

Math 4707 & Math 4990 Fall 2017 (Darij Grinberg): homework set 2 with solutions

Contents

0.1. More on binomial coefficients	1
0.2. Counting lacunar subsets by size	9
0.3. A formula for the sur (n, k) numbers	15
0.4. “Oddlike” permutations	21
0.5. Necklaces 1: rotating tuples	23

0.1. More on binomial coefficients

Recall the following formula (which we have already seen in the solutions to homework set 1):

Proposition 0.1. Let a and b be two integers such that $a \geq b \geq 0$. Then, $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$.

Also, recall some more fundamental properties of binomial coefficients:

Proposition 0.2. We have

$$\binom{m}{n} = 0$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m < n$.

Proposition 0.3. We have

$$\binom{m}{n} = \binom{m-1}{n-1} + \binom{m-1}{n} \tag{1}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Proposition 0.4. We have $\binom{n}{n} = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Exercise 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Prove that

$$(2n-1) \cdot (2n-3) \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}.$$

(The left hand side is understood to be the product of all odd integers from 1 to $2n-1$.)

(b) Prove that

$$\binom{-1/2}{n} = \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^n \binom{2n}{n}.$$

(c) Prove that

$$\binom{-1/3}{n} \binom{-2/3}{n} = \frac{(3n)!}{(3^n n!)^3}.$$

Solution to Exercise 1. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2n)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n) = \prod_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}} k = \underbrace{\left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}; \\ k \text{ is even}}} k \right)}_{=2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n)} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}; \\ k \text{ is odd}}} k \right)}_{=1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (2i) \\ &= 2^n \prod_{i=1}^n i \\ &\quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{here, we have split the product } \prod_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}} k \text{ into one product} \\ \text{containing all even } k \text{ and one product containing all odd } k \end{array} \right) \\ &= 2^n \underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n i \right)}_{=1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n = n!} \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)) \\ &= 2^n n! \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing this equality by $2^n n!$, we obtain

$$\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1) = (2n-1) \cdot (2n-3) \cdot \dots \cdot 1.$$

This solves Exercise 1 (a).

(b) We have $2n \geq n \geq 0$. Hence, Proposition 0.1 (applied to $a = 2n$ and $b = n$) yields

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n! (2n-n)!} = \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!}. \quad (2)$$

The definition of $\binom{-1/2}{n}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \binom{-1/2}{n} &= \frac{(-1/2)(-1/2-1)\cdots(-1/2-n+1)}{n!} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \underbrace{(-1/2)(-1/2-1)\cdots(-1/2-n+1)}_{=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1/2-k)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \underbrace{(-1/2-k)}_{=-\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)} = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{-1}{2} (2k+1) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \underbrace{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (2k+1)}_{\substack{=1\cdot3\cdot5\cdots(2(n-1)+1) \\ =1\cdot3\cdot5\cdots(2n-1) \\ \text{(since } 2(n-1)+1=2n-1\text{)}}} = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \underbrace{(1\cdot3\cdot5\cdots(2n-1))}_{\substack{=(2n-1)\cdot(2n-3)\cdots1 \\ (2n)! \\ =\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \\ \text{(by Exercise 1 (a))}}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} = \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{2^n}}_{=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!} = \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\underbrace{\frac{-1}{4}}_{=\frac{-1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n \binom{2n}{n} &= \left(\frac{-1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!} = \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!}, \\
 &= \frac{(2n)!}{\underbrace{n! n!}_{\text{(by (2))}}} = \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\binom{-1/2}{n} = \left(\frac{-1}{4} \right)^n \binom{2n}{n}$. This solves Exercise 1 (b).

(c) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3n)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (3n) = \prod_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}} k \\
 &= \left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} k \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}}} k \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}}} k \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

(here, we have split the product $\prod_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}} k$ into three smaller products, because each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}$ must satisfy exactly one of the three conditions $k \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and $k \equiv 2 \pmod 3$). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3n)! &= \underbrace{\left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod 3}} k \right)}_{= 3 \cdot 6 \cdot 9 \cdots (3n)} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod 3}} k \right)}_{= 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdots (3n-2)} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}; \\ k \equiv 2 \pmod 3}} k \right)}_{= 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots (3n-1)} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n (3i) \quad \quad \quad = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \quad \quad \quad = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \\
 &= 3^n \prod_{i=1}^n i \\
 &= 3^n \underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n i \right)}_{= n!} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right) \\
 &= 3^n n! \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right). \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $g \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \binom{-g/3}{n} &= \frac{(-g/3)(-g/3-1) \cdots (-g/3-n+1)}{n!} \\
 &\quad \left(\text{by the definition of } \binom{-g/3}{n} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \underbrace{(-g/3)(-g/3-1) \cdots (-g/3-n+1)}_{= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-g/3-i)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \underbrace{(-g/3-i)}_{= \frac{-1}{3}(3i+g)} = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{-1}{3} (3i+g) \right) \\
 &\quad \quad \quad = \left(\frac{-1}{3} \right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+g) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{3} \right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+g). \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underbrace{(3^n n!)^3}_{=3^n n! \cdot 3^n n! \cdot 3^n n!} \quad \underbrace{\binom{-1/3}{n}}_{= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1)} \quad \underbrace{\binom{-2/3}{n}}_{= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2)} \\
 & \quad \text{(by (4), applied to } g=1) \quad \text{(by (4), applied to } g=2) \\
 & = 3^n n! \cdot 3^n n! \cdot 3^n n! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right) \\
 & = 3^n \cdot 3^n \cdot 3^n \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^n}_{= \left(3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{-1}{3} \cdot \frac{-1}{3}\right)^n} n! \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right) \\
 & = \left(\underbrace{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{-1}{3} \cdot \frac{-1}{3}}_{=3} \right)^n n! \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right) \\
 & = 3^n n! \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1) \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+2) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (3), we obtain $(3^n n!)^3 \binom{-1/3}{n} \binom{-2/3}{n} = (3n)!$. Dividing this equality by $(3^n n!)^3$, we find $\binom{-1/3}{n} \binom{-2/3}{n} = \frac{(3n)!}{(3^n n!)^3}$. This solves Exercise 1 (c). $\square$

Remark 0.5. A generalization of parts (b) and (c) of Exercise 1 (provable in a similar way) is the following identity, true for each positive integer h :

$$\prod_{g=1}^{h-1} \binom{-g/h}{n} = \left(\frac{-1}{h}\right)^{n(h-1)} \cdot \frac{(hn)!}{h^n n!^h}.$$

Exercise 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Prove that every integer $j \geq a$ satisfies

$$\binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b} = \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a}.$$

(b) Compute the sum $\sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b}$ for every integer $n \geq a$. (The result should contain no summation signs.)

Before we come to the solution, let us recall a fundamental fact (which has already been proven in the solutions to homework set 1):

Proposition 0.6. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} = 2^m.$$

Let us modify this proposition a little bit by pushing additional zero addends into the sum:

Proposition 0.7. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p \geq m$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \binom{m}{k} = 2^m.$$

Proof of Proposition 0.7. We have $p \geq m \geq 0$. Thus, the sum $\sum_{k=0}^p \binom{m}{k}$ can be split as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{m}{k} &= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^p \underbrace{\binom{m}{k}}_{=0} = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=m+1}^p 0}_{=0} \\ &\quad \text{(by Proposition 0.2, applied to } n=k \text{ (since } k \geq m+1 > m \text{ and thus } m < k))} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} = 2^m \quad \text{(by Proposition 0.6).} \end{aligned}$$

This proves Proposition 0.7. □

Solution to Exercise 2. (a) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\underbrace{\binom{n}{k}}_{=0} \underbrace{\binom{n-k}{b}}_{=0} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} = \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1) \cdots (n-k-b+1)}{b!} \\ &\quad \text{(by the definition of } \binom{n}{k}) \quad \text{(by the definition of } \binom{n-k}{b}) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} \cdot \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1) \cdots (n-k-b+1)}{b!} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!b!} \cdot \underbrace{(n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)) \cdot ((n-k)(n-k-1) \cdots (n-k-b+1))}_{=n(n-1) \cdots (n-k-b+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-k-b+1)). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let $j \geq a$ be an integer. Then, Proposition 0.1 (applied to j and a instead of a and b) shows that

$$\binom{j}{a} = \frac{j!}{a!(j-a)!}.$$

But (5) (applied to $k = j$) shows that

$$\binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{b} = \frac{1}{j!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-j-b+1)).$$

