Math 504: Advanced Linear Algebra

Hugo Woerdeman, with edits by Darij Grinberg*

October 15, 2021 (unfinished!)

Contents

1.	Jordan canonical (aka normal) form (cont'd)		1
	1.1.	Powers and the Jordan canonical form	1
	1.2.	The minimal polynomial	4

Math 504 Lecture 11

1. Jordan canonical (aka normal) form (cont'd)

1.1. Powers and the Jordan canonical form

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Assume that we know the JCF *J* of *A* and an invertible matrix *S* such that

$$A = SJS^{-1}.$$

Then, it is fairly easy to compute all powers A^m of A. Indeed, recall that

•
$$(SJS^{-1})^m = SJ^mS^{-1}$$
 for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

•
$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & A_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_k \end{pmatrix}^m = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^m & & & \\ & A_2^m & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & A_k^m \end{pmatrix}$$
 for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

^{*}Drexel University, Korman Center, 15 S 33rd Street, Philadelphia PA, 19104, USA

Thus, it suffices to compute the *m*-th power of any Jordan cell $J_k(\lambda)$. So let us consider a Jordan cell

$$C := J_5(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & \\ & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$C^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{2} & 2\lambda & 1 & & \\ \lambda^{2} & 2\lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda^{2} & 2\lambda & 1 & & \\ & & \lambda^{2} & 2\lambda & & \\ & & & & \lambda^{2} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad C^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & 3\lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & 3\lambda & & \\ & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & 3\lambda^{2} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda^{3} & & \\$$

In general:

Theorem 1.1.1. Let k > 0 and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $C = J_k(\lambda)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, C^m is the upper-triangular $k \times k$ -matrix whose (i, j)-th entry is $\binom{m}{j-i}\lambda^{m-j+i}$. (Here, we follow the convention that $\binom{n}{\ell} := 0$ when $\ell \notin \mathbb{N}$. Also, recall that $\binom{n}{\ell} = 0$ when $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell > n$.)

First proof. Induct on *m* and use $C^m = CC^{m-1}$ as well as Pascal's recursion

$$\binom{n}{\ell} = \binom{n-1}{\ell} + \binom{n-1}{\ell-1}.$$

Second proof. Set $B := J_k(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We know all powers of B already:

 B^i has 1s *i* steps above the main diagonal, and 0s everywhere else.

However, $C = B + \lambda I_k$. The matrices λI_k and B commute (i.e., we have $B \cdot \lambda I_k = \lambda I_k \cdot B$). It is a general fact that if X and Y are two commuting $n \times n$ -matrices, then the binomial formula

$$(X+Y)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} X^i Y^{m-i}.$$

(This can be proved in the same way as for numbers, because the commutativity of *X* and *Y* lets you move any *X*es past any *Y*s.) Applying this formula to X = B and $Y = \lambda I_k$, we obtain

$$(B + \lambda I_k)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B^i \underbrace{(\lambda I_k)^{m-i}}_{=\lambda^{m-i}I_k} = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \lambda^{m-i} B^i \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1} \lambda^{m-1} & \binom{m}{2} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1} \lambda^{m-1} & \binom{m}{2} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots \\ & \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1} \lambda^{m-1} & \binom{m}{2} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & \lambda^m & \binom{m}{1} \lambda^{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & \lambda^m & \cdots & \vdots \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

which is precisely the matrix claimed in the theorem.

Now we know how to take powers of Jordan cells, and therefore how to take powers of any matrix that we know how to bring to a Jordan canonical form.

Corollary 1.1.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then, $\lim_{m \to \infty} A^m = 0$ if and only if all eigenvalues of *A* have absolute value < 1.

Proof. \implies : Suppose that $\lim_{m \to \infty} A^m = 0$, but *A* has an eigenvalue λ of absolute value ≥ 1 . We want a contradiction.

Consider a nonzero eigenvector x for eigenvalue λ . Thus, $Ax = \lambda x$. Then, $A^2x = \lambda^2 x$ (since $A^2x = A$ $Ax = \lambda Ax = \lambda \lambda x = \lambda^2 x$) and similarly $A^3x = \lambda^3 x$ $=\lambda x$ and $A^4x = \lambda^4 x$ and so on Thus

and $A^4x = \lambda^4 x$ and so on. Thus,

$$A^m x = \lambda^m x$$
 for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, as $m \to \infty$, the vector $A^m x$ goes to 0 (since $A^m \to 0$), but the vector $\lambda^m x$ does not (since $x \neq 0$ and $|\lambda| \ge 1$). Contradiction.

 $\Leftarrow: \text{Suppose that all eigenvalues of A function of A. Write J as } \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_p \end{pmatrix},$ Let $A = SJS^{-1}$ be the Jordan canonical form of A. Write J as $\begin{pmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_p \end{pmatrix}$,

where each J_i is a Jordan cell.

It suffices to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} J_i^m = 0$. Write J_i as $J_k(\lambda)$, with $|\lambda| < 1$. The preceding theorem then tells us that

$$J_{i}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{m} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-1} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \lambda^{m} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-1} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \lambda^{m} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-1} & \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-2} & \cdots \\ \lambda^{m} & \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{m-1} & \cdots \\ \lambda^{m} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Look closely at this matrix. We need to show that for each $i, j \in [k]$, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \binom{m}{j-i} \lambda^{m-j+i} = 0.$$

However, this is a standard asymptotics argument:

$$= \frac{\binom{m}{j-i}}{\binom{m-j+i}{(m-1)(m-2)\cdots(m-j+i)}} \xrightarrow{\substack{\lambda^{m-j+i}\\exponential in m,\\with quotient \lambda having absolute value |\lambda|<1}{(j-i)!} \rightarrow 0$$
(for $i \leq j$; otherwise the claim is trivial)

because exponential functions with a quotient of absolute value < 1 converge to 0 faster than polynomials can go to ∞ .

