

Math 220 Fall 2021, Lecture 6: Sets

0.1. Logical connectives (cont'd)

0.1.1. Equivalence ($\iff$) (cont'd)

There are some more relations between our connectives:

Theorem 0.1.1 (rules for connectives). Let P , Q and R be three propositions. Then:

- (a) We have $P \iff \text{NOT} (\text{NOT } P)$.
- (b) We have $(P \text{ OR } Q) \iff (Q \text{ OR } P)$.
- (c) We have $(P \text{ AND } Q) \iff (Q \text{ AND } P)$.
- (d) We have $(P \text{ AND } (Q \text{ AND } R)) \iff ((P \text{ AND } Q) \text{ AND } R)$.
- (e) We have $(P \text{ OR } (Q \text{ OR } R)) \iff ((P \text{ OR } Q) \text{ OR } R)$.
- (f) We have $(P \implies Q) \iff ((\text{NOT } P) \text{ OR } Q)$.
- (g) We have $Q \implies (P \implies Q)$.
- (h) We have $(P \text{ AND } Q) \implies P$.
- (i) We have $P \implies (P \text{ OR } Q)$.
- (j) We have $(P \implies Q) \iff ((\text{NOT } Q) \implies (\text{NOT } P))$.

Note that in general, parentheses are important: “ $1 = 0 \text{ AND } 2 = 2 \text{ OR } 3 = 3$ ” can be either true or false depending on how to read it:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1 = 0 \text{ AND } 2 = 2) \text{ OR } 3 = 3 & \text{is true,} \\ 1 = 0 \text{ AND } (2 = 2 \text{ OR } 3 = 3) & \text{is false.} \end{array} \quad \text{but}$$

So don't write things like “ $1 = 0 \text{ AND } 2 = 2 \text{ OR } 3 = 3$ ” !

However, part (d) of the above theorem shows that you can drop the parentheses when the only connective around is AND. So you can write “ $P \text{ AND } Q \text{ AND } R$ ” without worrying about ambiguity.

Proof of the Theorem. Each part of the theorem can be checked mechanically by comparing the truth tables. Let us just do it for part (d):

P	Q	R	$(P \text{ AND } Q) \text{ AND } R$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F

and

P	Q	R	$P \text{ AND } (Q \text{ AND } R)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F

The rest is equally easy and left to the reader. $\square$

Note that the connectives AND, OR, XOR and $\iff$ are symmetric (e.g., $\iff$ being symmetric means that " $P \iff Q$ " means the same as " $Q \iff P$ "). However, $\implies$ is not symmetric (" $P \implies Q$ " is not the same as " $Q \implies P$ "), which is why we have introduced the connective $\impliedby$.

0.2. Sets

The notion of a "set" is one of the most fundamental concepts of mathematics. It is not formally defined, but you should understand a **set** to be a well-defined collection of objects. The objects contained in a set will be called the **elements** (or **members**) of the set. We write " $x \in S$ " to say " x is contained in S ", and we write " $y \notin S$ " to say " y is not contained in S ".

Here are some **examples of sets**:

- The set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. This set contains only 3 elements: 1, 2 and 3. So, for example, $2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ but $5 \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- The set $\mathbb{N}$. This is defined to be the set of all nonnegative integers. Its elements are $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$; I cannot list them all because there are infinitely many of them. This set can also be written $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. For example, $2 \in \mathbb{N}$ but $-5 \notin \mathbb{N}$.
- The set $\mathbb{Z}$. This is defined to be the set of all integers. It can also be written $\{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ or $\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
- The set $\mathbb{Q}$. This is defined to be the set of all rational numbers (i.e., of all fractions $\frac{a}{b}$, where a and b are integers and $b \neq 0$). How would you list its elements? Is it even possible to list its elements? Maybe

$$\left\{ \dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-2}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{0}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{-3}{3}, \frac{-2}{3}, \dots \right\}.$$

But this is more confusing than helpful. Note that $\frac{3}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ but $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

- The set $\mathbb{R}$. This is the set of all real numbers, including rational numbers but also stranger things like $\sqrt{2}$ and π and $\sqrt{2}^\pi$ and even wilder creatures.
- The one-element set $\{1\}$. It contains 1 and nothing else.
- The empty set $\{\}$. It contains nothing (i.e., there is no object that is contained in this set). This set is also denoted $\emptyset$.

- The set $\{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$. This is a set whose elements are themselves sets – namely, the three sets $\{1,2\}$, $\{1,3\}$ and $\{2,3\}$. These elements themselves contain elements. In particular, the numbers 1, 2 and 3 are not elements of the set $\{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$, but rather are elements of its elements.
- You can mix objects of different kinds in a single set. For example, $\{1, \pi, \{1,2\}, \mathbb{N}\}$ is a perfectly valid 4-element set.

As you have guessed from these examples, there are two basic ways to describe a set:

Way 1 is to list all elements. If $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ are some objects, then “ $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ ” means the set that contains these exact objects $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ and nothing else. This is how $\{1,2,3\}$ and $\{1\}$ and $\{\}$ are understood. The notation $\{0,1,2,3,\dots\}$ is also an instance of this pattern, at least if we extend this pattern to infinite lists of objects.

