

Two problems on complex cosines

Darij Grinberg

version 18 March 2011

In this note we will solve two interconnected problems from the MathLinks discussion

<http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=67939>

We start with a theorem:

Theorem 1. Let φ be a complex number, and let $x_1 = 2 \cos \varphi$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, and let x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k be $k - 1$ complex numbers. Then, the chain of equations

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{k-1}} + x_k \quad (1)$$

(if $k = 1$, then this chain of equations has to be regarded as the zero assertion, i. e. as the assertion which is always true) holds if and only if every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$. Here, in the case when $\sin(m\varphi) = 0$, the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$ is to be understood as follows:

- If φ is an integer multiple of π , then $\sin(m\varphi) = \sin((m+1)\varphi) = 0$, and the number $\frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$ has to be understood as $\lim_{\psi \rightarrow \varphi} \frac{\sin((m+1)\psi)}{\sin(m\psi)}$.
- If φ is not an integer multiple of π and we have $\sin(m\varphi) = 0$, then $\sin((m+1)\varphi) \neq 0$, and the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$ is considered wrong.

Proof of Theorem 1. In our following proof, we will only consider the case when φ is not an integer multiple of π , because we will not need the case when φ is a multiple of π in our later applications of Theorem 1. Besides, our following proof can be easily modified to work for the case of φ being a multiple of π as well (this modification is left to the reader).

We will establish Theorem 1 by induction over k :

For $k = 1$, we have to prove that the zero assertion holds if and only if $x_1 = \frac{\sin((1+1)\varphi)}{\sin(1\varphi)}$. Well, since the zero assertion always holds, we have to prove that the equation $x_1 = \frac{\sin((1+1)\varphi)}{\sin(1\varphi)}$ always holds. This is rather easy:

$$x_1 = 2 \cos \varphi = \frac{2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} = \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{\sin \varphi} = \frac{\sin((1+1)\varphi)}{\sin(1\varphi)}.$$

Thus, Theorem 1 is proven for $k = 1$.

Now we come to the induction step. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume that Theorem 1 holds for $k = n$. This means that:

(*) If x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n are $n - 1$ complex numbers, then the chain of equations

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n \quad (2)$$

holds if and only if every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$.

We have to prove that Theorem 1 also holds for $k = n + 1$. This means that we have to prove that:

(**) If $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$ are n complex numbers, then the chain of equations

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1} \quad (3)$$

holds if and only if every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$.

So let's prove (**). This requires verifying two assertions:

Assertion 1: If (3) holds, then every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$ satisfies the equation

$$x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}.$$

Assertion 2: If every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$,

then (3) holds.

Before we step to the proofs of these assertions, we show that

$$x_1 = \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} + \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}. \quad (4)$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} + \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} = \frac{\sin(n\varphi) + \sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} \\ &= \frac{\sin((n+1)\varphi - \varphi) + \sin((n+1)\varphi + \varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} \\ &= \frac{(\sin((n+1)\varphi)\cos\varphi - \cos((n+1)\varphi)\sin\varphi) + (\sin((n+1)\varphi)\cos\varphi + \cos((n+1)\varphi)\sin\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} \\ & \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{since } \sin((n+1)\varphi - \varphi) = \sin((n+1)\varphi)\cos\varphi - \cos((n+1)\varphi)\sin\varphi \\ \text{and } \sin((n+1)\varphi + \varphi) = \sin((n+1)\varphi)\cos\varphi + \cos((n+1)\varphi)\sin\varphi \\ \text{by the addition formulas} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\sin((n+1)\varphi)\cos\varphi}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} = 2\cos\varphi = x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's prove Assertion 1: We assume that (3) holds. We have to prove that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$ satisfies $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$. In fact, since (3) yields (2), we can conclude from (*) that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m =$

$\frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$. It remains to prove this equation for $m = n+1$; in other words, it remains to prove that $x_{n+1} = \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$. In order to prove this, we note that the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$, which holds for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, particularly yields $x_n = \frac{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}{\sin(n\varphi)}$. Hence, $\frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$. Now, (3) yields $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}$, so that $x_1 = \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} + x_{n+1}$. Comparing this with (4), we obtain $x_{n+1} = \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$, qed.. Thus, Assertion 1 is proven.

