

3.7. COMPOSITIONS, WEAK COMPOSITIONS, MULTISSETS

How many ways are there to write 5 as a sum of 3 positive integers, if the order matters? 6, namely;

$$\begin{aligned} 5 &= 1 + 2 + 2 = 2 + 1 + 2 = 2 + 2 + 1 \\ &= 1 + 1 + 3 = 1 + 3 + 1 = 3 + 1 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.23. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Then, (# of $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in P^k$ satisfying $x_1 + \dots + x_k = n$)

$$= \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \text{if } n \geq 1; \\ [k=0] & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark. ~~The k -tuples~~ A composition is a ~~tuple~~ tuple of ~~positive~~ positive integers. A composition into k parts is a k -tuple of positive integers. A composition of n is a ~~tuple~~ tuple of positive integers whose sum is n . So, Thm. 3.23 says:

$$(\# \text{ of compositions of } n \text{ into } k \text{ parts}) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \text{if } n \geq 1; \\ [k=0] & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

WLOG assume $n \geq 1$ (since the $n=0$ case is trivial).

Consider, for each k -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{P}^k$ satisfying

$x_1 + \dots + x_k = n$, the set

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \{x_1 + \dots + x_j \mid 1 \leq j < k\} \\
 &= \{x_1, \\
 &\quad x_1 + x_2, \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}\} \subset [n-1]
 \end{aligned}$$

~~The map~~ it is a $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-1]$

The map ~~composes~~ $\{k\text{-tuples } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{P}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n\} \rightarrow \{(k-1)\text{-element subsets of } [n-1]\}$,

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto D(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

is a bijection (indeed, the inverse map sends a $(k-1)$ -element subset $\{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\}$ of $[n-1]$ to

$(s_1 - s_0, s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2, \dots, s_{k+1} - s_k)$, where
we set $s_0 = 0$ and $s_{k+1} = n$,

-3-

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\# \text{ of } k\text{-tuples } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{P}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n) \\ &= (\# \text{ of } (k-1)\text{-element subsets of } [n-1]) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} \quad (\text{since } n \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

□

qed.

Theorem 3.24, let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(\# \text{ of } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n)$$

$$= \binom{k}{n}.$$

Proof. To construct such a tuple, we just need to decide which k 's will satisfy $x_i = 1$. There are $\binom{k}{n}$ choices for this.

Rigorous version: ~~The~~ The map

$$\{\text{subsets of } [k]\} \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k,$$

$$S \mapsto ([1 \in S], [2 \in S], \dots, [k \in S])$$

-4-

is a bijection. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\# \text{ of } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n) \\ &= (\# \text{ of subsets } S \text{ of } [k] \text{ satisfying } \underbrace{[1 \in S] + \dots + [k \in S]}_{= |S|} = n) \end{aligned}$$

(by Lemma 3.24.2 below)

$$= (\# \text{ of subsets } S \text{ of } [k] \text{ satisfying } |S| = n) = \binom{k}{n}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.24.2. ~~Let G be a set. Let $S \subseteq G$~~ ("Counting by roll-call").

Let G be a set, let S be a finite subset of G . Then,

$$|S| = \sum_{g \in G} [g \in S].$$

Proof. Easy, but the lemma is highly useful.

Theorem 3.25. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(\# \text{ of } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} & \text{if } k \neq 0; \\ [n=0] & \text{if } k=0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \binom{n+k-1}{n}$$

Remark. ~~The~~ Tuples of nonnegative integers are called weak compositions.

Example: For $n=2$ and $k=3$, the # of weak compositions of 2 into 3 parts is $\binom{2+3-1}{2} = 6$; and they are
 $2 = 0 + 1 + 1 = 1 + 0 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 0$
 $= 0 + 0 + 2 = 0 + 2 + 0 = 2 + 0 + 0,$

Proof of Theorem 3.25. The cases $k=0$ and $n=0$ are again omitted. So $k > 0$ and $n > 0$. Let $P = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

The map

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k = n\}$$

-6-

$$\rightarrow \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{P}^k \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k = n+k\},$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto (x_1+1, \dots, x_k+1)$$

is a bijection. Thus,

$$(\# \text{ of } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n)$$

$$= (\# \text{ of } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{P}^k \text{ satisfying } x_1 + \dots + x_k = n+k)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} & \text{if } n+k \geq 1 \\ [k=0] & \text{if } n+k = 0 \end{cases}$$

(by Theorem 3.23, applied to $n+k$ instead of n)

$$= \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} = \binom{n+k-1}{n}$$

(by symmetry), \square

Theorem 3.25 is related to multisets.

