposition in (4.2).

Note furthermore that the abelian group $s^T/\text{im}(L)$ is all $d$-torsion, since for any $x$ in $s^T$, one has that $\text{im}(L)$ contains $Lx = dx - ps^T x = dx$. Therefore each of $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell)$ must divide $d$.

Note that $\gamma = \text{gcd}(p)$ must divide $d = s^T p$, and hence we may assume without loss of generality that $\gamma = 1$, after replacing $p$ with $\frac{1}{\gamma} p$: this has the effect of replacing $d$ with $\frac{d}{\gamma}$, replacing $\gamma$ with $1$, replacing $L$ with $\frac{1}{\gamma} L$, and $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell, 0)$ with $\frac{1}{\gamma}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell, 0)$ (since the Smith normal form of $\frac{1}{\gamma} L$ is obtained from that of $L$ by dividing all entries by $\gamma$).

Once we have assumed $\gamma = 1$, our goal is to show $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_\ell) = (1, d, d, \ldots, d)$. However, since we have seen that each $d_i$ divides $d$, it only remains to show that $d_1 = 1$, and $d$ divides each of $(d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_\ell)$. To this end, recall (e.g., [9], §12.3 Exer. 35) that if one defines $g_k$ as the gcd of all $k \times k$ minor subdeterminants of $L$, then $d_k = \frac{g_k}{g_{k-1}}$. Thus it remains only to show that $g_1 = 1$ and that $g_2$ is divisible by $d$.

\footnote{Instead of using Lemma 2.8(i) here, we could also have used the weaker result that $[V \otimes A] = \dim(V)[A]$ in $G_0(A)$; this weaker result has the advantage of being generalizable to tensor categories [10], Prop. 6.1.11.}
To see that \( g_1 = 1 \), we claim 1 lies in the ideal \( I \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \) generated by the last column \([-p_1, -p_2, \ldots, -p_{\ell}, d - p_{\ell+1}]^T \) of \( L \) together with the \((1,1)\)-entry \( L_{1,1} = d - p_1 s_1 \). To see this claim, note that \( d = (d - p_1 s_1) + s_1 \cdot p_1 \) lies in \( I \), hence \( p_{\ell+1} = d - (d - p_{\ell+1}) \) lies in \( I \), and therefore \( 1 = \gcd(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{\ell+1}) \) also lies in \( I \).

To see \( d \) divides \( g_2 \), we need only to show that each \( 2 \times 2 \) minor subdeterminant of \( dI - ps^T \) vanishes modulo \( d \). This holds, since working modulo \( d \), one can replace \( dI - ps^T \) by \(-ps^T\), a rank one matrix. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that its statement involves the number \( \ell + 1 \) of simple \( A \)-modules, the dimension \( d \) of \( A \), and the gcd \( \gamma \) of the dimensions of the indecomposable projective \( A \)-modules.

**Theorem 1.1**. Let \( d := \dim A \) and \( \gamma := \gcd(p) \). If \( \ell = 0 \) then \( K(A) = 0 \), else \( K(A) \approx (\mathbb{Z}/\gamma \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\ell-1} \).

**Proof.** The case \( \ell = 0 \) is somewhat trivial, since \( M_A, L_A \) are the \( 1 \times 1 \) matrices \([d], [0] \), and \( K(A) = 0 \).

When \( \ell \geq 1 \), note that Proposition 2.2 gives \( s^T p = \dim A = d \), and Proposition 4.1 yields \( M_A = p s^T \), so that \( L_A = dL_{\ell+1} - ps^T \). Reindexing \( s_1, \ldots, s_{\ell+1} \) so that \( s_{\ell+1} = e \), the result now follows from Lemma 4.2. □

5. Proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

We record here a key observation of Lorenzini [16, Prop. 2.1] that leads to a formula for the cardinality of the critical group \( K(V) \).

**Proposition 5.1** ([16, Prop. 2.1]). Let \( L \) be a matrix in \( \mathbb{Z}^{(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)} \), regarded as a linear map \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \), of rank \( \ell \), with characteristic polynomial \( x \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - \lambda_i) \), and whose integer right-nullspace (resp. left-nullspace) is spanned over \( \mathbb{Z} \) by the primitive vector \( n \) (resp. \( n' \)) in \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \). Assume that \( n' n' \neq 0 \).

Then, the torsion part \( K \) of the cokernel \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} / \text{im} L \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus K \) has cardinality \( \#K = \frac{1}{n'n}(|\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{\ell}|) \).

This lets us prove Theorem 1.2 from the Introduction, whose statement we recall here.

**Theorem 1.2**. Let \( d := \dim A \) and \( \gamma := \gcd(p) \). Assume \( K(V) \) is finite, so that \( L_V \) has nullity one. If the characteristic polynomial of \( L_V \) factors as \( \det(xI - L_V) = x \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - \lambda_i) \), then \( K(V) = \frac{1}{\gamma}(|\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{\ell}|) \).

**Proof.** From Proposition 2.2, we see that \( K(V) \) is isomorphic to the torsion part of \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} / \text{im}(L_V) \).

From Proposition 2.2, we obtain \( s^T p = d(\neq 0) \). Propositions 3.1 and 3.8 exhibit \( s \) and \( p \) as left- and right-nullvectors of \( L_V \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1} \). Note that \( s \) is primitive, since one of its coordinates is \( \dim(\epsilon) = 1 \), while \( \frac{1}{\gamma} p \) is also primitive. Since the integer left-nullspace and the integer right-nullspace of \( L_V \) are free of rank 1 (because \( L_V \) has nullity 1), this shows that \( s \) and \( \frac{1}{\gamma} p \) span these two nullspaces. Then Proposition 5.1 (applied to \( n = \frac{1}{\gamma} p \) and \( n' = s \)) implies

\[
\#K(V) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} p\right)^T s} \left| \frac{\gamma}{d} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{\ell}) \right| = \frac{\gamma}{d} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{\ell}).
\]

The important role played by \( \gamma = \gcd(p) \) in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 raises the following question.

**Question 5.2.** For a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra \( A \) over an algebraically closed field, what does the gcd of the dimensions of the indecomposable projective \( A \)-modules “mean” in terms of the structure of \( A \)?

We shall answer this question for some Hopf algebras \( A \) in Remark 5.10 further below. The following answer for group algebras may be known to experts, but we did not find it in the literature.

**Proposition 5.3.** For \( A = \mathbb{F}G \) the group algebra of a finite group \( G \), the gcd \( \gamma \) of the dimensions \( p \) of the indecomposable projective \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules equals

- 1 when \( \mathbb{F} \) has characteristic zero,
- the order of a \( p \)-Sylow subgroup of \( G \) when \( \mathbb{F} \) has characteristic \( p > 0 \).
Proof. The statement is obvious in characteristic 0, since \( \gamma = 1 \), as \( \epsilon \) is a 1-dimensional projective \( A \)-module.