Multiplying these two equalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{b} &= \frac{j!}{a!(j-a)!} \cdot \frac{1}{j!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-j-b+1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{a!(j-a)!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-j-b+1)). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, $j-a \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $j \geq a$), and thus the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-a-b}{j-a}$ is well-defined. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\underbrace{\binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b}}_{\substack{= \frac{1}{a!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-a-b+1)) \\ \text{(by (5), applied to } k=a\text{)}}}} \underbrace{\binom{n-a-b}{j-a}}_{\substack{\text{(by the definition of } \binom{n-a-b}{j-a}\text{)}}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{a!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-a-b+1)) \cdot \frac{(n-a-b)(n-a-b-1) \cdots (n-a-b-(j-a)+1)}{(j-a)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{a!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-a-b+1)) \cdot \frac{(n-a-b)(n-a-b-1) \cdots (n-a-b-(j-a)+1)}{(j-a)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{a!(j-a)!b!} \cdot \underbrace{(n(n-1) \cdots (n-a-b+1)) \cdot ((n-a-b)(n-a-b-1) \cdots (n-a-b-(j-a)+1))}_{\substack{= n(n-1) \cdots (n-a-b-(j-a)+1) \\ = n(n-1) \cdots (n-j-b+1) \\ \text{(since } n-a-b-(j-a)+1 = n-j-b+1\text{)}}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{a!(j-a)!b!} \cdot (n(n-1) \cdots (n-j-b+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (6), we obtain

$$\binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} = \binom{j}{a} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{b} = \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b}.$$

This solves Exercise 2 (a).

(b) Let $n \geq a$ be an integer. Thus, $n - a \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we claim that

$$\sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b} = \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} 2^{n-a-b}. \quad (7)$$

[Proof of (7): First of all, (7) holds if $n - a - b < 0$ ¹. Hence, for the rest of this proof, we WLOG assume that we don't have $n - a - b < 0$. Thus, we have $n - a - b \geq 0$, so that $n - a - b \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we have $n - a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n - a - b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n - a \geq n - a - b$ (since $b \geq 0$). Hence, Proposition 0.7 (applied to $m = n - a - b$ and $p = n - a$) yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-a} \binom{n-a-b}{k} = 2^{n-a-b}.$$

¹Proof. Assume that $n - a - b < 0$. Thus, $n - a < b$. Hence, Proposition 0.2 (applied to $n - a$ and b instead of m and n) shows that $\binom{n-a}{b} = 0$ (since $n - a \in \mathbb{N}$). But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=a}^n \underbrace{\binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b}}_{\substack{\text{(by Exercise 2 (a))} \\ = \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a}}} &= \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{a} \underbrace{\binom{n-a}{b}}_{=0} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} \\ &= \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{a} 0 \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$\binom{n}{a} \underbrace{\binom{n-a}{b}}_{=0} 2^{n-a-b} = 0,$$

we obtain $\sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b} = \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} 2^{n-a-b}$. Hence, (7) is proven under the assumption that $n - a - b < 0$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=a}^n \underbrace{\binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{a} \binom{n-j}{b}}_{\substack{= \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} \\ \text{(by Exercise 2 (a))}}} \\
&= \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} = \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \sum_{j=a}^n \binom{n-a-b}{j-a} \\
&= \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n-a} \binom{n-a-b}{k}}_{= 2^{n-a-b}} \\
&\quad \text{(here, we have substituted } k \text{ for } j-a \text{ in the sum)} \\
&= \binom{n}{a} \binom{n-a}{b} 2^{n-a-b}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (7).]

□

0.2. Counting lacunar subsets by size

Recall the concept of lacunar sets, as defined in homework set 1. Recall also the Fibonacci sequence $(f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots)$ defined by $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$, and $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Exercise 4 (c) on homework set 1 told us that the number $g(n)$ of all lacunar subsets of $[n]$ is f_{n+2} . We shall now see more.

Exercise 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) For any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$, prove that the number of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ is $\binom{n-k+1}{k}$.

[Notice that this equals 0 whenever $2k > n+1$. You shouldn't need a separate argument for this case, but make sure you understand why the 0 is not surprising.]

(b) Conclude that

$$f_{n+2} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n-k+1}{k}.$$

Remark 0.8. Exercise 3 (a) fails to hold when $k > n+1$. In fact, in this case, the number of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ is 0, whereas the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-k+1}{k}$ is nonzero (since $n-k+1$ is a negative integer).

Solution to Exercise 3. (a) First solution to Exercise 3 (a) (sketched): Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$. Thus, $n - k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\text{Lac}_k(n)$ be the set of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$. Thus, the number of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ is $|\text{Lac}_k(n)|$.

For any set S , we let $\mathcal{P}_k(S)$ be the set of all k -element subsets of S . Thus, $|\mathcal{P}_k(S)| = \binom{|S|}{k}$ for any finite set S . Applying this to $S = [n - k + 1]$, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1])| = \binom{|[n - k + 1]|}{k} = \binom{n - k + 1}{k}$$

(since $|[n - k + 1]| = n - k + 1$ ²).

Now, we are going to construct a bijection from $\text{Lac}_k(n)$ to $\mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1])$.

Namely, we define a map $\Phi : \text{Lac}_k(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1])$ as follows: For every lacunar k -element subset $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\}$ ³ of $[n]$, we let $\Phi(S)$ be the k -element subset

$$\{s_1 - 0 < s_2 - 1 < s_3 - 2 < \dots < s_k - (k - 1)\} = \{s_i - (i - 1) \mid i \in [k]\}$$

of $[n - k + 1]$. It is fairly easy to see that this is well-defined; visually speaking, what the map Φ does is “herding the elements of S closer together”, or, to be a bit more specific, moving each element of S (except for the first one) one step closer to its neighbor on the left (thus making each gap between two neighboring elements of S shorter by 1). The reason why the resulting set is still a k -element set is that there was at least one “empty spot” between any two neighboring elements of S (since S is lacunar), and so the “herding” does not cause any two elements to collide.

An inverse to the map Φ is easily constructed. Namely, we define a map $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1]) \rightarrow \text{Lac}_k(n)$ as follows: For every k -element subset $T = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k\}$ of $[n - k + 1]$, we let $\Psi(T)$ be the lacunar k -element subset

$$\{t_1 + 0 < t_2 + 1 < t_3 + 2 < \dots < t_k + (k - 1)\} = \{t_i + (i - 1) \mid i \in [k]\}$$

of $[n]$. Again, it is easy to check that this is well-defined (the resulting subset $\Psi(T)$ is built from T by “spreading the elements of T further apart”, making each gap between two neighboring elements of T longer by 1; thus, $\Psi(T)$ is lacunar).

Finally, it is more-or-less obvious that the maps Φ and Ψ are mutually inverse, and therefore bijections. Hence, we have found a bijection $\text{Lac}_k(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1])$ (namely, Φ); this allows us to conclude that $|\text{Lac}_k(n)| = |\mathcal{P}_k([n - k + 1])| = \binom{n - k + 1}{k}$.

²This follows from $n - k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. The reason why I am spelling out this obvious argument in such detail is that it hides a slippery point: If we hadn’t assumed $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$, then $n - k + 1$ could be negative, and then $|[n - k + 1]|$ would equal 0 rather than $n - k + 1$, which would render the whole proof incorrect.

³I hope that this notation (“ $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\}$ ”) is self-explanatory. If not, let me spell it out: By saying “ $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\}$ ”, I mean that $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$ are all the elements of S in increasing order (in other words, I mean that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ and $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$).

Hence, the number of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ is $|\text{Lac}_k(n)| = \binom{n-k+1}{k}$.

This solves Exercise 3 (a).

Second solution to Exercise 3 (a) (sketched): Let us also show how Exercise 3 (a) can be proven by strong induction over n . More precisely, we proceed as follows: Let us first forget that we fixed n . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $g_k(n)$ denote the number of all lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$. (Here, $[n]$ is understood to be $\emptyset$ when n is negative.) Now we claim that the following recursive formula holds (similar to the answer to Exercise 4 (b) on homework set 1):

Claim 1: We have $g_k(n) = g_k(n-1) + g_{k-1}(n-2)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of Claim 1 is almost completely analogous to the solution to Exercise 4 (b) on homework set 1:

[Proof of Claim 1: Let $n \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The definition of $g_k(n)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(n) &= (\text{the number of lacunar } k\text{-element subsets of } [n]) \\ &= |\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k\}|. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Similarly,

$$g_k(n-1) = |\{S \subseteq [n-1] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k\}| \quad (9)$$

and

$$g_{k-1}(n-2) = |\{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\}|. \quad (10)$$

Now, the lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ that don't contain n are precisely the lacunar k -element subsets of $[n-1]$ (because a subset of $[n]$ that doesn't contain n is nothing other than a subset of $[n-1]$). In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \notin S\}| \\ &= |\{S \subseteq [n-1] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \notin S\}| \\ &= |\{S \subseteq [n-1] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k\}| = g_k(n-1) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(by (9)).

