

Proof of Induction Principle 2.16.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$, define the statement $B(n)$ by

$$B(n) = (\phi(g) \wedge \phi(g+1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi(n-1))$$

$$= (\phi(k) \text{ holds } \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g} \text{ with } k < n).$$

Now, we want to prove $B(n) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ using induction principle 2.10.

So we must prove the following:

$$(1) \quad B(g) \text{ holds.}$$

(2) $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$, if $B(n)$ holds, then $B(n+1)$ holds.

Proof of (1): $B(g) = (\phi(k) \text{ holds } \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g} \text{ with } k < g)$
 there are no such k

is vacuously true. So it holds.

Proof of (2): Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$. Assume that $B(n)$ holds.

We must prove that $B(n+1)$ holds.

We know that $B(n)$ holds, i.e., we have

$$(3) \quad \cancel{\phi(k) \text{ holds } \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g} \text{ with } k < n}.$$

In other words,

$\mathcal{A}(m)$ holds $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$ with $m < n$.

So, by our only assumption, $\mathcal{A}(n)$ holds.

Combining ~~this~~ this with (3), we conclude that

$\mathcal{A}(k)$ holds $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$ with $k < n+1$.

In other words, $\mathcal{B}(n+1)$ holds. So (2) is proven.

Thus, by Principle 2.10, $\mathcal{B}(n)$ holds $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{B}(n+1)$ holds $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$.

~~Now~~, let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$. Then, $\mathcal{B}(n+1)$ holds.

In other words,

$\mathcal{A}(k)$ holds $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq g}$ with $k < n+1$.

Applying this to $k=n$, we get that $\mathcal{A}(n)$ holds.

This yields Induction principle 2.16. \square

A source of applications of strong induction are properties of Fibonacci numbers:

$(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots)$ is defined by

-3-

$$f_0 = 0, \quad f_1 = 1, \quad f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
f_n	0	1	1	2	3	5	8	13	21

Lemma 2.17. The Fibonacci sequence (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) is strictly increasing from f_2 on: $f_2 < f_3 < f_4 < f_5 < \dots$.

Proof. Use Induction principle 2.16 (strong induction) with $g(n) = (f_2 < f_{n+1})$ and $g=2$.

We need to prove:

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, if $(g(m) \text{ holds } \forall m < n)$, then $g(n)$ holds.

So let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Assume that $g(m)$ holds $\forall m < n$.

This is the induction hypothesis. We want to prove $g(n)$,
In other words, we want to prove $f_n < f_{n+1}$.

This is equivalent to $f_0 < f_{n-1}$, since $f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$.

If $n=2$, this is clear ($0 < f_1 = f_{2-1}$). -4-

So WLOG assume $n \neq 2$. Thus, $n \geq 3$.

Now, ind. hypothesis says $f(m)$ holds $\forall m < n$.

In other words, $f_m < f_{m+1}$ holds $\forall m < n$.

In other words, $f_2 < f_3 < \dots < f_n$.

Thus, $f_2 \leq f_{n-1}$. Thus, $f_{n-1} \geq f_2 = 1 > 0$, so $0 < f_{n-1}$.

So $f(n)$ is proven.

Thus, the induction is complete, i.e., Principle 2.16 yields

$f(n) \quad \forall n \geq 2$.

In other words, $f_n < f_{n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 2$.

In other words, $f_2 < f_3 < f_4 < \dots$. □

~~Lemma 2.18~~

Recall: A set S of integers is lacunar if it contains no 2 consecutive integers.

Lemma 2.18. Let S be a finite lacunar subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

Then, $\sum_{t \in S} f_t < f_{\max S + 1}$

where $\max S$ means the largest element of S (-5-
 (this is defined to be 0 if $S = \emptyset$).

Example. Let $S = \{3, 5, 8\}$. Then,

$$\sum_{t \in S} f_t = f_3 + f_5 + f_8 = 2 + 5 + 21 = 28 \\ < f_9 = 34,$$

Proof of Lemma 2.18. Induction on $|S|$.

This means : Let $f(n) =$ (Lemma 2.18 holds for
 2) $|S| = n$).

Apply induction principle 2.1.

Induction base: Lemma 2.18 holds for $|S| = 0$,

(Indeed, in this case, $\sum_{t \in S} f_t = 0 < f_1 = f_{\max S + 1}$)

Induction step: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume (as the ind. hypothesis)

that Lemma 2.18 holds for $|S| = m$.

We must prove that Lemma 2.18 holds for $|S| = m+1$.

So let S be a finite lacunar subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ - 6-
 with $|S| = m+1$.

Let $S' = S \setminus \{\max S\}$. This S' has size $|S'| = m$.