Thus we may assume \( \mathbb{F} \) has positive characteristic \( p \). We first claim \( \gamma = \gcd(p, q) \) is a power of \( p \). To deduce this, let \( C \) be the Cartan matrix of \( A \). Proposition 2.3 shows that \( p^T = s^T C \). Multiplying this equation on the right by the adjugate matrix \( \text{adj}(C) \), whose entries are the cofactors of \( C \), one finds that

\[
p^T \text{adj}(C) = s^T C \text{adj}(C) = \det(C)s^T.
\]

The positive integer \( \gamma \) divides every entry of \( p \), and hence divides every entry on the left of (5.1). Note that \( \det(C) \) occurs as an entry on the right of (5.1), so \( \gamma \) divides \( \det(C) \), which by a result of Brauer [3 Thm. 1] (also proven in [29] §16.1, Corollary 3] and [7 Theorem (18.25)]) is a power of \( p \). That is, \( \gamma = p^b \) for some \( b \geq 0 \).

All that remains is to apply a result of Dickson, asserting that the \( p \)-Sylow order \( p^a \) for \( G \) is the minimum of the powers of \( p \) dividing the dimensions \( \dim(P_i) \); see Curtis and Reiner [6] (84.15)]. We give a modern argument for this here. Since the \( p \)-Sylow order \( p^a \) for \( G \) divides the dimension of every projective \( \mathbb{F}G \)-module (see [7] §18. Exer. 5), [31 Cor. 8.1.3]), it also divides \( \gamma = p^b \), implying \( b \geq a \). For the opposite inequality, since \( \#G = p^a q \) where \( \gcd(p, q) = 1 \), and \( \#G = \dim \mathbb{F}G = \dim A = s^T p \) by Proposition 2.2, the prime power \( p^b = \gamma \) divides \( s^T p = \#G = p^a q \), and therefore \( b \leq a \). Thus \( b = a \), so that \( \gamma = p^b = p^a \). \( \square \)

Since the number of simple \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules is the number of \( p \)-regular \( G \)-conjugacy classes, the following is immediate from Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 5.3.

**Corollary 5.4.** For the group algebra \( A = \mathbb{F}G \) of a finite group \( G \), with \( \ell + 1 \geq 2 \) different \( p \)-regular conjugacy classes, and \( p \)-Sylow order \( p^a \), the regular representation \( A \) has critical group

\[
K(A) \equiv (\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/(\#G)\mathbb{Z})^\ell - 1.
\]

Since for group algebras, either of Proposition 3.4 or 3.10 identified the eigenvalues of \( L_V \) in terms of the Brauer character values of \( V \), one immediately deduces Corollary 1.3 from the Introduction:

**Corollary 1.3.** For any \( \mathbb{F}G \)-module \( V \) of dimension \( n \) with \( K(V) \) finite, one has

\[
\#K(V) = \frac{p^d}{\#G} \prod_{g \in e} (n - \chi_V(g)),
\]

where the product runs through a set of representatives \( g \) for the non-identity \( p \)-regular \( G \)-conjugacy classes. In particular, the quantity on the right is a positive integer.

**Example 5.5.** Let us compute what some of the foregoing results say when \( A = \mathbb{F}G \) for the symmetric group \( G = S_4 \), and \( \mathbb{F} \) has characteristic \( p \), assuming some facts about modular \( S_N \)-representations that can be found, e.g., in James and Kerber [14]. Every field \( \mathbb{F} \) is a splitting field for each \( S_N \), so we may assume \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p \). Furthermore one need only consider three cases, namely \( p = 2, 3 \) and \( p \geq 5 \), since \( \mathbb{F}S_N \) is semisimple for \( p > N \), and in that case, the theory is the same as in characteristic zero. The simple \( A \)-modules can be indexed \( D^4 \) where \( \lambda \) are the \( p \)-regular partitions of \( N = 4 \), that is, those partitions having no parts repeated \( p \) or more times. For \( p = 2, 3 \), we have the following Brauer character tables and Cartan matrices (see [31] Example 10.1.5):
while for \( p \geq 5 \), the Brauer character table is the ordinary one (and the Cartan matrix \( C \) is the identity):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    e & (ij) & (ij)(kl) & (ijk) & (ijkl) \\
    D^4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
    D^{31} & 3 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
    D^{22} & 2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
    D^{21} & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
    D^{111} & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In each case, \( s \) is the first column of the Brauer character table, \( p^T = s^T C \), and \( \gamma = \gcd(p) \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>([1, 2]^T)</td>
<td>([8, 8]^T)</td>
<td>(8 = 2^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>([1, 3, 1]^T)</td>
<td>([3, 3, 3]^T)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 5 )</td>
<td>([1, 3, 2, 3, 3]^T)</td>
<td>([1, 3, 3, 1]^T)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that \( \gamma \) is the order \( p^\alpha \) of the \( p \)-Sylow subgroups for \( G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \) in each case.

In Section 6, we will show that the critical group \( K(V) \) is finite if and only if \( V \) is tensor-rich. One can read off which simple \( \mathbb{F}_4 \)-modules \( V = D^3 \) are tensor-rich using Theorem 7.3 below: this holds exactly when the only \( g \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \) satisfying \( \chi_V(g) = n \) is \( g = e \). Perusing the above tables, one sees that in each case, the simple modules labeled \( D^4, D^{22}, D^{111} \) are the ones which are not tensor-rich. However, the module \( V = D^{31} \) is tensor-rich for each \( p \), and one can use its character values \( \chi_V(g) \) to compute \( M_V, L_V, K(V) \) and check Corollary 1.3 in each case as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( M_V ) for ( V = D^{31} )</th>
<th>( L_V = nI - M_V )</th>
<th>Smith form of ( L_V )</th>
<th>( K(V) )</th>
<th>( #K(V) = \frac{\gamma}{\gcd}\prod_{g \in e}(n - \chi_V(g)) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \ 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \ 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 2 &amp; -1 \ -1 &amp; 2 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 1 = \frac{8}{24}(2 - (-1)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 2 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \ 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 3 &amp; -2 &amp; 0 &amp; -1 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 3 &amp; -2 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; -1 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} )</td>
<td>( 4 = \frac{3}{24}(3 - 1)(3 - (-1))(3 - (-1)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 5 )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 3 &amp; -1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; -2 &amp; -1 &amp; 1 \ 0 &amp; -1 &amp; 3 &amp; -1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; -1 &amp; -1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; -1 &amp; 3 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \begin{pmatrix} 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \end{pmatrix} )</td>
<td>( \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} )</td>
<td>( 4 = \frac{1}{24}(3 - 1)(3 - 0)(3 - (-1))(3 - (-1)) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The answer \( K(D^{31}) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \) for \( p \geq 5 \) is also consistent with Gaetz [11, Example 6].