On the other hand, consider the lacunar k -element subsets of $[n]$ that do contain n . If T is such a subset, then $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a lacunar $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-2]$ ⁴. Hence, we can define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\} \\ \rightarrow \{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\}, \\ T \mapsto T \setminus \{n\}. \end{aligned}$$

⁴*Proof.* Let T be a lacunar k -element subset of $[n]$ that contains n . We must prove that $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a lacunar $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-2]$.

On the other hand, if R is any lacunar $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-2]$, then $R \cup \{n\}$ is a lacunar k -element subset of $[n]$ ⁵ and satisfies $n \in R \cup \{n\}$. Hence, we can define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : \{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\} \\ \rightarrow \{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\}, \\ R \mapsto R \cup \{n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two maps α and β we have just defined are mutually inverse⁶, and thus are bijections. Hence, we have found a bijection from

Clearly, any subset of a lacunar set is lacunar. Thus, the set $T \setminus \{n\}$ is lacunar (since it is a subset of the lacunar set T).

Also, T contains n . Hence, $|T \setminus \{n\}| = |T| - 1 = k - 1$ (since $|T| = k$ (since T is a k -element set)). In other words, $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a $(k-1)$ -element set.

Recall that the set T is lacunar. In other words, there exists no $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both i and $i+1$ belong to T . Applying this to $i = n-1$, we conclude that $n-1$ and $(n-1)+1$ cannot both belong to T . In other words, $n-1$ and n cannot both belong to T . Since n does belong to T (by definition of T), we thus conclude that $n-1$ cannot belong to T . In other words, $n-1 \notin T$. Hence, $n-1 \notin T \setminus \{n\}$.

Now we know that $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a subset of $[n]$ (since $T \setminus \{n\} \subseteq T \subseteq [n]$) that contains neither $n-1$ nor n (since $n-1 \notin T \setminus \{n\}$ and $n \notin T \setminus \{n\}$). In other words, $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a subset of $[n] \setminus \{n-1, n\} = [n-2]$. Thus, $T \setminus \{n\}$ is a lacunar $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-2]$ (since we already know that $T \setminus \{n\}$ is lacunar and is a $(k-1)$ -element set).

⁵*Proof.* Let R be a lacunar $(k-1)$ -element subset of $[n-2]$. We must prove that $R \cup \{n\}$ is a lacunar k -element subset of $[n]$.

Clearly, $R \subseteq [n-2] \subseteq [n]$ and $\{n\} \subseteq [n]$. Thus, $\underbrace{R}_{\subseteq [n]} \cup \underbrace{\{n\}}_{\subseteq [n]} \subseteq [n] \cup [n] = [n]$. Hence, $R \cup \{n\}$

is a subset of $[n]$. Moreover, $n \notin R$ (because otherwise, we would have $n \in R \subseteq [n-2]$, so that $n \leq n-2$, which would contradict $n > n-2$). Hence, $|R \cup \{n\}| = |R| + 1 = k$ (since $|R| = k-1$ (since R is a $(k-1)$ -element set)). Thus, $R \cup \{n\}$ is a k -element subset of $[n]$ (since $R \cup \{n\}$ is a subset of $[n]$).

It remains to prove that R is lacunar.

Indeed, let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that both i and $i+1$ belong to $R \cup \{n\}$. We shall derive a contradiction.

We have $i+1 \in R \cup \{n\} \subseteq [n]$, so that $i+1 \leq n$, hence $i \leq n-1$ and therefore $i \neq n$. Combining this with $i \in R \cup \{n\}$, we obtain $i \in (R \cup \{n\}) \setminus \{n\} \subseteq R$. In other words, i belongs to R .

It is impossible that both i and $i+1$ belong to R (because R is lacunar). Hence, at least one of i and $i+1$ does not belong to R . Since we know that i belongs to R , we thus conclude that $i+1$ does not belong to R . Combining this with $i+1 \in R \cup \{n\}$, we obtain $i+1 \in (R \cup \{n\}) \setminus R \subseteq \{n\}$, so that $i+1 = n$. Hence, $i = n-1$. But $i \in R \subseteq [n-2]$, so that $i \leq n-2 < n-1$. This contradicts $i = n-1$.

Now, forget that we fixed i . We thus have obtained a contradiction for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both i and $i+1$ belong to $R \cup \{n\}$. Hence, there exists no $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both i and $i+1$ belong to $R \cup \{n\}$. In other words, the set $R \cup \{n\}$ is lacunar. Thus, $R \cup \{n\}$ is a lacunar k -element subset of $[n]$.

⁶This is easy to check: For example, each $T \in \{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\}$ is easily seen to satisfy $(\beta \circ \alpha)(T) = (T \setminus \{n\}) \cup \{n\} = T$, because of $n \in T$; therefore, $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}$. Also, each $R \in \{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\}$ is easily seen to satisfy $(\alpha \circ \beta)(R) = (R \cup \{n\}) \setminus \{n\} = R$, because of $n \notin R$ (which in turn is a consequence of $R \subseteq [n-2]$); thus, $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}$.

$\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\}$ to
 $\{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\}| \\ &= |\{S \subseteq [n-2] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k-1\}| = g_{k-1}(n-2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(by (10)).

Now, (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(n) &= |\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k\}| \\ &= \underbrace{|\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \notin S\}|}_{=g_k(n-1) \text{ (by (11))}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{|\{S \subseteq [n] \mid S \text{ is lacunar, and } |S| = k \text{ and } n \in S\}|}_{=g_{k-1}(n-2) \text{ (by (12))}} \\ &\quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{since each } S \subseteq [n] \text{ satisfies either } n \notin S \text{ or } n \in S \\ \text{(but not both)} \end{array} \right) \\ &= g_k(n-1) + g_{k-1}(n-2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Claim 1.]

Now, we claim the following:

Claim 2: Let $n \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then, each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ satisfies

$$g_k(n) = \binom{n-k+1}{k}.$$

Notice that we allowed n to be -1 in Claim 2 in order to have a smoother induction step in the proof.

[*Proof of Claim 2:* Let us prove Claim 2 by strong induction on n .

Here is the induction step: Fix any $m \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Assume (as the induction hypothesis) that Claim 2 holds whenever $n < m$. We must prove that Claim 2 holds for $n = m$. In other words, we must prove that every $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+1\}$ satisfies $g_k(m) = \binom{m-k+1}{k}$.

Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+1\}$. We must show that $g_k(m) = \binom{m-k+1}{k}$. If $k = 0$, then this is obvious⁷. Hence, we WLOG assume that $k \neq 0$.

⁷*Proof.* The only 0-element subset of $[m]$ is the empty set $\emptyset$. Thus, there is only one lacunar 0-element subset of $[m]$, namely the empty set $\emptyset$ (since $\emptyset$ is clearly lacunar).

The definition of $g_0(m)$ yields

$$g_0(m) = (\text{the number of all lacunar 0-element subsets of } [m]) = 1$$

We also clearly have $g_k(m) = \binom{m-k+1}{k}$ if $k = m+1$ ⁸. Hence, we WLOG assume that $k \neq m+1$.

Combining $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+1\}$ with $k \neq 0$, we obtain $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+1\} \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\} = [m+1]$. Combining this with $k \neq m+1$, we find $k \in [m+1] \setminus \{m+1\} = [m]$. Hence, $1 \leq k \leq m$, so that $m \geq 1$. Therefore, both $m-1$ and $m-2$ are elements of $\{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In particular $m-1$ is an element of $\{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying $m-1 < m$; thus, Claim 2 holds for $n = m-1$ (by our induction hypothesis). Hence, we can apply Claim 2 to $m-1$ instead of m (because $k \in [m] \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, m\} = \{0, 1, \dots, (m-1)+1\}$). We conclude that

$$g_k(m-1) = \binom{(m-1)-k+1}{k} = \binom{m-k}{k}.$$

Also, $k \in [m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, so that $k-1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\} = \{0, 1, \dots, (m-2)+1\}$. But $m-2$ is an element of $\{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying $m-2 < m$; thus, Claim 2 holds for $n = m-2$ (by our induction hypothesis). Therefore, we can apply Claim 2 to $m-2$ and $k-1$ instead of m and k (since $k-1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, (m-2)+1\}$). We conclude that

$$g_{k-1}(m-2) = \binom{(m-2)-(k-1)+1}{k-1} = \binom{m-k}{k-1}.$$

But $m \geq 1$. Hence, Claim 1 (applied to $n = m$) yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(m) &= \underbrace{g_k(m-1)}_{=\binom{m-k}{k}} + \underbrace{g_{k-1}(m-2)}_{=\binom{m-k}{k-1}} \\ &= \binom{m-k}{k} + \binom{m-k}{k-1} = \binom{m-k}{k-1} + \binom{m-k}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

(since there is only one lacunar 0-element subset of $[m]$). Comparing this with $\binom{m-0+1}{0} = 1$,

we obtain $g_0(m) = \binom{m-0+1}{0}$. In other words, $g_k(m) = \binom{m-k+1}{k}$ holds for $k = 0$. Qed.