1.2. The minimal polynomial

Recall: A polynomial $p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ (where \mathbb{F} is any field, and *t* is an indeterminate) is said to be **monic** if its leading coefficient is 1 – that is, if it can be written in the form

$$p(t) = t^m + p_{m-1}t^{m-1} + p_{m-2}t^{m-2} + \dots + p_0t^0$$
 for

r some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 1.2.1. Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ and a polynomial $p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$, we saay that p(t) annihilates A if p(A) = 0.

The Cayley–Hamilton theorem says that the characteristic polynomial p_A of a square matrix A always annihilates A. However, often there are matrices that are annihilated by other – sometimes simpler – polynomials.

Example 1.2.2. The identity matrix I_n is annihilated by the polynomial p(t) :=t-1, because

$$p\left(I_n\right)=I_n-I_n=0.$$

Example 1.2.3. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is annihilated by the polynomial $p(t) := t^2$, since its square is 0.

Example 1.2.4. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is annihilated by the polynomial p(t) :=

 t^2 , since its square is 0.

Example 1.2.5. The diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is annihilated by the polynomial of the polynomi mial p(t) := (t-2)(t-3), since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ & 2 \\ & & 3 \end{pmatrix} - 2I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ & 2 \\ & & 3 \end{pmatrix} - 3I_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Theorem 1.2.6. Given an $n \times n$ -matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then, there is a **unique** monic polynomial $q_A(t)$ of minimum degree that annihilates A.

Proof. The Cayley–Hamilton theorem shows that p_A annihilates A. Since p_A is monic, we thus conclude that there exists **some** monic polynomial that annihilates A. Hence, there exists such a polynomial of minimum degree.

It remains to show that it is unique. To do so, we let q_A and \tilde{q}_A be two monic polynomials of minimum degree that annihilate A. Our goal then is to show that $q_A = \tilde{q}_A.$

Indeed, if $q_A \neq \tilde{q}_A$, then the difference $q_A - \tilde{q}_A$ is a polynomial of smaller degree that annihilates A (indeed, it is of smaller degree because q_A and \tilde{q}_A are monic of the same degree and thus lose their leading terms upon subtraction; it annihilates A because $(q_A - \tilde{q}_A)(A) = q_A(A) - \tilde{q}_A(A) = 0 - 0 = 0$. By scaling this difference appropriately, we can make it monic (since it is nonzero), and then get a contradiction to the minimality of q_A 's degree. This concludes the proof.

Definition 1.2.7. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix. The preceding theorem shows that there is a **unique** monic polynomial $q_A(t)$ of minimum degree that annihilates A. This unique polynomial will be denoted $q_A(t)$ and will be called the **minimal polynomial** of *A*.

Example 1.2.8. Let *A* be the diagonal matrix
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then,

$$q_A(t) = (t-2)(t-3).$$

Indeed, we already know that the monic polynomial (t-2)(t-3) annihilates A. If there was any monic polynomial of smaller degree that would annihilate *A*, then it would have the form $t - \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, but λ cannot be 2 and 3 at the same time.

For comparison: $p_A(t) = (t-2)^2 (t-3)$.

Theorem 1.2.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix. Let $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ be any polynomial. Then, f annihilates A if and only if f is a multiple of q_A (that is, $f(t) = q_A(t) \cdot g(t)$ for some polynomial $g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Proof. \implies : Assume that f annihilates A. Thus, f(A) = 0. WLOG, assume that $f \neq 0$. Thus, we can make f monic by scaling it. Thus, deg $f \geq \deg q_A$ (since q_A had minimum degree). Hence, we can divide f by q_A with remainder, obtaining

$$f(t) = q_A(t) \cdot g(t) + r(t),$$

where g(t) and r(t) are two polynomials with deg $r < \deg q_A$. Substituting A for *t* in this equality, we obtain

$$f(A) = \underbrace{q_A(A)}_{=0} \cdot g(A) + r(A) = r(A),$$

(since q_A annihilates A)

so that r(A) = f(A) = 0. In other words, r annihilates A. Since deg $r < \deg q_A$, this entails that r = 0 (since otherwise, scaling r to make it monic would contradict the minimality of deg q_A). Thus,

$$f(t) = q_A(t) \cdot g(t) + \underbrace{r(t)}_{=0} = q_A(t) \cdot g(t).$$

Thus, *f* is a multiple of q_A .

 \Leftarrow : Easy and LTTR.

page 6

Corollary 1.2.10. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix. Then, $q_A(t) \mid p_A(t)$.

Proof. Apply the previous theorem to $f = p_A$, recalling that p_A annihilates A. \Box

The corollary yields that any root of q_A must be a root of p_A , that is, an eigenvalue of A. Conversely, we can show that any eigenvalue of A is a root of q_A (but we don't know with which multiplicity):

Proposition 1.2.11. If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, then $q_A(\lambda) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$. Thus, there exists a nonzero eigenvector x for λ .

Then, $Ax = \lambda x$. As we have seen above, this entails $A^m x = \lambda^m x$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $f(A)x = f(\lambda)x$ for each polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ (because you can write f(t) as $f_0t^0 + f_1t^1 + \cdots + f_pt^p$, and then apply $A^m x = \lambda^m x$ to each of $m = 0, 1, \ldots, p$). Hence, $q_A(A)x = q_A(\lambda)x$, so that

$$q_A(\lambda) x = \underbrace{q_A(A)}_{\text{(since } q_A \text{ annihilates } A)} x = 0.$$

Since $x \neq 0$, this entails $q_A(\lambda) = 0$, qed.