**Example 5.6.** The above examples with \( G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \) are slightly deceptive, in that, for each prime \( p \), there exists an \( \mathbb{F}_4 \)-module \( P_i \) having dim \( P_i = \gamma = \gcd(p) \). This fails for \( G = \mathbb{Z}_5 \), e.g., examining \( \mathbb{F}_3 \mathbb{Z}_5 \)-modules, one finds that \( s = (1, 1, 4, 4, 6) \) and \( p = (6, 6, 9, 9, 6) \), so that \( \gamma = 3 \), but dim \( P_i \neq 3 \) for all \( i \).

**Example 5.7.** Working over an algebraically closed field \( \mathbb{F} \) of characteristic zero, the generalized Taft Hopf algebra \( A = H_{n,m} \) from Example 2.5 has dimension \( mn \). It has \( \ell + 1 = n \) projective indecomposable representations \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \), each of dimension \( m \), with top \( S_i = \text{top}(P_i) \) one-dimensional (see [5, §4] and [18, §2]). Hence in this case, \( \gamma = \gcd(p) = m \) and Theorem 1.1 yields

\[
K(A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^{n-2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.
\]
Example 5.8. For Radford’s Hopf algebra $A = A(n, m)$ from Examples 2.6, 2.18 all indecomposable projectives $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ are $2^m$-dimensional, so $\gamma := \gcd(p) = 2^m$ and Theorem 1.1 gives

$$K(A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/n2^m\mathbb{Z})^{n-2}.$$ 

Example 5.9. There is a special case of the restricted universal enveloping algebras $A = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ from Example 2.7 where one has all the data needed for Theorem 1.1. Namely, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is associated to a simple, simply-connected algebraic group $G$ defined and split over $\mathbb{F}_p$, as in Humphreys [13, Chap. 1], then there is a natural parametrization of the simple $A$-modules via the set $X/pX$ where $X \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}}$ is the weight lattice for $G$ or $\mathfrak{g}$. Although the dimensions of the projective indecomposable $A$-modules $P_i$ are not known completely, they are all divisible by the dimension of one among them, specifically, the Steinberg module of dimension $p^n$ where $N$ is the number of positive roots; see [13, §10.1].

Consequently, here one has

$$\gamma := \gcd(p) = p^N \quad \text{and} \quad d := \dim(A) = p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}},$$

and Theorem 1.1 implies

$$K(A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{Z})^{p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} - 2}.$$ 

Remark 5.10. All the above examples of Hopf algebras $A$ share a common interpretation for $\gamma = \gcd(p)$ which we find suggestive. Each has a family of $\mathbb{F}$-subalgebras $B \subset A$, which one is tempted to call Sylow subalgebras, with the following properties:

(i) The augmentation ideal $\ker(B \xrightarrow{e} \mathbb{F})$ is a nil ideal, that is, it consists entirely of nilpotent elements.

(ii) $A$ is free as a left $B$-module.

(iii) $\dim B = \gamma$.

We claim that properties (i) and (ii) already imply that $\dim B$ divides $\gamma$ (cf. [31] proof of Cor. 8.1.3]): property (i) implies $B$ has only one simple module, namely $e$, whose projective cover must be $B$ itself, and property (ii) implies that each projective $A$-module $P_i$ restricts to a projective $B$-module, which must be of form $B'$, so that $\dim B$ divides $\dim P_i$, and hence divides $\gcd(\{\dim P_i\}) = \gamma$. Thus property (iii) implies that $B$ must be maximal among subalgebras of $A$ having properties (i),(ii).

- When $A$ is semisimple, then $B = \mathbb{F}1_A$.
- When $A = \mathbb{F}G$ is a group algebra and $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic $p$, then $B = \mathbb{F}H$ is the group algebra for any $p$-Sylow subgroup $H$.
- When $A = H_{n,m}$ is the generalized Taft Hopf algebra, $B$ is the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ generated by $x$, or by any of the elements of the form $g^ix$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$.
- When $A = A(n, m)$ is Radford’s Hopf algebra, $B$ is the exterior subalgebra $\Lambda[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_m$, or various isomorphic subalgebras $\Lambda[g^ix_1, \ldots, g^ix_m]$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- When $A = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the restricted universal enveloping algebra for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a semisimple algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $B = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ for a nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ in a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$.

Question 5.11. For which finite-dimensional Hopf algebras $A$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ is there a subalgebra $B$ satisfying properties (i),(ii),(iii) above?

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We recall the statement of the theorem, involving an $A$-module $V$ of dimension $n$, with $L_V = nI_{\ell+1} - M_V$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{(\ell+1)x(n+1)}$, and its submatrix $\bar{L}_V$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell\times \ell}$.

Theorem 1.4. The following are equivalent for an $A$-module $V$:

(i) $L_V$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.
(ii) $\bar{L}_V$ is nonsingular.
(iii) $L_V$ has rank $\ell$, so nullity 1.
(iv) $K(V)$ is finite.
(v) $V$ is tensor-rich.

The definitions for $V$ to be tensor-rich and for $L_V$ to be a nonsingular $M$-matrix are given below.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $V$ be an $A$-module. Say that $V$ is rich if $[V : S_j] > 0$ for every simple $A$-module $S_j$. Say that $V$ is tensor-rich if for some positive integer $t$, the $A$-module $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{t} V^\otimes k$ is rich.

**Definition 6.2.** Let $Q$ be a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$ whose off-diagonal entries are nonpositive, that is, $Q_{i,j} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then $Q$ is called a nonsingular $M$-matrix if it is invertible and the entries in $Q^{-1}$ are all nonnegative.

To prove the theorem, we will show the following implications:

\[
(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (i),
\]

after first establishing some inequality notation for vectors and matrices.

**Definition 6.3.** Given $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, write $u \leq v$ (resp. $u < v$) if $u_j \leq v_j$ (resp. $u_j < v_j$) for all $j$. Given matrices $M, N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, similarly write $M \leq N$ (resp. $M < N$) if $M_{i,j} \leq N_{i,j}$ (resp. $M_{i,j} < N_{i,j}$) for all $i, j$.

Note that $u \leq v$ and $u \neq v$ do not together imply that $u < v$; similarly for matrices.

6.1. **The implication (i) ⇒ (ii).** This is trivial from Definition 6.2

6.2. **The implication (ii) ⇒ (iii).** Since $L_V$ is singular (as $L_V s = 0$), if its submatrix $L_V$ is nonsingular, then $L_V$ has rank $\ell$ and nullity 1.

6.3. **The equivalence (iii) ⇔ (iv).** For a square integer matrix $L_V$, having nullity 1 is equivalent to its integer cokernel $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell+1}/\text{im}(L_V) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus K(V)$ having free rank 1, that is, to $K(V)$ being finite.

6.4. **The implication (iii) ⇒ (v).** We prove the contrapositive: not (v) implies not (iii).