⁸Proof. There exist no $(m+1)$ -element subsets of $[m]$ (since the set $[m]$ has only m elements). In particular, there exist no lacunar $(m+1)$ -element subsets of $[m]$.

The definition of $g_{m+1}(m)$ yields

$$g_{m+1}(m) = (\text{the number of all lacunar } (m+1)\text{-element subsets of } [m]) = 0$$

(since there exist no lacunar $(m+1)$ -element subsets of $[m]$). Comparing this with

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{m-(m+1)+1}{m+1} &= \binom{0}{m+1} = [m+1=0] \quad \left(\text{since } \binom{0}{g} = [g=0] \text{ for each } g \in \mathbb{N} \right) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{since we don't have } m+1=0), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $g_{m+1}(m) = \binom{m-(m+1)+1}{m+1}$. In other words, $g_k(m) = \binom{m-k+1}{k}$ holds for $k = m+1$. Qed.

Meanwhile, Proposition 0.3 (applied to $m - k + 1$ and k instead of m and n) shows that

$$\binom{m - k + 1}{k} = \binom{(m - k + 1) - 1}{k - 1} + \binom{(m - k + 1) - 1}{k} = \binom{m - k}{k - 1} + \binom{m - k}{k}.$$

Comparing these two equalities, we find that $g_k(m) = \binom{m - k + 1}{k}$.

Now, forget that we fixed k . We thus have shown that every $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m + 1\}$ satisfies $g_k(m) = \binom{m - k + 1}{k}$. Thus, the induction step is complete, so that Claim 2 is proven by strong induction.]

Now that Claim 2 is proven, we have solved Exercise 3 (a).

(b) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The size of any subset of $[n]$ is an integer between 0 and n (inclusive). Thus, in particular, the size of any lacunar subset of $[n]$ is an integer between 0 and n (inclusive).

Let $g(n)$ denote the number of all lacunar subsets of $[n]$. Then, Exercise 4 (c) on homework set 1 shows that $g(n) = f_{n+2}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+2} &= g(n) = (\text{the number of all lacunar subsets of } [n]) \\ &\quad (\text{by the definition of } g(n)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{(\text{the number of all lacunar subsets of } [n] \text{ having size } k)}_{\substack{= (\text{the number of all lacunar } k\text{-element subsets of } [n]) \\ = \binom{n - k + 1}{k} \\ (\text{by Exercise 3 (a)}) \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{because the size of a lacunar subset of } [n] \\ \text{is an integer between 0 and } n \text{ (inclusive)} \end{array} \right)}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n - k + 1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This solves Exercise 3 (b). □

0.3. A formula for the $\text{sur}(n, k)$ numbers

Recall that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\text{sur}(n, k)$ denotes the number of surjections $[n] \rightarrow [k]$. In class, we have shown the following two recursive formulas:

- We have

$$\text{sur}(n, 0) = [n = 0] \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\text{sur}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} \text{sur}(j, k - 1) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k > 0. \quad (13)$$

- We have

$$\text{sur}(n, 0) = [n = 0] \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{sur}(0, k) = [k = 0] \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\text{sur}(n, k) = k(\text{sur}(n-1, k) + \text{sur}(n-1, k-1)) \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } k > 0.$$

Exercise 4. Prove that

$$\text{sur}(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Before we come to the solution of this exercise, let us recall the *binomial formula*:

Proposition 0.9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then,

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

We will use this formula via two simple corollaries:

Corollary 0.10. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} = [n = 0]$.

Proof of Corollary 0.10. Applying Proposition 0.9 to $x = 1$ and $y = -1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + (-1))^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \underbrace{1^k}_{=1} (-1)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \end{aligned}$$

(here, we have renamed the summation index k as i). Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} = \left(\underbrace{1 + (-1)}_{=0} \right)^n = 0^n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Comparing this with $[n = 0] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$ (this follows from the definition of the truth value $[n = 0]$), we obtain $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} = [n = 0]$. This proves Corollary 0.10. $\square$

Corollary 0.11. Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(x+1)^n - x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} x^j.$$

Proof of Corollary 0.11. Applying Proposition 0.9 to $y = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x+1)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \underbrace{1^{n-k}}_{=1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \\ &\quad \text{(here, we have renamed the summation index } k \text{ as } j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} x^j + \underbrace{\binom{n}{n}}_{=1} x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} x^j + x^n. \end{aligned}$$

(by Proposition 0.4)

Subtracting x^n from this equality, we find $(x+1)^n - x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} x^j$. This proves Corollary 0.11. $\square$

Solution to Exercise 4 (sketched). We shall solve Exercise 4 by strong induction over n :

Induction step: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that Exercise 4 holds for all $n < m$. We must now prove that Exercise 4 holds for $n = m$.

We have assumed that Exercise 4 holds for $n < m$. In other words, we have

$$\text{sur}(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n \quad (16)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n < m$.

Now, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We are going to prove that

$$\text{sur}(m, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m. \quad (17)$$

[Proof of (17): If $m = 0$, then (17) is easy to check⁹. Hence, for the rest of this

⁹Proof. Assume that $m = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \underbrace{i^0}_{=1} \quad (\text{since } m = 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} = [k = 0] \quad (\text{by Corollary 0.10, applied to } n = k) \\ &= \text{sur}(0, k) \quad (\text{by (15)}) \\ &= \text{sur}(m, k) \quad (\text{since } 0 = m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proven (17) under the assumption that $m = 0$.

proof, we WLOG assume that $m \neq 0$. Hence, $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ (since $m \in \mathbb{N}$), so that $m > 0$. Since $m \neq 0$, we have $[m = 0] = 0$.

If $k = 0$, then (17) is also easy to check¹⁰. Hence, for the rest of this proof, we WLOG assume that $k \neq 0$. Hence, $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ (since $k \in \mathbb{N}$), so that $k > 0$. Hence, $k - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying (13) to $n = m$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{sur}(m, k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} \underbrace{\text{sur}(j, k-1)}_{\substack{= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^j \\ \text{(by (16), applied to } j \text{ and } k-1 \\ \text{instead of } n \text{ and } k \text{ (since } j \leq m-1 < m, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k-1 \in \mathbb{N}))}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^j = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^j}_{= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^j \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} i^j. \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\binom{k-1}{k} k^m = 0 \tag{19}$$

11.

¹⁰Proof. Assume that $k = 0$. Thus, $\text{sur}(m, k) = \text{sur}(m, 0) = [m = 0]$ (by (14), applied to $n = m$). But from $k = 0$, we also conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m &= \sum_{i=0}^0 (-1)^{0-i} \binom{0}{i} i^m = \underbrace{(-1)^{0-0}}_{=1} \underbrace{\binom{0}{0}}_{=1} 0^m = 0^m = 0 \quad (\text{since } m > 0) \\
 &= [m = 0] = \text{sur}(m, k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proven (17) under the assumption that $k = 0$.

¹¹Proof of (19): If $k = 0$, then this follows from $\binom{k-1}{k} \underbrace{k^m}_{=0^m \text{ (since } k=0)} = \binom{k-1}{k} \underbrace{0^m}_{=0 \text{ (since } m>0)} = 0$. Thus,

for the rest of this proof, we WLOG assume that $k \neq 0$. Hence, $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ (since $k \in \mathbb{N}$), so that $k - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, Proposition 0.2 (applied to $k - 1$ and k instead of m and n) shows that $\binom{k-1}{k} = 0$ (since $k - 1 < k$). Hence, $\underbrace{\binom{k-1}{k}}_{=0} k^m = 0$. This proves (19).