Now we will show Assertion 2. To this end, we assume that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$, and we want to show that (3) holds.

We have assumed that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$. Thus, in particular, every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfies this equation. Hence, according to (*), the equation (2) must hold. Now, we are going to prove the equation $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}$.

Since $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$ holds for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$, we have $x_n = \frac{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}{\sin(n\varphi)}$ and $x_{n+1} = \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$. The former of these two equations yields $\frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$. Thus, the equation (4) results in

$$x_1 = \underbrace{\frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}}_{=\frac{1}{x_n}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}}_{=x_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}.$$

Thus, the equation $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}$ is proven. Combining this equation with (2), we get (3), and this completes the proof of Assertion 2.

As both Assertions 1 and 2 are now verified, the induction step is done, so that the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The first consequence of Theorem 1 will be:

Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be n nonzero complex numbers such that

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n = \frac{1}{x_n}. \quad (5)$$

Then, there exists some integer $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $x_1 = 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{n+2}$

$$\text{and } x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} \text{ for every } m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We need two auxiliary assertions:

Assertion 3: We have $x_1 \neq 2$.

Assertion 4: We have $x_1 \neq -2$.

Proof of Assertion 3. Assume the contrary. Then, $x_1 = 2$. Now, we can prove by induction over m that $x_m = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$ for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. (In fact: For $m = 1$, we have to show that $x_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{1}$, what rewrites as $x_1 = 2$ and this was our assumption. Now, assume that $x_m = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$ holds for some $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. We want to prove that $x_{m+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{m+1}$ holds as well. Well, the equation (5) yields $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_m} + x_{m+1}$, so that $x_{m+1} = x_1 - \frac{1}{x_m}$. Since $x_1 = 2$ and $x_m = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, we thus have $x_{m+1} = 2 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{m}} = \frac{m+2}{m+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{m+1}$. Hence, the induction proof is complete.)

Now, since we have shown that $x_m = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$ holds for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ in particular. But (5) yields $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n}$, so that $1 = x_1 \cdot x_n = 2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)$, what is obviously wrong since $2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) > 2 \cdot 1 > 1$. Hence, we obtain a contradiction, and thus our assumption that Assertion 3 doesn't hold was wrong. This proves Assertion 3.

The *proof of Assertion 4* is similar (this time we have to show that if $x_1 = -2$, then $x_m = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)$ for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$).

Now, since the function $\cos : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is surjective, there must exist a complex number φ such that $\frac{x_1}{2} = \cos \varphi$. Here, if $\frac{x_1}{2}$ is real and satisfies $-1 \leq \frac{x_1}{2} \leq 1$, then we take this φ such that φ is real and satisfies $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$ (this is possible since $\cos : [0, \pi] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is surjective).

Assertions 3 and 4 state that $x_1 \neq 2$ and $x_1 \neq -2$. Hence, $\frac{x_1}{2} \neq 1$ and $\frac{x_1}{2} \neq -1$. Since $\frac{x_1}{2} = \cos \varphi$, this yields $\cos \varphi \neq 1$ and $\cos \varphi \neq -1$, and thus φ is not an integer multiple of π .

Define another complex number x_{n+1} by $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then, (5) rewrites as

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}. \quad (6)$$

Since $\frac{x_1}{2} = \cos \varphi$, we have $x_1 = 2 \cos \varphi$, so that we can apply Theorem 1 to the n complex numbers x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1} , and from the chain of equations (6) we conclude that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ satisfies $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$.

Thus, in particular, $x_{n+1} = \frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)}$. Since $x_{n+1} = 0$, we thus must have $\frac{\sin((n+2)\varphi)}{\sin((n+1)\varphi)} = 0$. This yields $\sin((n+2)\varphi) = 0$. Thus, $(n+2)\varphi$ is an integer multiple of π . Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $(n+2)\varphi = j\pi$. Then, $\varphi = \frac{j\pi}{n+2}$. Thus, $x_1 = 2\cos\varphi$ becomes $x_1 = 2\cos\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$, and $x_m = \frac{\sin((m+1)\varphi)}{\sin(m\varphi)}$ becomes $x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}$. It remains to show that $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$.