To say that (v) fails, i.e., $V$ is not tensor-rich, means that the composition factors within the various tensor powers $V^\otimes k$ form a nonempty proper subset $\{S_j\}_{j \in J}$ of the set of simple $A$-modules $\{S_j\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,\ell+1}$. This implies that the McKay matrix $M_V$ has a nontrivial block-triangular decomposition, in the sense that $(M_V)_{i,j} = 0$ for $j \in J$ and $i \notin J$ (otherwise $(M_V)_{i,j} = [S_j \otimes V : S_i] > 0$, which implies $[V^\otimes(k+1) : S_i] > 0$ since $[V^\otimes : S_j] > 0$ for some $k$). This will allow us to apply the following property of nonnegative matrices.

**Lemma 6.4.** Let $M \geq 0$ be a nonnegative matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, with a nontrivial block-triangular decomposition: $\emptyset \subseteq J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ for which $M_{i,j} = 0$ when $j \in J, i \notin J$.

If $M$ has both positive right- and left-eigenvectors $v, u > 0$ for the same eigenvalue $\lambda$, meaning that
\[
Mv = \lambda v, \quad u^TM = \lambda u^T,
\]
then its $\lambda$-eigenspace is not simple, that is, $\dim \ker(\lambda I_m - M) \geq 2$.

**Proof.** Introduce $L = \lambda I_m - M$, so that right- and left-nullvectors for $L$ (such as $v, u$) are right- and left-eigenvectors for $M$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. Decompose $v = v' + v''$ where $v', v'' \geq 0$ are defined by
\[
v'_i = \begin{cases} 
  v_i, & \text{if } i \in J; \\
  0, & \text{if } i \notin J,
\end{cases}
\]
\[
v''_i = \begin{cases} 
  0, & \text{if } i \in J; \\
  v_i, & \text{if } i \notin J.
\end{cases}
\]
Since $0 = L v = L v' + L v''$, one has $L v'' = -L v'$. Also, note that $v > 0$ implies that $v', v''$ have disjoint nonempty supports, and hence are linearly independent. Thus it only remains to show that $L v' = 0$. In fact, it suffices to check that $L v' \geq 0$, since $L v'$ has zero dot product with the positive vector $u > 0$:
\[
u^T(L v') = (u^T L)v' = 0 \cdot v' = 0.
\]
We argue each coordinate \((Lv)_i \geq 0\) in cases, depending on whether \(i\) lies in \(J\) or not. When \(i \not\in J\), one has

\[
(Lv')_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{i,j} (v')_j = \sum_{j \in J} L_{i,j} v_j = 0
\]

using in the last equality the fact that \(L_{i,j} = 0\) for \(i \not\in J, j \in J\). When \(i \in J\), one has

\[
(Lv')_i = -(Lv'')_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{i,j} v''_j = \sum_{j \not\in J} (-L_{i,j}) v_j \geq 0,
\]

using for the last inequality the facts that \(L_{i,j} \leq 0\) when \(i \in J, j \not\in J\), and that \(v_j \geq 0\).

This lets us finish the proof that not (v) implies not (iii): the discussion preceding Lemma 6.4 shows that \(LV = nI_{\ell+1} - MV\) has nullity at least two.

6.5. **The implication (v) \(\Rightarrow\) (i)**. Here we will use a nontrivial fact which is part of the equivalence of two characterizations for nonsingular \(M\)-matrices given by Plemmons [25, Thm. 1]; see his conditions \(F_{15}, K_{34}\).

**Proposition 6.5.** A matrix \(Q \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}\) with nonpositive off-diagonal entries is a nonsingular \(M\)-matrix as in Definition 6.2 if and only if there exists \(x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}\) with both \(x > 0\) and \(Qx > 0\).

A few more notations are in order. For \(x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}\), let \(\overline{x}\) be the vector in \(\mathbb{R}^{\ell}\) obtained by forgetting its last coordinate. For \(M\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)}\), let \(\overline{M}\) be the matrix in \(\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}\) obtained by forgetting its last row and last column. Let \(M_{s,k}\) denote the vector which is the \(k\)-th column of \(M\).

**Proposition 6.6.** For nonnegative matrices \(M, N \geq 0\) both in \(\mathbb{R}^{(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)}\), one has \(\overline{M} \cdot \overline{N} \leq \overline{MN}\).

**Proof.** Compare their \((i, j)\)-entries for \(i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}:

\[
\overline{MN}_{i,j} = (MN)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} M_{i,k} N_{k,j} = M_{i,\ell+1} N_{\ell+1,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} M_{i,k} N_{k,j} = M_{i,\ell+1} N_{\ell+1,j} + \left(\overline{M} \cdot \overline{N}\right)_{i,j} \geq \left(\overline{M} \cdot \overline{N}\right)_{i,j}. \quad \Box
\]

The following gives a useful method to produce nonsingular \(M\)-matrices, to be applied to \(M = M_V\) below.

**Proposition 6.7.** Assume one has an eigenvector equation

\[
Mx = \lambda x
\]

with a nonnegative matrix \(M \geq 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)}\), a real scalar \(\lambda\), and a positive eigenvector \(x > 0\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}\). Let \(\overline{L} := \lambda I_{\ell} - \overline{M}\).

(i) One always has \(\lambda \geq 0\), and

\[
\overline{M} \overline{x} \leq \lambda \overline{x}.
\]

Consequently, \(\overline{L} \overline{x} \geq 0\).

(ii) Under the additional hypothesis that \(M\) has positive last column \(M_{s,\ell+1} > 0\), then

\[
\overline{M} \overline{x} < \lambda \overline{x}.
\]

Consequently, (under this hypothesis) \(\overline{L}\) is a nonsingular \(M\)-matrix, since both \(\overline{x} > 0\) and \(\overline{L} \overline{x} > 0\).

\(^4\)See [12] for a more self-contained proof of the implication we are using, namely that if one has a matrix \(Q \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}\) with \(Q_{i,j} \leq 0\) for \(i \neq j\), and a vector \(x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}\) with both \(x > 0\) and \(Qx > 0\), then \(Q\) is nonsingular.

\(^5\)This notation will not conflict with the notation \(\overline{LV}\) used (e.g.) in Theorem 1.4 because we shall re-index the simple \(A\)-modules in such a way that the last row and the last column of \(L_V\) are the ones corresponding to \(e\).
(iii) Let $t$ be a positive integer. Set $\bar{y} := \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k \bar{x}$. Then, $\bar{y} > 0$. Under the additional hypothesis (different from (ii)) that the last column of $\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k$ is strictly positive, we also have

$$\overline{M\bar{y}} < \lambda \bar{y}.$$ 

Consequently, (under this hypothesis) $\overline{L}$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, since both $\bar{y} > 0$ and $\overline{L\bar{y}} > 0$.

**Proof.** The nonnegativity $\lambda \geq 0$ follows from $Mx = \lambda x$ since $M \geq 0$ and $x > 0$.

For the remaining assertions in (i) and (ii), note that the first $\ell$ equations in the system $Mx = \lambda x$ assert

$$\overline{M\bar{x}} + \overline{M_{x,\ell+1}x_{\ell+1}} = \lambda \bar{x},$$

where $M_{x,\ell+1}$ is the last column vector of $M$.