Now, every $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{g}{i} i^m &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{g}{i} i^m + (-1)^{k-0} \binom{g}{0} \underbrace{0^m}_{=0} \\
 &\quad \text{(since } m > 0\text{)} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{g}{i} i^m + \underbrace{(-1)^{k-0} \binom{g}{0} 0}_{=0} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{g}{i} i^m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Applying this to $g = k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \underbrace{\binom{k}{i}}_{= \binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i}} i^m \\
 &\quad \text{(by Proposition 0.3, applied to } k \text{ and } i \\
 &\quad \text{instead of } m \text{ and } n\text{)} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \left(\binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i} \right) i^m \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i-1} i^m + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i-1} i^m &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \underbrace{(-1)^{k-(i+1)}}_{=(-1)^{k-i-1}} \underbrace{\binom{k-1}{(i+1)-1}}_{= \binom{k-1}{i}} (i+1)^m \\
 &\quad \text{(here, we have substituted } i+1 \text{ for } i \text{ in the sum)} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (i+1)^m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Also, (20) (applied to $g = k-1$) yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m,$$

and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \underbrace{(-1)^{k-i}}_{= -(-1)^{k-i-1}} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m + (-1)^{k-k} \underbrace{\binom{k-1}{k} k^m}_{\substack{=0 \\ \text{(by (19))}}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(-(-1)^{k-i-1} \right) \binom{k-1}{i} i^m + \underbrace{(-1)^{k-k} 0}_{=0} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(-(-1)^{k-i-1} \right) \binom{k-1}{i} i^m \\
&= - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m \\
&= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i-1} i^m}_{= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (i+1)^m} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m}_{= - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{(by (22))} \quad \quad \quad \text{(by (23))} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (i+1)^m + \left(- \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (i+1)^m - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i} i^m \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \underbrace{(-1)^{k-i-1} \binom{k-1}{i}}_{= (-1)^{(k-1)-i}} \underbrace{\left((i+1)^m - i^m \right)}_{\substack{= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} i^j \\ \text{(by Corollary 0.11, applied to } m \\ \text{and } i \text{ instead of } n \text{ and } x)}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k-1)-i} \binom{k-1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} i^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (18), we obtain

$$\text{sur}(m, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m.$$

This proves (17).]

Now, forget that we fixed k . We thus have proven that $\text{sur}(m, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^m$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, Exercise 4 holds for $n = m$. This completes the induction step. Thus, Exercise 4 is solved. $\square$

0.4. “Oddlike” permutations

Exercise 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let me call a permutation of $[n]$ *oddlike* if it sends every odd element of $[n]$ to an odd element of $[n]$. (For example, the permutation of $[5]$ sending $1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ to $3, 4, 5, 2, 1$ is oddlike.)

(a) Prove that any oddlike permutation of $[n]$ must also send every even element of $[n]$ to an even element of $[n]$.

(b) Find a formula for the number of oddlike permutations of $[n]$. [Hint: The answer may depend on the parity of n .]

Solution to Exercise 5 (sketched). (a) Let σ be any oddlike permutation of $[n]$. We must show that σ sends every even element of $[n]$ to an even element of $[n]$.

Let A be the set of all odd elements of $[n]$. The permutation σ is oddlike. In other words, σ sends every odd element of $[n]$ to an odd element of $[n]$ (by the definition of “oddlike”). In other words, σ sends every element of A to an element of A (because the elements of A are precisely the odd elements of $[n]$). In other words¹², $\sigma(A) \subseteq A$.

Let i be any even element of $[n]$. Then, $i \notin A$ (because i is even, whereas the elements of A are odd), and thus $|A \cup \{i\}| = |A| + 1$. On the other hand, the map σ is a permutation, thus a bijection; therefore, $|\sigma(S)| = |S|$ for each subset S of $[n]$. Applying this to $S = A \cup \{i\}$, we obtain $|\sigma(A \cup \{i\})| = |A \cup \{i\}| = |A| + 1 > |A|$.

Now, let us prove that $\sigma(i)$ is even. Indeed, assume the contrary. Thus, $\sigma(i)$ is odd. In other words, $\sigma(i) \in A$ (since A is the set of all odd elements of $[n]$). Now,

$$\sigma(A \cup \{i\}) = \underbrace{\sigma(A)}_{\subseteq A} \cup \underbrace{\sigma(\{i\})}_{=\{\sigma(i)\} \subseteq A \text{ (since } \sigma(i) \in A)} \subseteq A \cup A = A.$$

Hence, $|\sigma(A \cup \{i\})| \leq |A|$ (because clearly, any subset B of A must satisfy $|B| \leq |A|$). This contradicts $|\sigma(A \cup \{i\})| > |A|$.

¹²Recall that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map between two sets, and if T is a subset of X , then $f(T)$ denotes the subset $\{f(t) \mid t \in T\}$ of Y .

We thus have found a contradiction. This contradiction shows that our assumption was wrong; thus, we have shown that $\sigma(i)$ is even.

Now, forget that we fixed i . We thus have proven that if i is any even element of $[n]$, then $\sigma(i)$ is even. In other words, σ sends every even element of $[n]$ to an even element of $[n]$. This solves Exercise 5 (a).

(b) We *claim* that the number of oddlike permutations of $[n]$ is

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!^2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)! \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)!, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Let us prove this claim. We must be in one of the following two cases:

Case 1: The number n is even.

Case 2: The number n is odd.

Let us consider Case 2 first. In this case, the number n is odd.

We want to count the oddlike permutations of $[n]$. In order to do so, we consider the following algorithm to create an oddlike permutation σ of $[n]$:

- First, we choose the values of σ at all odd elements of $[n]$ (that is, we choose the values $\sigma(1), \sigma(3), \sigma(5), \dots, \sigma(n)$). The set $[n]$ has $\frac{n+1}{2}$ odd elements (namely, $1, 3, 5, \dots, n$), and the permutation σ must send each of them to an odd element of $[n]$ again (because we want σ to be oddlike). Thus, there are $\frac{n+1}{2}$ choices for $\sigma(1)$, then $\frac{n+1}{2} - 1$ choices for $\sigma(3)$ (because $\sigma(3)$ must be distinct from $\sigma(1)$ ¹³), then $\frac{n+1}{2} - 2$ choices for $\sigma(5)$ (because $\sigma(5)$ must be distinct from the two distinct numbers $\sigma(1)$ and $\sigma(3)$), and so on, until we finally have $\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{2} + 1 = 1$ choices for $\sigma(n)$. Altogether, we thus have

$$\frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - 1 \right) \cdots 1 = \left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right)!$$

choices for the values $\sigma(1), \sigma(3), \sigma(5), \dots, \sigma(n)$.

- Next, we choose the values of σ at all even elements of $[n]$ (that is, we choose the values $\sigma(2), \sigma(4), \sigma(6), \dots, \sigma(n-1)$). The set $[n]$ has $\frac{n-1}{2}$ even elements (namely, $2, 4, 6, \dots, n-1$), and (having already chosen $\frac{n+1}{2}$ values of σ) we have $n - \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2}$ elements of $[n]$ left to choose our values from (since σ must not take any value twice). Thus, there are $\frac{n-1}{2}!$ choices for

¹³Keep in mind that σ is a permutation, so any two values of σ at distinct elements must be distinct.

$\sigma(2)$, then $\frac{n-1}{2} - 1$ choices for $\sigma(4)$ (because $\sigma(4)$ must be distinct from $\sigma(2)$), then $\frac{n-1}{2} - 2$ choices for $\sigma(6)$ (because $\sigma(6)$ must be distinct from the two distinct numbers $\sigma(2)$ and $\sigma(4)$), and so on, until we finally have $\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 = 1$ choices for $\sigma(n-1)$. Altogether, we thus have

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - 1 \right) \cdots 1 = \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)!$$

choices for the values $\sigma(2), \sigma(4), \sigma(6), \dots, \sigma(n-1)$.

This algorithm constructs every oddlike permutation of $[n]$ exactly once, and there are clearly $\left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right)! \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)!$ ways to make choices in this algorithm. Hence, the number of oddlike permutations of $[n]$ is

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right)! \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)! = \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)! \left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right)! = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)!^2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)! \left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right)!, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

(since n is odd). This proves our claim in Case 2.