So the Ind. hypothesis (applied to S' instead of S) yields

$$(4) \quad \sum_{t \in S'} f_t < f_{\max S'} + 1.$$

Now, $\sum_{t \in S} f_t = f_{\max S} + \sum_{t \in S'} f_t < f_{\max S} + f_{\max S'} + 1$

But S is lacunar, so $\max S' + 1 \leq \max S - 1$,

Hence, $f_{\max S'} + 1 \leq f_{\max S} - 1$

(since (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) is weakly increasing).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \sum_{t \in S} f_t &< f_{\max S} + \underbrace{f_{\max S'} + 1}_{\leq f_{\max S} - 1} \leq f_{\max S} + f_{\max S} - 1 \\ &= f_{\max S} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, Lemma 2.18 holds for S .

-7-

This completes the induction step. (since we have proven that ~~A(n)~~ holds at $(m+1)$ holds).

Thus, $A(n)$ holds $\forall n \in N$. Thus, Lemma 2.18 holds. \square

Now, recall the binary system:

$$23 = [10111]_2 = 2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^4.$$

~~We saw~~ So every ~~integer~~ $n \in N$ has a unique representation as a sum of distinct powers of 2.

In other words, every $n \in N$ has a unique representation ("binary representation") as $n = \sum_{t \in S} 2^t$, where

S is a finite subset of N ,

(E.g., for $n=23$, this S is $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$.)

Claim: ~~Every~~ Every $n \in N$ has a unique representation as a sum of distinct non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers

("Zeckendorf representation"),

Def. A Zeckendorf representation of an $n \in \mathbb{N}$

is a finite 12cuner subset S of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ such that

$$n = \sum_{t \in S} f_t.$$

Example.

$$\begin{aligned} 32 &= \underbrace{21}_{=f_8} + \underbrace{11}_{=f_8} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{8}_{=f_6} + \underbrace{3}_{=f_6} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{3}_{=f_4} + \underbrace{0}_{=f_4} \\ &= f_8 + f_6 + f_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{t \in S} f_t \quad \text{with } S = \{4, 6, 8\},$$

Thm. 2.19 (Zeckendorf). Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a unique Zeckendorf representation.

Remark. We can use ~~Thm. 2.19~~ to define 2 binary operation $*$ on \mathbb{N} as follows:

Given ~~p~~ $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, set

- 9 -

$$p * q = \sum_{\substack{(s,t) \\ \in S \times T}} f_{s+t} \quad)$$

where S is the Zeckendorf representation of p
 and T ————— // ————— g .

This operation $*$ is called Fibonacci multiplication.

Knuth proved that $*$ is associative.

(we won't prove it here.)

Proof of Thm. 2.19. Existence: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

of ~~$\text{Bij}(n)$~~ (n) = (n has 2 Zeckendorf representation),

We'll prove ~~$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$~~ by strong induction (i.e., Induction principle 2.16).

Belong
 $\sigma(n)$

So fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\mathcal{A}(m)$ holds $\forall m < n$.

-10-

We must prove that $\mathcal{A}(n)$ holds. Ind. hyp.

WLOG assume $n > 0$ (since for $n=0$, $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is obvious).

Then, there exists a largest $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ such that $f_k \leq n$

(since the Fibonacci sequence (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) increases strictly from $f_2 = 1$ on). Fix this k .

Thus, $f_k \leq n$ but $f_{k+1} \neq n$, so $n < f_{k+1}$.

Now, $n - f_k \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $f_k \leq n$) and $n - f_k < n$ (since $f_k \geq f_2 = 1 > 0$). Hence, by the ind. hyp., $\mathcal{A}(n - f_k)$ holds. Thus, $n - f_k$ has a Zeckendorf repres.

I.e., ~~subset~~ \exists finite lacunar subset S' of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ such that $n - f_k = \sum_{t \in S'} f_t$. Consider this S' .

Thus, $n = \sum_{t \in S'} f_t + f_k$.

Observation 1: $k > \max S' + 1$.

[Proof of observation 1: If $S' = \emptyset$, do it yourself.]

So WLOG assume $S' \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, $\sum_{t \in S'} f_t \geq f_{\max S'}$.

Hence $n - f_k = \sum_{t \in S'} f_t \geq f_{\max S'}, \text{ so } f_{\max S'} \leq n - f_k$
 $< f_{k+1} - f_k$ (since $n < f_{k+1}$).

Thus, $f_{\max S'} < f_{k-1}$ (since $f_{k+1} - f_k = f_{k-1}$).

Hence, $\max S' < k-1$ (since (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) is weakly increasing).

Thus, $k > \max S' + 1$.]

Observation 1 yields that $k \notin S'$ and that $S' \cup \{k\}$ is still lacunar (since S' is lacunar),

Therefore,

$$n = \sum_{t \in S'} f_t + f_k = \sum_{t \in S' \cup \{k\}} f_t \quad \text{is a Zeckendorf representation of } n.$$

Thus, n has 2 Zeckendorf repr.