Since $x_{\ell+1} > 0$, and since the entries of $M_{x,\ell+1}$ are nonnegative (resp. strictly positive) under the hypotheses in (i) (resp. in (ii)), the remaining assertions in (i) and (ii) follow.

For assertion (iii), note that $\bar{y} = \bar{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} M^k \bar{x}$, and hence $\bar{y} > 0$ follows from the facts that $\bar{x} > 0$ and $\bar{M} \geq 0$. To prove $\overline{M\bar{y}} < \lambda \bar{y}$, we first prove a weak inequality as follows. For each $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, t - 1$, multiply the inequality in (i) by $M^k$, obtaining:

$$M^{k+1} \bar{x} \leq \lambda M^k \bar{x}.$$  

Summing this over all $k$, we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^{k+1} \bar{x} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \lambda M^k \bar{x}.$$ 

In view of the definition of $\bar{y}$, this can be rewritten as

$$\overline{M\bar{y}} \leq \lambda \bar{y}.$$ 

It remains to show that for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, the inequality in the $j^{th}$ coordinate of (6.2) is strict. For the sake of contradiction, assume $(\overline{M\bar{y}})_j = \lambda \bar{y}_j$. This forces equalities in the $j$-th coordinate of (6.1) for $0 \leq k \leq t - 1$:

$$(M^{k+1} \bar{x})_j = \lambda (M^k \bar{x})_j.$$ 

This implies via induction on $k$ that $M^k \bar{x} = \lambda^k \bar{x}_j$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, t - 1$. Summing on $k$ gives

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k \right) \bar{x}_j = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \lambda^k \right) \bar{x}_j.$$ 

However, this contradicts the strict inequality in the $j^{th}$ coordinate in the following:

$$(\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k) \bar{x} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k \right) \bar{x} < \left( \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \lambda^k \right) \bar{x}.$$ 

The first (weak) inequality in (6.3) comes from the fact that $\overline{M^k} \leq \overline{M^k}$ (which follows by induction from Proposition[6.6]), while the second (strict) inequality comes from applying assertion (ii) to the eigenvector equation $(\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} M^k) x = (\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \lambda^k) x$ (which follows from $Mx = \lambda x$). \qed

We return now to our usual context of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$, and an $A$-module $V$ of dimension $n$. Recall the matrices $MV$ and $LV$ are given by $(MV)_{i,j} = [S_j \otimes V : S_i]$ and $LV := n I_{\ell+1} - MV$. For the remainder of this section, assume one has indexed the simple $A$-modules $\{S_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,\ell+1}$ such that $S_{\ell+1} = \epsilon$ is the trivial $A$-module on $\mathbb{F}$. Thus $\overline{MV}$, $\overline{LV}$ come from $MV$, $LV$ by removing the row and column indexed by $\epsilon$.

Richness of $V$ has an obvious reformulation in terms of $MV$.

**Proposition 6.8.** $V$ is rich if and only if the McKay matrix $MV$ has positive last column $(MV)_{\epsilon,\ell+1} > 0$. 

Proof. Using (2.5) one has \( [V : S_i] = [\epsilon \otimes V : S_i] = [S_{\ell+1} \otimes V : S_i] = (M_V)_{\ell+1} \).

Proof of (v) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Assuming \( V \) is tensor-rich, there is some \( \ell > 0 \) for which \( W := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell} V \otimes^k \) is rich. Thus \( M_W \) has positive last column \((M_W)_{\ell+1} > 0\). In \( G_0(A) \), one has \( [W] = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} [V]^k \), giving the matrix equation \( M_W = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} M_V^k \). Since \( M_V p = np \) by Proposition 3.8, one can apply Proposition 6.7(iii), with \( M = M_V, \lambda = n, x = p \), and conclude that \( \overline{L_V} \) is a nonsingular \( M \)-matrix.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.4 raises certain questions on finite-dimensional Hopf algebras.

Question 6.9. Let \( A \) be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field.

(i) How does one test whether \( V \) is tensor-rich in terms of some kind of character theory for \( A \)?

(ii) Can the nullity of \( L_V \) be described in terms of the simple \( A \)-modules appearing in \( V \otimes^k \) for \( k \geq 1 \)?

Section 7 answers Question 6.9(i) for group algebras \( A = \mathbb{F}G \), via Brauer characters.

6.6. Non-tensor-rich modules as inflations. Any module \( V \) over an algebra \( B \) can be regarded as an inflation of a faithful \( B/\text{Ann}_B V \)-module \( \mathcal{C} \). A natural question to ask is whether a similar fact holds for tensor-rich modules over Hopf algebras. The annihilator of an \( A \)-module is always an ideal, not necessarily a Hopf ideal; thus, a subtler construction is needed. The answer is given by part (iv) of the following theorem, communicated to us by Sebastian Burciu who graciously allowed us to include it in this paper.

Theorem 6.10. Let \( V \) be an \( A \)-module. Let \( \omega \) be the map \( A \to A \) sending each \( a \in A \) to \( a - \epsilon(a)1 \). Let \( J_V = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{Ann}_A(V \otimes^k) \).

(i) We have \( J_V = \omega(\text{L Ker} V)A \), where \( \text{L Ker} V = \{ a \in A \mid \sum a_1 \otimes a_2 V = a \otimes V \text{ for all } v \in V \} \).

(ii) The subspace \( J_V \) of \( A \) is a Hopf ideal of \( A \), and thus \( A/J_V \) is a Hopf algebra.

(iii) If \( J_V = 0 \), then \( V \) is tensor-rich.

(iv) The \( A \)-module \( V \) is the inflation of an \( A/J_V \)-module via the canonical projection \( A \to A/J_V \), and the latter \( A/J_V \)-module is tensor-rich.

(v) Let \( J' \) be any Hopf ideal of \( A \) such that the \( A \)-module \( V \) is the inflation of an \( A/J' \)-module via the canonical projection \( A \to A/J' \). Then, \( J' \subseteq J_V \).

Note that part (i) of the theorem allows for actually computing \( J_V \), while the definition of \( J_V \) itself involves an uncomputable infinite intersection.


Part (ii) follows from [23] Theorem 7 (i)), since the family \( (V \otimes^k)_{k \geq 0} \) of \( A \)-modules is clearly closed under tensor products.