The proof in Case 1 is analogous, except that now both $\frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\frac{n-1}{2}$ have to be replaced by $\frac{n}{2}$ (since the set $[n]$ has $\frac{n}{2}$ even elements and $\frac{n}{2}$ odd elements). Hence, the claim is finally proven in both cases. This solves Exercise 5 (b). $\square$

0.5. Necklaces 1: rotating tuples

Definition 0.12. Let S be a set. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a map from S to S . Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $f^k : S \rightarrow S$ is defined to be

$$\underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

For example, if $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the map $x \mapsto x^2$, then f^k is the map $x \mapsto \underbrace{\left(\left(\left(x^2 \right)^2 \right) \cdots \right)^2}_{k \text{ squarings}} = x^{(2^k)}$.

Note that $f^0 = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{0 \text{ times}} = \text{id}_S$, since a composition of no maps (“empty composition”) is always understood as the identity map.

Exercise 6. Let S be a set. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a map.

(a) Prove that $f^n \circ f^m = f^{n+m}$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. [Yes, this is a one-liner; you don't need induction.]

(b) Let $g : S \rightarrow S$ be a further map such that $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Prove that $(f \circ g)^n = f^n \circ g^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Find an example in which the claim of (b) fails if we drop the assumption that $f \circ g = g \circ f$.

Solution to Exercise 6. (a) *First solution to Exercise 6 (a).* Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The definition of f^{n+m} shows that

$$f^{n+m} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n+m \text{ times}}.$$

Comparing this with

$$\underbrace{f^n}_{n \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f^m}_{m \text{ times}} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{m \text{ times}} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n+m \text{ times}},$$

we obtain $f^n \circ f^m = f^{n+m}$. This solves Exercise 6 (a).

Remark: The solution we just gave might be considered somewhat lacking in rigor; after all, it depended on the meaningfulness of an expression like

$$\underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{m \text{ times}},$$

that is, a composition of several maps without an explicit parenthesization. Why can we write a composition $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_k$ of multiple maps without specifying (by means of parentheses) in what order it is to be evaluated? Notice that the same objection applies to the very definition of f^k in Definition 0.12.

There are two ways to address this objection. One way is to **prove** that a composition $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_k$ of multiple maps is independent of the order in which they are evaluated (and thus requires no parentheses in order to be unambiguous). This is called the *general associativity theorem*, and is proven in various texts on abstract algebra¹⁴. Notice that this theorem holds not only when $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ are maps $S \rightarrow S$, but also (more generally) when $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ are arbitrary maps such that the compositions $f_i \circ f_{i+1}$ (that is, the domain of f_i is the codomain of f_{i+1}) are

¹⁴For example, this is done in §A-3 of:

- David R. Wilkins, *Module MA3411: Commentary Basic Concepts and Results of Group Theory Michaelmas Term 2009*, http://www.maths.tcd.ie/~dwilkins/Courses/MA3411/Wrapper_MA3411Mich2009_Commentary_A.pdf.

Note that this text proves the general associativity theorem for k elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ of a group G rather than for k maps $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$; but the proof is more or less identical.

well-defined for all $i \in [k-1]$. Once this theorem is proven, the above solution to Exercise 6 (a) becomes fully justified.

Another way is to ditch Definition 0.12, and instead define f^k (for an arbitrary set S , an arbitrary map $f : S \rightarrow S$, and an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$) by recursion on k , as follows:

$$f^0 = \text{id}_S,$$

and

$$f^k = f \circ f^{k-1} \quad \text{for each positive integer } k. \quad (24)$$

This recursive definition is formally bulletproof and requires no justification; of course, it is far less intuitive than Definition 0.12. Using this definition, we can now formally solve Exercise 6 (a) by induction over n as follows:

Second solution to Exercise 6 (a). We shall solve Exercise 6 (a) by induction over n :

Induction base: For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\underbrace{f^0}_{=\text{id}_S} \circ f^m = \text{id}_S \circ f^m = f^m = f^{0+m}$ (since $m = 0 + m$). In other words, Exercise 6 (a) holds for $n = 0$. This completes the induction base.

Induction step: Let k be a positive integer. Assume that Exercise 6 (a) holds for $n = k-1$. We must prove that Exercise 6 (a) holds for $n = k$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We assumed that Exercise 6 (a) holds for $n = k-1$; thus, we have $f^{k-1} \circ f^m = f^{(k-1)+m} = f^{k+m-1}$. But (24) shows that $f^k = f \circ f^{k-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{f^k}_{=f \circ f^{k-1}} \circ f^m &= (f \circ f^{k-1}) \circ f^m = f \circ \underbrace{(f^{k-1} \circ f^m)}_{=f^{k+m-1}} \\ &\quad \text{(since composition of maps is associative)} \\ &= f \circ f^{k+m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$f^{k+m} = f \circ f^{k+m-1} \quad \text{(by (24), applied to } k+m \text{ instead of } k),$$

we obtain $f^k \circ f^m = f^{k+m}$. In other words, Exercise 6 (a) holds for $n = k$. This completes the induction step. Thus, Exercise 6 (a) is proven (again).

(b) We shall give three solutions for Exercise 6 (b), on different levels of rigor. The first solution is verbose and relies on a lot of handwaving (it can be “cleaned up” to result in a rigorous proof, but this is not a particularly pleasant job). The second is rather rigorous already (it relies on the general associativity law, as did the first solution to Exercise 6 (a) above). The third is fully rigorous.

First solution to Exercise 6 (b). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(f \circ g)^n = \underbrace{(f \circ g) \circ (f \circ g) \circ \cdots \circ (f \circ g)}_{n \text{ times}} = f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g; \quad (25)$$

the right-hand side of this equation is a composition of $2n$ maps, which alternate between f and g . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{f^n}_{n \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{g^n}_{n \text{ times}} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g}_{n \text{ times}} \\ & = f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g; \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

the right-hand side of this equation is a composition of $2n$ maps, the first n of which are f and the last n of which are g . We want to prove that the right-hand sides of (25) and (26) are identical (because this will then show that $(f \circ g)^n = f^n \circ g^n$). In order to do so, it would clearly suffice to show that the expression “ $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g$ ” can be transformed into “ $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g$ ” by repeatedly applying the law $g \circ f = f \circ g$ (which is true, because we assumed it to hold in the exercise). For example, here is how this transformation can be done in the case when $n = 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} & f \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ g \\ & = f \circ f \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ g \circ g \\ & = f \circ f \circ f \circ \underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \circ g \circ g \circ g \\ & = f \circ f \circ f \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ g \circ g. \end{aligned}$$

We need to show that such a transformation can be made for arbitrary n . So let us argue from general principles: An *fg-expression* shall mean an expression of the form “a composition of maps, some of which are f while the others are g ”. For example, “ $f \circ g \circ g \circ f$ ” and “ $g \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ g$ ” are *fg-expressions* (and so is the empty composition “ id_S ” as well as “ f ” alone). Notice that “ $f \circ g \circ f \circ g$ ” and “ $f \circ f \circ g \circ g$ ” are two different *fg-expressions*, but they describe the same map (since $f \circ g \circ f \circ g = f \circ f \circ g \circ g$); this is why we put quotation marks around *fg-expressions*.¹⁵ A *switch* means the operation of replacing a “ $g \circ f$ ” by an “ $f \circ g$ ” in an *fg-expression*. For example, the *fg-expression* “ $g \circ f \circ f \circ g$ ” is obtained from the *fg-expression* “ $g \circ f \circ g \circ f$ ” by a switch (specifically, the switch that replaces the “ $g \circ f$ ” at the end of the expression by an “ $f \circ g$ ”). Notice that a switch changes the **fg-expression**, but does not change the **map** represented by the *fg-expression*, because we have $g \circ f = f \circ g$.

Our goal is now to show that the *fg-expression* “ $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g$ ” (with $2n$ maps in total, which alternate between f and g) can be transformed into the

¹⁵As an aside, check that the number of *fg-expressions* with a appearances of “ f ” and b appearances of “ g ” is $\binom{a+b}{a}$.

fg-expression " $f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \dots \circ g$ " (with $2n$ maps in total, the first n of which are f and the last n of which are g) by a sequence of switches.

To define the sequence of switches necessary for this transformation, we proceed as follows: Start with the fg-expression " $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \dots \circ f \circ g$ " on the right-hand side of (25), and keep performing switches until no more switches are possible (i.e., until no more " $g \circ f$ " occur in the fg-expression). I claim the following:

Claim 1: This will actually happen (i.e., after finitely many switches, we will arrive at an fg-expression in which no more " $g \circ f$ " occur).

Claim 2: The resulting fg-expression will be precisely the " $f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \dots \circ g$ " on the right-hand side of (26).