Def. Let S be a set.

A finite multiset is, roughly speaking, a "set" whose elements can appear with multiplicities.

All we care about here are finite multisubsets of S , which ~~are~~ can be defined as maps $S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. -7

(The value of such a map at $t \in S$ is the "multiplicity" of t in the multisubset).

For example, "the multisubset $\{1, 4, 4, 5, 7, 7, 7\}$ of $[8]$ " is encoded as the map $[8] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ sending
 $1 \mapsto 1, 2 \mapsto 0, 3 \mapsto 0, 4 \mapsto 2, 5 \mapsto 1, 6 \mapsto 0, 7 \mapsto 3, 8 \mapsto 0$. The size of a multisubset f is defined as $\sum_{t \in S} f(t)$.

Corollary 3.26. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let S be an k -elt. set.

Then, the # of multisubsets of S having size n is

$$\binom{n+k-1}{n}.$$

Proof. Let ~~s_1, \dots, s_k~~ be the s_1, \dots, s_k elements of S .

Then, { multisubsets of S having size n }

$$\rightarrow \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k = n \},$$

$f \mapsto (f(s_1), \dots, f(s_k))$ is a bijection.

Now, use Theorem 3.25. \square

-8-

Remark. HW2 exercise 3(a) asked you to show that

if $m, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, then;

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\# \text{ of } k\text{-unar subsets of } [2m] \text{ with exactly} \\ & \quad a \text{ even \& } b \text{ odd elements}) \\ & = [a \leq m][b \leq m] \binom{m-a}{b} \binom{m-b}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

We can solve this using multisets;

Let S be a k -unar subset of $[2m]$ with exactly a even & b odd elements.

Write S as $\{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{a+b}\}$.

Then, $s_1 - 0 \leq s_2 - 2 \leq s_3 - 4 \leq \dots \leq s_{a+b} - 2(a+b-1)$.

Now, consider the multisubset

$M := \{s_1 - 0, s_2 - 2, s_3 - 4, \dots, s_{a+b} - 2(a+b-1)\}$ multiset
of $[2m - 2(a+b-1)]$. It has exactly a even & b odd
elements. So we can split M into a "multiset union"
 $M_{\text{even}} \dot{\cup} M_{\text{odd}}$ (like a union of sets, but multiplicities get

added), where

M_{even} is a size- a multiset of
 $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1)\}$,

-9-

and where

M_{odd} is a size- b multisubset of
 $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1) - 1\}$.

Moreover, this encoding
~~is a bijection~~
is a bijection between the set of lacunar subsets of $[2m]$ with exactly a even
& b odd elements

\rightarrow {size- a multisubsets of $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1)\}$ }
 \times {size- b multisubsets of $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1) - 1\}$ }

$S \mapsto (M_{\text{even}}, M_{\text{odd}})$

is a bijection. Therefore, the LHS of (1) is
| {size- a multisubsets of $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1)\}$ } |

$$= [\text{something}] \binom{m - (a+b-1) + a - 1}{a} \quad (\text{by Cor. 3.26})$$

• $|\{\text{size} - b \text{ multisubsets of } \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m - 2(a+b-1) - 1\}| - 10 -$

$$= [\text{something}] \binom{m - (a+b-1) + b - 1}{b} \quad (\text{by Cor. 3.26})$$

$$= [\text{something}] \cdot [\text{something}] \cdot \binom{m - (a+b-1) + a - 1}{a} \binom{m - (a+b-1) + b - 1}{b}$$

$$= \left(1 \text{ if } a \leq m \ \& \ b \leq m\right) = \binom{m-b}{a} = \binom{m-a}{b}$$

$$= \binom{m-b}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} \quad \text{if } a \leq m \ \& \ b \leq m,$$

If $a > m$, then no such subsets exist $\Rightarrow 0 = 0$. \square

$\nabla b > m$

Similarly, we can do Exercise 3(b).

3.8. DESTRUCTIVE INTERFERENCE AND THE

PRINCIPLE OF INCLUSION & EXCLUSION.

Theorem 3.27. (Principle of Inclusion & Exclusion, aka the
Sylvestor sieve formula). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be
finite sets.