In other words, $\text{st}(n)$ holds. Thus, the induction proof is complete (i.e., $\text{st}(n)$ holds $\forall n$).

So we have ~~to~~ prove that each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has 2 Zeckendorf repr.

Uniqueness: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= (n \text{ has } \underline{\text{at most}} \text{ one Zeckendorf repr.}) \\ &= (\text{any two ZRs of } n \text{ are equal}). \end{aligned}$$

("ZR" ~~means~~ "Zeckendorf representation").

Again, prove this by strong induction.

So fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $f(m)$ holds $\forall m < n$.

We must prove that $f(n)$ holds.

WLOG assume $n > 0$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be two ZRs of n . We must prove $S_1 = S_2$.

Since S_1 is a $\mathbb{Z}R$ of n , we know that S_1 is a

finite lacunary subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ with $n = \sum_{t \in S_1} f_t$.

Similarly for S_2 . Note that $S_1 \neq \emptyset$, since $\sum_{t \in S_1} f_t = n \neq 0$.

Now, Lemma 2.18 (applied to $S = S_1$) yields

$$\sum_{t \in S_1} f_t < f_{\max S_1 + 1}$$

$$\text{so } f_{\max S_1 + 1} > \sum_{t \in S_1} f_t = n = \sum_{t \in S_2} f_t \geq f_{\max S_2}.$$

Hence, $\max S_1 + 1 > \max S_2$ (since (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) is weakly increasing).

Therefore, $\max S_1 \geq \max S_2$.

The same argument (with S_1 and S_2 interchanged) yields

$$\max S_2 \geq \max S_1.$$

Thus, $\max S_1 = \max S_2$.

Let $m = \max S_1 = \max S_2$.

-14-

Then, $S_1 \setminus \{m\}$ and $S_2 \setminus \{m\}$ are two ZRs of $n-f_m$.

Moreover, $n-f_m \in N$ (since it has a ZR), and $n-f_m < n$ (since $f_m > 0$). Thus, the induction hypothesis yields that $\text{st}(n-f_m)$ holds, i.e. any two ZRs of $n-f_m$ are equal.

So $S_1 \setminus \{m\} = S_2 \setminus \{m\}$. ~~Combining this~~

Now, $S_1 = \underbrace{(S_1 \setminus \{m\})}_{= S_2 \setminus \{m\}} \cup \{m\} = (S_2 \setminus \{m\}) \cup \{m\} = S_2$.

So we have shown that any two ZRs of n are equal.
In other words, $\text{st}(n)$ holds. \Rightarrow Induction complete. \square

So Thm. 2.19 is proven.

(Ex. 2.20.) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Then, the map

$\{\text{2cunar subsets of } \{2, \dots, p^3\}\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, f_{p+1}-1\}$,

$$S \mapsto \sum_{t \in S} f_t$$

is a bijection.

Proof. The map is

- well-defined (by Lemma 2.18:

$$\sum_{t \in S} f_t < f_{\underbrace{\max S}_{\leq p} + 1} \leq f_{p+1}$$

so $\sum_{t \in S} f_t \in \{0, 1, \dots, f_{p+1} - 1\}$.

- injective

(since each n has 2 unique Zeckendorf repr.).

- surjective

(indeed, let $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, f_{p+1} - 1\}$;
then, the Zeckendorf representation S of n satisfies

$$f_{p+1} > n = \sum_{t \in S} f_t \geq \cancel{f_{\max S}}$$

so $p+1 > \max S$, so $\max S < p$,

so $S \subseteq \{2, \dots, p\}^*$

so our map sends this S to n).

So it is a bijection,

□

Recall Prop. 1.22: It says that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the # of
lacunar subsets of $[n] \geq f_{n+2}$.

3rd proof of Prop. 1.22: WLOG $n > 0$. Set $p = n+1$.

Thus, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Hence, Cor. 2.20 yields.

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\{\text{lacunar subsets of } \{2, \dots, p\}\}| &= |\{\{0, 1, \dots, f_{p+1}-1\}\}| \\ &= f_{p+1} = f_{n+2} \text{ (since } p+1 = n+2\text{),} \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{lacunar subsets of } \{2, \dots, p\}\} &\rightarrow \{\text{lacunar subsets of } [n]\}, \\ S &\mapsto \cancel{\{s-1 \mid s \in S\}} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Thus,

$$|\{\text{lacunar subsets of } \{2, \dots, p\}\}| = |\{\text{lacunar subsets of } [n]\}|.$$

Comparing this with (1), we get

$$|\{\text{lacunar subsets of } [n]\}| = f_{n+2}, \quad \text{qed. D}$$