Now, $\frac{x_1}{2} = \cos\varphi = \cos\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$ must be real and satisfy $-1 \leq \frac{x_1}{2} \leq 1$ (since cosines of real angles are real and lie between -1 and 1). Therefore, according to the definition of φ , we have $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$. Since φ is not a multiple of π , this becomes $\varphi \in]0, \pi[$. Since $\varphi = \frac{j\pi}{n+2}$, this yields $j \in]0, n+2[$. Since j is an integer, this results in $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$. Hence, Theorem 2 is proven.

The first problem from the MathLinks thread asks us to show:

Theorem 3. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be n positive real numbers such that

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n = \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

Then, $x_1 = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+2}$ and $x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}$ for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. According to Theorem 2, there exists some integer $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $x_1 = 2\cos\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$ and $x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}$ for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} x_s &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \frac{\sin\left((s+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(s\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \sin\left((s+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \sin\left(s\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{s=2}^m \sin\left(s\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \sin\left(s\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(1\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\frac{j\pi}{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1} are all positive, their product $\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} x_s$ is positive, and this

yields that $\frac{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\frac{j\pi}{n+2}}$ is positive (since $\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} x_s = \frac{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\frac{j\pi}{n+2}}$). But since $j \in$

$\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, the number $\sin\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$ is positive (since $0 < \frac{j\pi}{n+2} < \pi$), and thus it follows that $\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)$ is positive. Since this holds for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$,

this means that the numbers $\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)$ are positive for all $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$.

Since $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, this yields $j = 1$ ¹. Hence, $x_1 = 2\cos\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$ becomes

$$x_1 = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+2}, \text{ and } x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)} \text{ becomes } x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}.$$

This proves Theorem 3.

A converse of Theorem 3 is:

Theorem 4. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and define n reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n by

$$x_m = \frac{\sin\left((m+1)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)} \text{ for every } m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \text{ Then, the reals } x_1,$$

x_2, \dots, x_n are positive. Besides, $x_1 = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{n+2}$, and the reals $x_1, x_2, \dots,$

¹*Proof.* Assume the contrary - that is, assume that $j \geq 2$.

Then, the smallest of the angles $m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}$ for $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ is $1\frac{j\pi}{n+2} = \frac{j\pi}{n+2} < \pi$ (since $j < n+2$), and the largest one is

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2} &\geq (n+1)\frac{2\pi}{n+2} && \text{(since } j \geq 2) \\ &= \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}\pi = \pi + \frac{n}{n+2}\pi \geq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, some but not all of the numbers $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ satisfy $m\frac{j\pi}{n+2} \geq \pi$. Let μ be the smallest $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ satisfying $m\frac{j\pi}{n+2} \geq \pi$. Then, $\mu\frac{j\pi}{n+2} \geq \pi$, but $(\mu-1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2} < \pi$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\frac{j\pi}{n+2} &= \frac{j\pi}{n+2} + (\mu-1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2} < \frac{(n+2)\pi}{n+2} + \pi && \text{(since } j < n+2 \text{ and } (\mu-1)\frac{j\pi}{n+2} < \pi) \\ &= 2\pi, \end{aligned}$$

what, together with $\mu\frac{j\pi}{n+2} \geq \pi$, yields $\pi \leq \mu\frac{j\pi}{n+2} < 2\pi$. Thus, $\sin\left(\mu\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right) \leq 0$. But this contradicts to the fact that $\sin\left(m\frac{j\pi}{n+2}\right)$ is positive for all $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$. Hence, we get a contradiction, so that our assumption that $j \geq 2$ was wrong. Hence, j must be 1.

x_n satisfy the equation (5).