[*Proof of Claim 1:* Claim 1 is easily justified using what is called a *monovariant*: Namely, for any fg-expression E , we define the *grievance* of E to be the number of all pairs of a " g " in E and an " f " in E where the " g " stands further left than the " f ". For example, the fg-expression " $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ f \circ g$ " has grievance 3, because it has 3 such pairs¹⁶.

The grievance of an fg-expression is clearly a nonnegative integer. Every time we apply a switch, this grievance decreases by 1 (check this!). Thus, we cannot keep applying switches eternally (because if we could, then the grievance would eventually become negative, which is absurd). Hence, if we start with any fg-expression and keep applying switches, then eventually, after finitely many switches, we will arrive at an fg-expression in which no more " $g \circ f$ " occur. This proves Claim 1.

As I said, this was an example of a "proof by monovariant". This kind of proof is often used to show that a certain type of operation cannot be performed eternally. A *monovariant* is a quantity that keeps decreasing every time the operation is performed (or increasing – but of course, the direction of change has to be the same regardless of the state). In our case, the grievance has served as a monovariant. A monovariant often shows that the operation cannot be performed eternally – for example, if the monovariant is always a nonnegative integer, then this is clear. Sometimes, other justifications are needed.]

[*Proof of Claim 2:* The resulting fg-expression contains no " $g \circ f$ " sub-expressions (because no more switches are possible on it). Therefore, if it contains the map " g " anywhere, then the map that follows it (i.e., the map directly to its right) must also be " g ", and the map that follows this " g " must again be a " g " as well, and so on. In other words, the fg-expression has the property that the first " g " appearing in it is followed by " g "s all the way until the end of the expression. Similarly, it also has the property that the last " f " appearing in it is preceded by " f "s all the way until

¹⁶One pair consists of the " g " in position 2 and the " f " in position 3.

Another pair consists of the " g " in position 4 and the " f " in position 5.

The last pair consists of the " g " in position 2 and the " f " in position 5. Notice that the " g " and the " f " don't have to be adjacent in the expression.

the beginning of the expression. Hence, the fg-expression must have the form

$$\underbrace{f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{\text{some number of "f"s}} \circ \underbrace{g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g}_{\text{some number of "g"s}}.$$

All we have to prove is that there are exactly n “f”s and exactly n “g”s in the fg-expression.

But this becomes clear if we think about how the fg-expression was obtained: Namely, we have obtained it by repeatedly applying switches to the fg-expression “ $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g$ ”, which had exactly n “f”s and exactly n “g”s. Since a switch preserves the number of “f”s and the number of “g”s (indeed, as its name suggests, a switch only **switches** two maps), we thus conclude that the resulting fg-expression still has exactly n “f”s and exactly n “g”s. This completes the proof of Claim 2.]

Combining Claim 1 with Claim 2, we conclude that if we start with the fg-expression “ $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g$ ” on the right-hand side of (25), and keep performing switches until no more switches are possible (i.e., until no more “ $g \circ f$ ” occur in the fg-expression), then (after finitely many switches) we end up with the “ $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g$ ” on the right-hand side of (26). Thus, the two **maps** $f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g$ and $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g \circ g \circ \cdots \circ g$ are identical (because a switch does not change the **map** represented by the fg-expression). In other words, the right-hand sides of (25) and (26) are identical. Thus, the left-hand sides of (25) and (26) are identical. In other words, $(f \circ g)^n = f^n \circ g^n$. This solves Exercise 6 (b).

Second solution to Exercise 6 (b). We shall solve Exercise 6 (b) by induction over n :

Induction base: We have $(f \circ g)^0 = \text{id}_S = \underbrace{\text{id}_S}_{=f^0} \circ \underbrace{\text{id}_S}_{=g^0} = f^0 \circ g^0$. In other words,

Exercise 6 (b) holds for $n = 0$. This completes the induction base.

Induction step: Let k be a positive integer. Assume that Exercise 6 (b) holds for $n = k - 1$. We must prove that Exercise 6 (b) holds for $n = k$.

We assumed that Exercise 6 (b) holds for $n = k - 1$; thus, we have $(f \circ g)^{k-1} = f^{k-1} \circ g^{k-1}$. But

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)^k &= \underbrace{(f \circ g) \circ (f \circ g) \circ \cdots \circ (f \circ g)}_{k \text{ times}} = \underbrace{f \circ g \circ f \circ g \circ \cdots \circ f \circ g}_{\text{a composition of } 2k \text{ maps, alternating between } f \text{ and } g} \\ &= f \circ \underbrace{(g \circ f \circ g \circ f \circ \cdots \circ g \circ f)}_{\text{a composition of } 2(k-1) \text{ maps, alternating between } g \text{ and } f} \circ g \\ &= \underbrace{(g \circ f) \circ (g \circ f) \circ \cdots \circ (g \circ f)}_{k-1 \text{ times}} = (g \circ f)^{k-1} \\ &= f \circ \left(\underbrace{g \circ f}_{=f \circ g} \right)^{k-1} \circ g = f \circ \underbrace{(f \circ g)^{k-1}}_{=f^{k-1} \circ g^{k-1}} \circ g = \underbrace{f \circ f^{k-1}}_{=f^k} \circ \underbrace{g^{k-1} \circ g}_{=g^k} = f^k \circ g^k. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, Exercise 6 **(b)** holds for $n = k$. This completes the induction step. Thus, Exercise 6 **(b)** is solved again.

Third solution to Exercise 6 (b). Now, we shall give a fully formal solution, which is not far away from what a proof assistant would accept (if it was written in its programming language). In particular, no “...”s will appear anywhere in the formulas.

We first prove an auxiliary claim:

Claim 3: We have $g \circ f^m = f^m \circ g$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

[*Proof of Claim 3:* We shall prove Claim 3 by induction over m :

Induction base: Comparing $g \circ \underbrace{f^0}_{=\text{id}_S} = g \circ \text{id}_S = g$ with $\underbrace{f^0}_{=\text{id}_S} \circ g = \text{id}_S \circ g = g$, we

obtain $g \circ f^0 = f^0 \circ g$. In other words, Claim 3 holds for $m = 0$. This completes the induction base.

Induction step: Let k be a positive integer. Assume that Claim 3 holds for $m = k - 1$. We must prove that Claim 3 holds for $m = k$.

We assumed that Claim 3 holds for $m = k - 1$; thus, we have $g \circ f^{k-1} = f^{k-1} \circ g$. But (24) yields $f^k = f \circ f^{k-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ \underbrace{f^k}_{=f \circ f^{k-1}} &= g \circ (f \circ f^{k-1}) = \underbrace{(g \circ f)}_{=f \circ g} \circ f^{k-1} = (f \circ g) \circ f^{k-1} \\ &= f \circ \underbrace{(g \circ f^{k-1})}_{=f^{k-1} \circ g} = f \circ (f^{k-1} \circ g) = \underbrace{(f \circ f^{k-1})}_{=f^k} \circ g = f^k \circ g. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, Claim 3 holds for $m = k$. This completes the induction step. Thus, Claim 3 is proven.]

Now that Claim 3 is established, we can comfortably prove Exercise 6 **(b)**. We proceed by induction over n :

Induction base: We have $(f \circ g)^0 = \text{id}_S = \underbrace{\text{id}_S}_{=f^0} \circ \underbrace{\text{id}_S}_{=g^0} = f^0 \circ g^0$. In other words,

Exercise 6 **(b)** holds for $n = 0$. This completes the induction base.

Induction step: Let k be a positive integer. Assume that Exercise 6 **(b)** holds for $n = k - 1$. We must prove that Exercise 6 **(b)** holds for $n = k$.

We assumed that Exercise 6 **(b)** holds for $n = k - 1$; thus, we have $(f \circ g)^{k-1} = f^{k-1} \circ g^{k-1}$.

Recall that (24) shows that $f^k = f \circ f^{k-1}$. Similarly, $g^k = g \circ g^{k-1}$. But (24)

(applied to $f \circ g$ instead of f) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f \circ g)^k &= (f \circ g) \circ \underbrace{(f \circ g)^{k-1}}_{=f^{k-1} \circ g^{k-1}} = (f \circ g) \circ (f^{k-1} \circ g^{k-1}) = \underbrace{((f \circ g) \circ f^{k-1})}_{=f \circ (g \circ f^{k-1})} \circ g^{k-1} \\
 &= \left(f \circ \underbrace{(g \circ f^{k-1})}_{=f^{k-1} \circ g} \right) \circ g^{k-1} = \left(\underbrace{f \circ (f^{k-1} \circ g)}_{=(f \circ f^{k-1}) \circ g} \right) \circ g^{k-1} \\
 &= \left((f \circ f^{k-1}) \circ g \right) \circ g^{k-1} = \underbrace{(f \circ f^{k-1})}_{=f^k} \circ \underbrace{(g \circ g^{k-1})}_{=g^k} = f^k \circ g^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, Exercise 6 (b) holds for $n = k$. This completes the induction step. Thus, Exercise 6 (b) is solved again (and now completely formally).