Part (iii) is essentially [30] (3)), but let us also prove it for the sake of completeness: Assume that \( J_V = 0 \). Consider any simple \( A \)-module \( S_i \) and the corresponding primitive idempotent \( e_i \) of \( A \). The \( A \)-module \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V \otimes^k \) is faithful (since its annihilator is \( J_V = 0 \)). Thus, \( e_i \cdot \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V \otimes^k \neq 0 \). Thus, there exists some \( k \geq 0 \) such that \( e_i V \otimes^k \neq 0 \). Consider this \( k \). But recall (see, e.g., [31] Prop. 7.4.1 (3))) that \( \text{Hom}_A(Ae, W) \equiv eW \) for any \( A \)-module \( W \) and any idempotent \( e \) of \( A \). Thus, \( \text{Hom}_A(Ae_i, V \otimes^k) \equiv e_i V \otimes^k \neq 0 \), so that \( \text{dim} \text{Hom}_A(Ae_i, V \otimes^k) > 0 \). Hence,

\[
[V \otimes^k : S_i] = \text{dim} \text{Hom}_A(P_i, V \otimes^k) = \text{dim} \text{Hom}_A(Ae_i, V \otimes^k) > 0.
\]

Since we have shown this to hold for each \( i \), we thus conclude that \( V \) is tensor-rich.

(iv) Since \( J_V \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(V) \), we see immediately that \( V \) is the inflation of an \( A/J_V \)-module \( V' \). It remains to show that this \( V' \) is tensor-rich. But this follows from part (iii), applied to \( A/J_V \), \( V' \) and \( 0 \) instead of \( A \),

---

\[\text{The annihilator of a } B \text{-module } V \text{ is defined to be the ideal } \{ b \in B \mid bV = 0 \} \text{ of } B. \text{ It is denoted by } \text{Ann}_B V.\]

\[A \text{-module } V \text{ is said to be faithful if and only if } \text{Ann}_B V = 0.\]
$V$ and $J_V$: Indeed, we have $0 = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{Ann}_{A/J_V}(V^\otimes k)$, since $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{Ann}_{A/J_V}(V^\otimes k) = J_V$ onto the quotient ring $A/J_V$, which projection of course is $J_V/J_V = 0$.

(v) We assumed that the $A$-module $V$ is the inflation of an $A/J'$-module $V'$ via the canonical projection $A \to A/J'$. Thus, for each $k \geq 0$, the $A$-module $V^\otimes k$ is the inflation of the $A/J'$-module $(V')^\otimes k$ via this projection. Hence, for each $k \geq 0$, we have $J'V^\otimes k = 0$. Thus, $J' \subseteq \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{Ann}_A(V^\otimes k) = J_V$. □

6.7. Avalanche-finiteness. We digress slightly to discuss avalanche-finite matrices and chip-firing.

Definition 6.11. An integer nonsingular $M$-matrix is called an avalanche-finite matrix; see [1] §2.

The terminology arises because the integer cokernel $\mathbb{Z}^f/\text{im} L$ for an avalanche-finite matrix $L$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{f \times t}$ has certain convenient coset representatives in $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^f$, characterized via their behavior with respect to the dynamics of a game in which one makes moves (called chip-firing or toppling or avalanches) that subtract columns of $L$. One such family of coset representatives are called recurrent, and the other such family are called superstable; see, e.g., [1] §2, Prop. 2.19. Since we have indexed the simple $A$-modules in such a way that $S_{\ell+1} = \epsilon$ is the trivial $A$-module, this condition is equivalent to the 1-dimensional trivial $A$-module $\epsilon$ being its own projective cover $P_\epsilon = \epsilon$. This, in turn, is known [10, Cor. 4.2.13] to be equivalent to semisimplicity of $A$. For example, this is always the case in the setting of [1], where $A = FG$ was a group algebra of a finite group and $\mathbb{F}$ had characteristic zero.

In the case where $A$ is semisimple, many of the results on chip-firing from [1] §5 remain valid, with the same proofs. For example, [1] Prop. 5.16 explains why removing the last entry from the last column of $M_V$ gives a burning configuration for the avalanche-finite matrix $M_V$, which allows one to easily test when a configuration is recurrent.

7. Tensor-rich group representations

Brauer already characterized tensor-rich $FG$-modules, though he did not state it in these terms. We need the following fact, well-known when $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic zero (see, e.g., James and Liebeck [15, Thm. 13.11]), and whose proof works just as well in positive characteristic.

Proposition 7.1. Given a finite group $G$ and $n$-dimensional $FG$-module $V$, a $p$-regular element $g$ in $G$ acts as $1_V$ on $V$ if and only if $\chi_V(g) = n$.

Proof. The forward implication is clear. For the reverse, if one has $n = \chi_V(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i$, then since each $|\hat{\lambda}_i| = 1$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that the $\hat{\lambda}_i$ are all equal, and hence they must all equal 1, since they sum to $n$. But then $\lambda_i = 1$ for all $i$, which means that $g$ acts as $1_V$ on $V$. □

We also need the following fact about Brauer characters; see, e.g., [31] Prop. 10.2.1].

Theorem 7.2. Given a simple $FG$-module $S$ having projective cover $P$, then any $FG$-module $V$ has

$$[V : S] = \dim \text{Hom}_{FG}(P, V) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi}_P(g) \chi_V(g).$$

We come now to the characterization of tensor-rich finite group representations.
Theorem 7.3. (Brauer [2] Rmk. 4) For \( \mathbb{F} \) an algebraically closed field, and \( G \) a finite group, an \( \mathbb{F}G \)-module \( V \) is tensor-rich if and only if the only \( p \)-regular element acting as \( 1_V \) on \( V \) is the identity element \( e \) of \( G \).

More precisely, if the only \( p \)-regular element in \( G \) acting as \( 1_V \) is the identity \( e \), and if the Brauer character values \( \chi_V(g) \) take on exactly \( t \) distinct values, then \( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{t-1} V^{\otimes k} \) is rich.

Proof. To see the “only if” direction of the first sentence, note that if some \( p \)-regular element \( g \neq e \) acts as \( 1_V \) on \( V \), then the action of \( G \) on \( V \) factors through some nontrivial quotient group \( G/N \) with \( g \in N \), and the same is true for \( G \) acting on every tensor power \( V^{\otimes k} \). Note that not every simple \( \mathbb{F}G \)-module can be the inflation of a simple \( \mathbb{F}[G/N] \)-module through the quotient map \( G \to G/N \) in this way, else the columns indexed by \( e \) and by \( g \) in the Brauer character table of \( G \) would be equal, contradicting its invertibility. Therefore not all simple \( \mathbb{F}G \)-modules can appear in the tensor algebra \( T(V) \), that is, \( V \) cannot be tensor-rich.