Proof of Theorem 4. At first, it is clear that the reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are positive, because, for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $\sin\left(\left(m+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right) > 0$ and $\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right) > 0$ (since $0 < \left(m+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2} < \pi$ and $0 < m\frac{\pi}{n+2} < \pi$) and thus

$$x_m = \frac{\sin\left(\left(m+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)} > 0.$$

The equation $x_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$ is pretty obvious:

$$x_1 = \frac{\sin\left(\left(1+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(1\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\sin\left(2\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\frac{\pi}{n+2}} = \frac{2 \sin\frac{\pi}{n+2} \cos\frac{\pi}{n+2}}{\sin\frac{\pi}{n+2}} = 2 \cos\frac{\pi}{n+2}.$$

Remains to prove the equation (5). In order to do this, define a real $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then,

$$x_{n+1} = 0 = \frac{0}{\sin\left(\left(n+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\sin\pi}{\sin\left(\left(n+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)} = \frac{\sin\left(\left(n+2\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(\left(n+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}.$$

Hence, the equation $x_m = \frac{\sin\left(\left(m+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}$ holds not only for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

but also for $m = n + 1$. Thus, altogether, it holds for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$.

So we have proved that every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1\}$ satisfies the equation $x_m =$

$$\frac{\sin\left(\left(m+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}{\sin\left(m\frac{\pi}{n+2}\right)}.$$

Consequently, according to Theorem 1 (for $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{n+2}$ and $k = n + 1$), we have

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 = \frac{1}{x_2} + x_3 = \dots = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} + x_n = \frac{1}{x_n} + x_{n+1}.$$

Using $x_{n+1} = 0$, this simplifies to (5). Thus, Theorem 4 is proven.

Now we are ready to solve the second MathLinks problem:

Theorem 5. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be n positive reals. Then,

$$\min\left\{y_1, \frac{1}{y_1} + y_2, \frac{1}{y_2} + y_3, \dots, \frac{1}{y_{n-1}} + y_n, \frac{1}{y_n}\right\} \leq 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}. \quad (7)$$

Proof of Theorem 5. We will prove Theorem 5 by contradiction: Assume that (7) is not valid. Then,

$$\min \left\{ y_1, \frac{1}{y_1} + y_2, \frac{1}{y_2} + y_3, \dots, \frac{1}{y_{n-1}} + y_n, \frac{1}{y_n} \right\} > 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}. \quad (8)$$

Define n reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n by $x_m = \frac{\sin \left((m+1) \frac{\pi}{n+2} \right)}{\sin \left(m \frac{\pi}{n+2} \right)}$ for every $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Then, according to Theorem 4, the reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are positive. Besides, $x_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$, and the reals x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n satisfy the equation (5).

Now we will prove that $y_j > x_j$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. This we will prove by induction over j : For $j = 1$, we have to show that $y_1 > x_1$. This, in view of $x_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$, becomes $y_1 > 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$, what follows from (8). Thus, $y_j > x_j$ is proven for $j = 1$.

Now, for the induction step, we assume that $y_j > x_j$ is proven for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. We want to show that we also have $y_{j+1} > x_{j+1}$.

In fact, according to (5), we have $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_j} + x_{j+1}$, what, because of $x_1 = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$, comes down to $2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2} = \frac{1}{x_j} + x_{j+1}$. Since $y_j > x_j$, we have $\frac{1}{x_j} > \frac{1}{y_j}$, so this yields $2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2} > \frac{1}{y_j} + x_{j+1}$. On the other hand, (8) yields $\frac{1}{y_j} + y_{j+1} > 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$. Thus, $\frac{1}{y_j} + y_{j+1} > \frac{1}{y_j} + x_{j+1}$, and thus $y_{j+1} > x_{j+1}$ is proven. This completes the induction proof of $y_j > x_j$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

This, in particular, yields $y_n > x_n$, so that $\frac{1}{x_n} > \frac{1}{y_n}$. On the other hand, after (8), we have $\frac{1}{y_n} > 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}$. But $2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2} = x_1$, and (5) yields $x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n}$. Thus, we get the following chain of inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{x_n} > \frac{1}{y_n} > 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2} = x_1 = \frac{1}{x_n}.$$

This chain is impossible to hold. Therefore we get a contradiction, so that our assumption was wrong, and Theorem 5 is proven.