(c) Many different answers are possible. For example, we can let $S = \mathbb{Z}$, and define two maps $f : S \rightarrow S$ and $g : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(n) &= n + 1 & \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}, & \quad \text{and} \\
 g(n) &= -n & \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Visually speaking, S is the set of all integer points on the real axis, and f is the map that shifts a point 1 step to the right, whereas g is the map that reflects a point around the origin.) Now, it is easy to check that $(f \circ g)(n) = 1 - n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$(f \circ g)^2(n) = (f \circ g) \left(\underbrace{(f \circ g)(n)}_{=1-n} \right) = (f \circ g)(1 - n) = 1 - (1 - n) = n.$$

In other words, $(f \circ g)^2 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. But $f^2 \circ g^2 \neq \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (indeed, $(f^2 \circ g^2)(n) = ((-(-n)) + 1) + 1 = n + 2$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$), and thus $(f \circ g)^2 \neq f^2 \circ g^2$.

(With a bit more work, it is possible to check that $(f \circ g)^n \neq f^n \circ g^n$ for **each** $n \geq 2$. But it is sufficient to show that $(f \circ g)^2 \neq f^2 \circ g^2$ to know that Exercise 6 (b) cannot hold without the $f \circ g = g \circ f$ assumption.) $\square$

Remark 0.13. Exercise 6 gives two “rules of exponents” for compositions of maps. Here is another: If S is a set and $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a map, then $(f^n)^m = f^{nm}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove this!

Exercise 7. Let n be a positive integer. Let X be a set.

We define a map $c : X^n \rightarrow X^n$ by

$$c(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1) \quad \text{for all } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n.$$

(In other words, the map c transforms any n -tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ by “rotating” it one step to the left, or, equivalently, moving its first entry to the last position.)

(a) Prove that

$$c^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

for each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. (Note that $(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is to be understood as $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ if k equals either 0 or n .)

[**Note:** This might be intuitively clear – after all, if c rotates a tuple, then c^k rotates it k times, which causes its first k entries to move to the rightmost spot. But the point is to give a rigorous proof. Induction is recommended.]

(b) Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be an n -tuple in X^n . A nonnegative integer k is said to be a *period* of $\mathbf{x}$ if it satisfies $c^k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$. (For example, 0 is always a period of $\mathbf{x}$. For another example, the periods of the 6-tuple $(1, 4, 2, 1, 4, 2)$ are 0, 3, 6, 9, ...) Prove that if p and q are two periods of $\mathbf{x}$ satisfying $p \geq q$, then $p - q$ is also a period of $\mathbf{x}$.

(c) Let m be the smallest nonzero period of the n -tuple $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$. Prove that m divides any period of $\mathbf{x}$.

(d) Let m be the smallest nonzero period of the n -tuple $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$. Prove that m divides any period of $\mathbf{x}$.

(d) Conclude that m divides n .

Solution to Exercise 7. (a) We shall solve Exercise 7 (a) by induction over k :

Induction base: For each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{c^0}_{=\text{id}_{X^n}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \text{id}_{X^n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (x_{0+1}, x_{0+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

(because $\left(\underbrace{x_{0+1}, x_{0+2}, \dots, x_n}_{\substack{\text{these are the } n \text{ elements} \\ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}}, \underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_0}_{\text{there is nothing in here}} \right) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$). In other words,

Exercise 7 (a) holds for $k = 0$. This completes the induction base.

Induction step: Let $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ be positive. Assume that Exercise 7 (a) holds for $k = p - 1$. We must show that Exercise 7 (a) also holds for $k = p$.

Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ be arbitrary.

We have $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (since $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is positive) and thus $p - 1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Recall that Exercise 7 (a) holds for $k = p - 1$.

Hence, we can apply Exercise 7 (a) to $k = p - 1$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^{p-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= (x_{(p-1)+1}, x_{(p-1)+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}) \\ &= (x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But now

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{c^p}_{=c \circ c^{p-1}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= (c \circ c^{p-1})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c \left(\underbrace{c^{p-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}_{=(x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1})} \right) \\ &= c(x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}) \\ &= (x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}, x_p) \\ &\quad \text{(by the definition of } c) \\ &= (x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p). \end{aligned}$$

Now, forget that we fixed $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We thus have shown that $c^p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$ for each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. In other words, Exercise 7 (a) holds for $k = p$. This completes the induction step.

(b) Let p and q be two periods of $\mathbf{x}$ satisfying $p \geq q$. We must show that $p - q$ is also a period of $\mathbf{x}$.

We know that p is a period of $\mathbf{x}$. In other words, p is a nonnegative integer satisfying $c^p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ (by the definition of “period”). Similarly, q is a nonnegative integer satisfying $c^q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$.

But $p - q \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $p \geq q$). Exercise 6 (a) (applied to X^n , c , $p - q$ and q instead of S , f , n and m) shows that $c^{p-q} \circ c^q = c^{(p-q)+q} = c^p$. Hence, $\underbrace{(c^{p-q} \circ c^q)}_{=c^p}(\mathbf{x}) =$

$c^p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{x} = (c^{p-q} \circ c^q)(\mathbf{x}) = c^{p-q} \left(\underbrace{c^q(\mathbf{x})}_{=\mathbf{x}} \right) = c^{p-q}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus, $c^{p-q}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$. Since $p - q$ is a nonnegative integer (because $p - q \in \mathbb{N}$), this shows that $p - q$ is a period of $\mathbf{x}$ (by the definition of “period”). This solves Exercise 7 (b).

(c) We want to prove the following claim:

Claim 1: Let r be any period of $\mathbf{x}$. Then, m divides r .

[Proof of Claim 1: We shall prove Claim 1 by strong induction over r :

Induction step: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that Claim 1 holds whenever $r < p$. We must now prove that Claim 1 holds for $r = p$.

We have assumed that Claim 1 holds whenever $r < p$. In other words,

$$\text{if } r \text{ is any period of } \mathbf{x} \text{ such that } r < p, \text{ then } m \text{ divides } r. \quad (27)$$

Now, let r be any period of $\mathbf{x}$ such that $r = p$. We must show that m divides r .

If $r = 0$, then this is obvious (since m clearly divides 0). Thus, we WLOG assume that $r \neq 0$. In other words, r is nonzero.

Recall that m is the smallest nonzero period of $\mathbf{x}$. Thus, each nonzero period of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\geq m$. Hence, r is $\geq m$ (since r is a nonzero period of $\mathbf{x}$). We thus have shown that $r \geq m$. Hence, Exercise 7 (b) (applied to r and m instead of p and q) shows that $r - m$ is also a period of $\mathbf{x}$. Furthermore, m is a nonzero nonnegative integer, and thus is a positive integer. Hence, $m > 0$. Thus, $r - \underbrace{m}_{>0} < r - 0 = r = p$. Therefore,

$r - m$ is a period of $\mathbf{x}$ such that $r - m < p$. Hence, (27) (applied to $r - m$ instead of r) shows that m divides $r - m$. In other words, $r - m = km$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider this k . From $r - m = km$, we obtain $r = km + m = (k + 1)m$. Hence, m divides r .

Now, forget that we fixed r . We thus have proven that

$$\text{if } r \text{ is any period of } \mathbf{x} \text{ such that } r = p, \text{ then } m \text{ divides } r.$$

In other words, Claim 1 holds for $r = p$. This completes the induction step, so Claim 1 is proven.]

Claim 1 (which we now have proven) says precisely that m divides any period of $\mathbf{x}$. Thus, Exercise 7 (c) is solved.

(d) Write the n -tuple $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$ in the form $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c^n \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \right) &= c^n (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\underbrace{x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_n}_{\text{there is nothing in here}}, \underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}_{\substack{\text{these are the } n \text{ elements} \\ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}} \right) \\ &\quad \text{(by Exercise 7 (a), applied to } k = n) \\ &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, n is a nonnegative integer satisfying $c^n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$. In other words, n is a period of $\mathbf{x}$ (by the definition of “period”). Thus, m divides n (since Exercise 7 (c) shows that m divides any period of $\mathbf{x}$). This solves Exercise 7 (d). $\square$