To see the “if” direction of the first sentence, it suffices to show the more precise statement in the second sentence. So assume that the only \( p \)-regular elements \( g \) for which \( \chi_V(g) = a_j \), Proposition 7.1 implies that \( A_1 = \{e\} \). Assuming for the sake of contradiction that \( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{t-1} V^{\otimes k} \) is not rich, then there exists some simple \( \mathbb{F}G \)-module \( S \) such that for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1 \), one has (with \( P \) denoting the projective cover of \( S \)) the equality

\[
0 = [V^{\otimes k} : S] = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}G}(P, V^{\otimes k}) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \text{ regular}} \chi_P(g) \chi_{V^{\otimes k}}(g) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in A_j} a_j^k \sum_{g \in A_j} \chi_P(g).
\]

Multiplying each of these equations by \( \#G \), one can rewrite this as a matrix system

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_t \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_t^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{t-1} & \alpha_2^{t-1} & \cdots & \alpha_t^{t-1}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{g \in A_1} \chi_P(g) \\
\sum_{g \in A_2} \chi_P(g) \\
\sum_{g \in A_3} \chi_P(g) \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{g \in A_t} \chi_P(g)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The matrix on the left governing the system is an invertible Vandermonde matrix, forcing \( \sum_{g \in A_1} \chi_P(g) = 0 \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, t \). However, the \( j = 1 \) case contradicts \( \sum_{g \in A_1} \chi_P(g) = \chi_P(e) = \dim(P) \neq 0 \). \( \square \)

Corollary 7.4. Faithful representations \( V \) of a finite group \( G \) are always tensor-rich.

In fact, in characteristic zero, Burnside had shown that faithfulness of \( V \) is the same as the condition in Theorem 7.3 characterizing tensor-richness. Hence one can always regard a non-faithful \( G \)-representation of \( V \) as a faithful (and hence tensor-rich) representation of some quotient \( G/N \) where \( N \) is the kernel of the representation on \( V \). There is a similar reduction in positive characteristic.

Proposition 7.5. For a finite group representation \( \rho : G \to GL(V) \) over a field \( \mathbb{F} \) of characteristic \( p \),

- the subgroup \( N \) of \( G \) generated by the \( p \)-regular elements in \( \ker(\rho) \) is always normal, and
- \( p \) factors through the representation of the quotient \( \overline{\rho} : G/N \to GL(V) \) which is tensor-rich.

Proof. The subgroup \( N \) as defined above is normal since its generating set is stable under \( G \)-conjugation.

To show that the representation \( \overline{\rho} : G/N \to GL(V) \) is tensor-rich, by Theorem 7.3 above, it suffices to check that if a coset \( gN \) in \( G/N \) is both \( p \)-regular and has \( gN \in \ker(\overline{\rho}) \) (that is, \( g \in \ker(\rho) \)), then \( g \in N \). The \( p \)-regularity means \( g^m \in N \) for some \( m \) with \( \gcd(m, p) = 1 \). Recall (e.g., from [3] proof of Lemma 9.3) that one can write \( g = ab \) uniquely with \( a, b \) both powers of \( g \) in which \( a \) is \( p \)-regular, but \( b \) is \( p \)-singular (that is, \( b \) has order a power of \( p \)). Since \( a \) is a power of \( g \), one has \( a \in \ker(\rho) \), and therefore also \( a \in N \). Additionally \( b^m = a^{-m} g^m \) must also lie in \( N \). Since \( b \) is \( p \)-singular, say of order \( p^d \), one has \( 1 = xp + yp^d \) with \( x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \), and then \( b = (b^m)^x(b^{p^d})^y = (b^m)^x \in N \). Hence \( g = ab \in N \), as desired. \( \square \)

Proposition 7.5 implies the following fact, which should be contrasted with Theorem 6.10.
Corollary 7.6. A non-tensor-rich A-module V for A = \mathbb{F}G the group algebra of a finite group is always a B-module for a proper Hopf quotient A \rightarrow B, namely the group algebra B = \mathbb{F}[G/N], where N is the subgroup generated by the p-regular elements in G that act as 1_V.

Proof. B = A/I where I is the \mathbb{F}-span of \{g - gn\}_{g \in G, n \in N}, a two-sided ideal and coideal of A = \mathbb{F}G.

Remark 7.7. These last few results relate to a result of Rieffel [27 Cor. 1], asserting that an A-module V for a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra A that cannot be factored through a proper Hopf quotient must be a faithful representation of the algebra A, in the sense that the ring map A \rightarrow \text{End}(V) is injective. He also shows that this implies V is tensor-rich. However, as he notes there, faithfulness of a finite group representation G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) over \mathbb{F} is a weaker condition than faithfulness of the \mathbb{F}G-module V in the above sense.

8. Appendix A: Hopf algebra proofs

In this section, we collect proofs for some facts stated in Section 2.2 about Hopf algebras. In fact, we shall prove more general versions of these facts.

To achieve this generality, let us disavow two of the assumptions made in Subsection 1.1 and in Subsection 2.1. First, we shall not assume \mathbb{F} to be algebraically closed (instead, \mathbb{F} can be any field). Second, we shall not assume A (or any A-module) to be finite-dimensional unless explicitly required. Other conventions remain in place (in particular, A is still a Hopf algebra, and A-modules always mean left A-modules) as long as they still make sense.

Some of what has been said in Subsection 2.1 still applies verbatim to our new general setting: The antipode \alpha of A is still an algebra anti-endomorphism and a coalgebra anti-endomorphism of A. The trivial A-module \epsilon is still well-defined, as is the subspace V^A of A-fixed points of any A-module V. The tensor product V \otimes W of two A-modules V and W is still defined in the same way (and still satisfies the associativity law and the canonical isomorphisms (2.5)); so is the A-module \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, W). We shall abbreviate the latter as \text{Hom}(V, W). The left-dual V^* of an A-module V is also well-defined.

However, the antipode \alpha of A is no longer necessarily bijective. As a consequence, the right-dual \ast V is not well-defined in general. Lemma 2.10 no longer holds (unless both V is finite-dimensional and \alpha is bijective). The \epsilon^* \cong \epsilon part of Lemma 2.9 still holds, but the \ast \epsilon \cong \epsilon part only holds if \alpha is bijective. As for Lemma 2.8, part (ii) holds in full generality, while part (i) requires \alpha to be bijective. (The same proof applies.) Lemma 2.11 still holds if at least one of V and W is finite-dimensional (otherwise, \Phi is merely an A-module homomorphism, not an isomorphism). Lemma 2.12 holds in full generality (and the proof in [28 Lemma 4.1] still applies).

Next, let us generalize Lemma 2.13

Lemma 8.1. Let V and W be two A-modules such that W is finite-dimensional. Then, \text{Hom}_A(V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, \epsilon).

Proof. One has an \mathbb{F}-vector space isomorphism \phi : \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W^* \otimes V, \mathbb{F}) sending f in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, W) to the functional \phi(f) satisfying \phi(f)(g \otimes v) = g(f(v)). Indeed, \phi is the composition of the standard isomorphisms

\text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, (W^*)^*) = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(W^*, \mathbb{F})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(W^* \otimes V, \mathbb{F}) = (W^* \otimes V)^* ,

where the first arrow is induced by the isomorphism W \rightarrow (W^*)^* arising from the finite-dimensionality of W. This \phi is not, in general, an A-module isomorphism, but we claim that it restricts to an isomorphism \text{Hom}_A(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, \epsilon), which would prove this lemma. That is, we will show f \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(V, W) belongs to \text{Hom}_A(V, W) if and only if its image \phi(f) belongs to \text{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, \epsilon) = \text{Hom}(W^* \otimes V, \epsilon)^A. First observe that, for each a \in A, g \in W^* and v \in V,

\phi(f)(a(g \otimes v)) = \phi(f) \left( \sum a_1 g \otimes a_2 v \right) = \sum (a_1 g)(f(a_2 v)) = \sum g(a(a_1)f(a_2 v)). (8.1)
The forward implication. Assuming \( f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, W) \), we check \( \phi(f) \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, e) \) as follows:

\[
\phi(f) (a(g \otimes v)) = g \left( \sum \alpha(a_1) a_2 f(v) \right) = e(a) g(f(v)) = e(a) \phi(f)(g \otimes v)
\]

where the first equality used \( \text{[8.1]} \) and \( f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, W) \) and the second equality used the definition of \( \alpha \).