Keep in mind that the “ $\dots$ ” notation is informal and somewhat dangerous. Handle it with care. When you write $\{1,2,\dots,49\}$, it is fairly clear that you mean the set of the first 49 positive integers. When you write $\{1,4,\dots,49\}$, do you mean the set $\{1,4,7,10,13,16,19,22,25,28,31,34,37,40,43,46,49\}$ or do you mean the set $\{1,4,9,16,25,36,49\}$? If such ambiguity is possible, you should be clearer about what set you want. For instance, if you mean the former set, you can write

$$\{3 \cdot 0 + 1, 3 \cdot 1 + 1, 3 \cdot 2 + 1, \dots, 3 \cdot 16 + 1\}.$$

If you mean the latter set, you can write $\{1^2, 2^2, 3^2, \dots, 7^2\}$.

A variant of Way 1 is writing something like “ $\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ”. This should be read as “the set that contains all the squares x^2 with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ”. So it is the set consisting of $0^2, 1^2, 2^2, 3^2, \dots$. In general, the notation

$$\{(\text{some expression that involves } x) \mid x \in S\}$$

(where S is some already existing set) means the set of all values that this expression can take when x runs through S . So you substitute for x each possible element of S (one at a time), and you collect all possible values that the expression can take. The resulting set is this collection.

For example,

$$\{x^2 \mid x \in \{0,1,2\}\} = \{0^2, 1^2, 2^2\} = \{0,1,4\}$$

and

$$\{-x \mid x \in \{0,1,2\}\} = \{-0, -1, -2\} = \{0, -1, -2\}$$

and

$$\{x^2 + x \mid x \in \{0,1,2\}\} = \{0^2 + 0, 1^2 + 1, 2^2 + 2\} = \{0,2,6\}.$$

This notation can be extended to expressions that involve multiple variables (and you don't have to call them x). For instance,

$$\{y^2 + z^2 \mid y \in \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ and } z \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}.$$

This is the set consisting of all possible values of $y^2 + z^2$ when y is an element of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and z is an element of $\{0, 1, 2\}$. To list its elements, we make a table of these values:

$y \backslash z$	0	1	2
0	0	1	4
1	1	2	5
2	4	5	8

Thus, our set is $\{0, 1, 4, 1, 2, 5, 4, 5, 8\}$. Note that y and z are allowed to be equal.

Way 2 to describe a set is by filtering elements from an existing set through some condition. For example,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 1 < x < 2\}$$

is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (that is, of all real numbers x) that satisfy $1 < x < 2$. In other words, it is the set of all real numbers that lie between 1 and 2 exclusively. For example, 1.5 and 1.7 and $\sqrt{2}$ belong to this set, but 2 and 1 and π and 75 and -13 do not. The general version of this notation is

$$“\{x \in S \mid \text{some condition}\}”,$$

where S is a given set and where “some condition” is some well-defined predicate that involves the variable x . It means the set of all $x \in S$ that satisfy this condition.

Some **examples** of this:

- $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 < x < 7\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.
- $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq x \leq 7\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.
- $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 1 < x < 7\}$ is a set that contains 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 but also infinitely many other numbers (e.g., it contains $\frac{3}{2}$).
- $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 7 < x < 1\} = \{\} = \emptyset$.
- $\{y \in \mathbb{Z} \mid y \text{ is divisible by 3 and divides 12}\} = \{3, 6, 12, -3, -6, -12\}$.
- $\{y \in \mathbb{Q} \mid y \text{ is divisible by 3 and divides 12}\}$ is meaningless, because “ y is divisible by 3” only has a well-defined meaning when y is an integer.
- $\{x \in \{y \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 < y < 7\} \mid x \text{ is even}\} = \{2, 4, 6\}$. Of course, this is better written as $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 < x < 7 \text{ and } x \text{ is even}\}$, but $\{x \in \{y \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 < y < 7\} \mid x \text{ is even}\}$ is a perfectly valid notation. Just be careful to not write $\{x \in \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 < x < 7\} \mid x \text{ is even}\}$. Variables should not be reused in the same formula.

- $\{x \mid x \text{ is a prime number}\}$ is, strictly speaking, not an instance of our notation, because there is not set on the left of the $\mid$. But it's clear and unambiguous: it just means the set of all prime numbers. So we allow it as well. However, $\{x \mid x^2 = x\}$ is not unambiguous, because I'm not saying what x is and it does not follow from the context. Maybe I mean real numbers, but maybe I mean matrices.
- In geometry, sets defined by filtering are called "loci" (this is the plural of "locus"). For example, "The locus of all points that are at the same distance from a given point P and a given line ℓ is a parabola". In our language, this is simply saying that

the set $\{Q \in \text{Pts} \mid \text{dist}(Q, P) = \text{dist}(Q, \ell)\}$ is a parabola,

where Pts is the set of all points in the plane.