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n| \\ &\quad - |A_1 \cap A_2| - |A_1 \cap A_3| - \dots - |A_{n-1} \cap A_n| \\ &\quad + |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| + |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_4| + \dots \\ &\quad - \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad \pm \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Or, in rigorous terms:

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right| = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|.$$

(Here, $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ means "the intersection of all A_i with $i \in I$ ".)

E.g., $\bigcap_{i \in \{2, 3, 6\}} A_i = A_2 \cap A_3 \cap A_6.$)

(b) Let U be a finite set that contains all A_i 's as subsets. Then,

$$\left| U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right| = \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|,$$

where we set $\bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} A_i = U.$

Vic has sketched an induction proof. See [Galvin, §16] for 2 other proofs. Here, we'll show a different proof, based on the following theorem:

Thm. 3.28 ("Destructive interference").

Let G be a finite set. Then,

$$\sum_{I \subseteq G} (-1)^{|I|} = [G = \emptyset].$$

Example: If $G = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \subseteq G} (-1)^{|I|} &= \cancel{(-1)^{|\emptyset|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{1\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{2\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{3\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{1,2\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{1,3\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{2,3\}|}} + \cancel{(-1)^{|\{1,2,3\}|}} \\ &= 1 + (-1) + (-1) + 1 \\ &\quad + (-1) + 1 + 1 + (-1) \\ &= 0 = [\{1, 2, 3\} = \emptyset]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Idea: ~~Each I that doesn't work~~ If $G = \emptyset$, then this is obvious. So WLOG assume that G is nonempty.

Fix any $g \in G$. Then, the $I \subseteq G$ that contain g have their addends cancel the addends corresponding to the I that don't contain g . □

Proof of Theorem 3.27.

(b) Let $x \in U$. Let $G = \{i \in [n] \mid x \in A_i\} \subseteq [n]$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \underbrace{[x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i]}_{= [x \in A_i \text{ for all } i \in I]} \\ = [i \in G \text{ for all } i \in I] \\ = [I \subseteq G] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \cdot [I \subseteq G]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \subseteq G}} (-1)^{|I|} \cdot \underbrace{[I \subseteq G]}_{=1} + \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \not\subseteq G}} (-1)^{|I|} \cdot \underbrace{[I \subseteq G]}_{=0 \text{ (since } I \not\subseteq G)}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \subseteq G}} (-1)^{|I|} = \sum_{I \subseteq G} (-1)^{|I|} = [G = \emptyset]$$

$$= [\text{there exist no } i \in [n] \text{ such that } x \in A_i]$$

$$= [x \notin A_i \text{ for all } i \in [n]]$$

$$(2) = [x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i] = [x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i]$$

This holds $\forall x \in U$.

Now,

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|$$

$$= \sum_{x \in U} [x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i]$$

(by lemma 3.242)

$$= \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{x \in U} [x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i]$$

$$= \sum_{x \in U} \underbrace{\sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} [x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i]}_{(2) [x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i]}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in U} [x \in U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i]$$

$$= |U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i| \quad (\text{by lemma 3.24a})$$

This proves Theorem 3.27 (b).

(a). ~~Clearly~~ let $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Then,

$$|U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i| = 0. \quad \text{But (b) yields}$$

$$|U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i| = \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|$$

$$= \underbrace{(-1)^{|\emptyset|}}_{=1} \underbrace{\left| \bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} A_i \right|}_{=U} + \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \neq \emptyset}} \underbrace{(-1)^{|I|}}_{=-(-1)^{|I|-1}} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|$$

$$= |U| - \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|.$$

Comparing these, we get

-17-

$$|U| - \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right| = 0,$$

so that
$$|U| = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n]; \\ I \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right|.$$

Since $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$, this is exactly Theorem 3.27(2), \square

Rmk. If n is a positive integer, then $\phi(n)$ denotes the number of all $i \in [n]$ that are coprime (=relatively prime) to n .

For example, ~~$\phi(6) = |\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}| = 6$~~ $\phi(6) = |\{1, \cancel{2}, \cancel{3}, \cancel{4}, 5, \cancel{6}\}| = 2$.

There is a formula for ϕ : If p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k are the distinct primes dividing n , then

$$\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right).$$

See [LeMe, §15.9.5] for a
proof using Theorem 3.27.