The backward implication. We show \( f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, W) \), assuming \( \phi(f) \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V, e) \) so that

\[
\phi(f) (a(g \otimes v)) = e(a) \phi(f)(g \otimes v) = e(a) g(f(v)).
\]

Comparing this with \( \text{[8.1]} \), we obtain \( g \left( \sum \alpha(a_1) a_2 f(v) \right) = g(e(a) f(v)) \) for all \( g \in W^* \), and hence

\[
\sum \alpha(a_1) a_2 f(v) = e(a) f(v).
\]

We use this to calculate that, for any \( b \in A \) and \( v \in V \), one has

\[
f(bv) = f \left( \sum e(b_1) b_2 v \right) = \sum e(b_1) f(b_2 v) = \sum \sum (b_1) \alpha((b_1) b_2) f(b_2 v)
\]

\[
= \sum b_1 \sum \alpha((b_2) b_2) f((b_2) v) = \sum b_1 \cdot e(b_2) f(v) = bf(v)
\]

where the third equality used the defining property of \( \alpha \) applied to \( b_1 \), the fourth equality used the coassociativity \( \sum \sum (b_1) \otimes (b_2) \otimes (b_2) = \sum b_1 \otimes \sum (b_2) \otimes (b_2) \), and the fifth applied \( \text{[8.2]} \) with \( a = b_2 \).

We next generalize Lemma 2.14.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let \( U \) and \( V \) be \( A \)-modules, at least one of which is finite-dimensional.

(i) We have \( (U \otimes V)^* \cong V^* \otimes U^* \).

(ii) Assume that \( A \) is finite-dimensional. Then, \( * (U \otimes V) \cong *V \otimes *U \).

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check \( A \)-equivariance for the usual \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space isomorphism \( V^* \otimes U^* \to (U \otimes V)^* \) (or \( \text{[8.2]} V^* \otimes *U \to *U \otimes V^* \)) that sends \( g \otimes f \) to the functional mapping \( u \otimes v \mapsto f(u)g(v) \).

The next lemma generalizes Lemma 2.15.

**Lemma 8.3.** Let \( U, V, \) and \( W \) be \( A \)-modules such that \( V \) and \( W \) are finite-dimensional. Then, one has isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}_A(U \otimes V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, W \otimes V^*), \tag{8.3}
\]

\[
\text{Hom}_A(V^* \otimes U, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, V \otimes W). \tag{8.4}
\]

Assume furthermore that \( A \) is finite-dimensional. Then, one has isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}_A(U \otimes *V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, W \otimes V), \tag{8.5}
\]

\[
\text{Hom}_A(V \otimes U, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, *V \otimes W). \tag{8.6}
\]

**Proof.** One only need check \( \text{[8.3]} \) and \( \text{[8.4]} \), since then replacing \( V \) by \( *V \) yields \( \text{[8.5]} \) and \( \text{[8.6]} \).

To verify \( \text{[8.3]} \), first note that one has \( A \)-module isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}(U \otimes V, W) \cong W \otimes (U \otimes V)^* \cong W \otimes V^* \otimes U^* \cong \text{Hom}(U, W \otimes V^*)
\]

in which the two outer isomorphisms come from the appropriate generalization of Lemma 2.11 and the middle from Lemma 8.2. Now apply \( X \mapsto X^A \) to the left and right side of \( \text{[8.7]} \), and use Lemma 2.12 (in its generalized form), yielding \( \text{[8.3]} \).

To verify \( \text{[8.4]} \), note that

\[
\text{Hom}_A(V^* \otimes U, W) \cong \text{Hom}_A(W^* \otimes V^* \otimes U, e) \cong \text{Hom}_A((V \otimes W)^* \otimes U, e) \cong \text{Hom}_A(U, W \otimes V)
\]

where the two outer isomorphisms come from Lemma 8.1 and the middle from Lemma 8.2.

Finally, we shall prove Proposition 2.16 as part of the following fact:

**Proposition 8.4.** Let \( A \) be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.
(i) We have \( \dim (A^A) = 1. \)

(ii) Let \( V \) be a finite-dimensional \( A \)-module. Then, \( \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, A) = \dim V. \)

Proposition 8.4 (i) is actually the well-known fact (see, e.g., [26, Thm. 10.2.2 (a)]) that the vector space of left integrals of the finite-dimensional Hopf algebra \( A \) is 1-dimensional. Nevertheless, we shall give a proof, as it is easy using what has been done before.

**Proof.** Let \( V \) be an \( A \)-module. Lemma 2.11 applied with \( W = A \) shows that \( A \otimes V^* \cong \text{Hom}_A(V, A) \) as \( A \)-modules. But Lemma 2.8 (ii) (applied to \( V^* \) instead of \( V \)) yields \( A \otimes V^* \cong A^{\oplus \dim V^*} = A^{\oplus \dim V} \) as \( A \)-modules. Hence, \( \text{Hom}_A(V, A) \cong A \otimes V^* \cong A^{\oplus \dim V} \) as \( A \)-modules. Now, Lemma 2.12 applied to \( W = A \) yields \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}_A(V, A) = \text{Hom}_A(V, A)^A \cong \left(A^{\oplus \dim V}\right)^A \cong \left(A^A\right)^{\oplus \dim V}
\]

where the first isomorphism comes from \( \text{Hom}_A(V, A) \cong A^{\oplus \dim V} \), and the second holds because \( W \mapsto W^A \) preserves direct sums. Taking dimensions, we thus find

\[
\dim \text{Hom}_A(V, A) = \dim \left(A^A\right) \dim V.
\]

It only remains then to check that \( \dim (A^A) = 1 \), since then substituting it into (8.8) would give Proposition 8.4 (ii) (and Proposition 8.4 (i) would be proven as well). To this end, use the \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space isomorphism \( A \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \) to obtain

\[
\dim A = \dim \text{Hom}_A(A, A) = \dim \left(A^A\right) \dim A,
\]

where the second equality follows from (8.8) applied to \( V = A \). Canceling \( \dim A \) from the ends gives the desired equality \( 1 = \dim (A^A) \), completing the proof. \( \square \)
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