

## Proof of a CWMO problem generalized

Darij Grinberg

version 7 September 2009

The following result is due to Dan Schwarz. It was proposed as problem 4 (c) for the 9th grade of the Romanian Mathematical Olympiad 2004. It was discussed in [1] (where it was posted by tanlstth), in [2] and in [3].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $n$  and  $m \geq 1$  be two nonnegative integers such that  $|X| \geq m(n-1) + 1$ . Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be  $n$  subsets of  $X$  such that  $|B_i| \leq m$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, there exists a subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|Y| = n$  and  $|Y \cap B_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We will prove Theorem 1 by induction over  $n$ .

*Induction base:* If  $n = 0$ , then Theorem 1 is trivially true (just set  $Y = \emptyset$ ; then,  $|Y| = 0 = n$  and  $|Y \cap B_i| = |\emptyset \cap B_i| = |\emptyset| = 0 \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ). This completes the induction base.

*Induction step:* Let  $N$  be a nonnegative integer. Assume that Theorem 1 holds for  $n = N$ . We have to show that Theorem 1 also holds for  $n = N + 1$ .

We assumed that Theorem 1 holds for  $n = N$ . In other words, we assumed the following assertion:

*Assertion  $\mathcal{A}$ :* Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $m \geq 1$  be a nonnegative integer such that  $|X| \geq m(N-1) + 1$ . Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N$  be  $N$  subsets of  $X$  such that  $|B_i| \leq m$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Then, there exists a subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|Y| = N$  and  $|Y \cap B_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .

Upon renaming  $X, Y$  and  $B_i$  into  $X', Y'$  and  $B'_i$ , respectively, this assertion rewrites as:

*Assertion  $\mathcal{A}'$ :* Let  $X'$  be a set. Let  $m \geq 1$  be a nonnegative integer such that  $|X'| \geq m(N-1) + 1$ . Let  $B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_N$  be  $N$  subsets of  $X'$  such that  $|B'_i| \leq m$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Then, there exists a subset  $Y'$  of  $X'$  such that  $|Y'| = N$  and  $|Y' \cap B'_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .

Now, we have to show that Theorem 1 also holds for  $n = N + 1$ . In other words, we have to prove the following assertion:

*Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$ :* Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $m \geq 1$  be a nonnegative integer such that  $|X| \geq m((N+1)-1) + 1$ . Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N+1}$  be  $N+1$  subsets of  $X$  such that  $|B_i| \leq m$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$ . Then, there exists a subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $|Y| = N+1$  and  $|Y \cap B_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$ .

*Proof of Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$ .* For every choice of  $X, m$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N+1}$ , one of the following two cases must hold:

*Case 1:* We have  $X = \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ .

*Case 2:* We have  $X \neq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ .

Let us consider Case 1. In this case, let  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \right| &\leq \sum_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} \underbrace{|B_j|}_{\leq m} \leq \sum_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} m = Nm \\ &= mN < mN + 1 = m((N + 1) - 1) + 1 \leq |X| = \left| \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j \right|, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \neq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ . Since  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j = B_k \cup \left( \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \right)$ ,

this becomes  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \neq B_k \cup \left( \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \right)$ . Thus,  $B_k \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$

(since  $B_k \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$  would yield  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j = B_k \cup \left( \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \right)$ ).

Hence, we have shown that

$$B_k \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j \quad \text{for every } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}.$$

For every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ , let  $x_k$  be an element of  $B_k$  satisfying  $x_k \notin \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$  (such an  $x_k$  exists, since  $B_k \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$ ). Then, for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$

and for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$  satisfying  $i \neq k$ , we have  $x_k \notin B_i$  (since  $x_k \notin \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$  and  $B_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\} \setminus \{k\}} B_j$ ). Hence, for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$

and for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$  satisfying  $i \neq k$ , we have  $x_k \neq x_i$  (since  $x_k \notin B_i$  while  $x_i \in B_i$ ). Thus, the  $N + 1$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1}$  are pairwise distinct. Set  $Y = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1}\}$ . Then,  $|Y| = N + 1$  (since the  $N + 1$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1}$  are pairwise distinct). Besides, for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ , we have  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1}\} \cap B_i = \{x_i\}$  (since  $x_i \in B_i$ , but  $x_k \notin B_i$  for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$  satisfying  $i \neq k$ ), and thus

$$|Y \cap B_i| = |\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1}\} \cap B_i| = |\{x_i\}| = 1 \leq 1.$$

Thus, Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  is proven in Case 1.

Now, let us consider Case 2. In this case,  $X \supseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ , but  $X \neq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ .

Hence,  $X \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ , so that there exists some  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}} B_j$ .

Thus,  $x \notin B_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ .

We want to prove Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$ . If every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$  satisfies  $B_i = \emptyset$ , then Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  is trivial (just let  $Y$  be any subset of  $X$  satisfying  $|Y| = N + 1$ <sup>1</sup>; then, for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ , we have  $|Y \cap B_i| = |Y \cap \emptyset| = |\emptyset| = 0 \leq 1$ , so that Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  is fulfilled). Hence, for the rest of the proof of Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$ , we

<sup>1</sup>Such a subset  $Y$  exists, since  $|X| \geq m((N + 1) - 1) + 1 = \underbrace{m}_{\geq 1} N + 1 \geq N + 1$ .

may assume that not every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$  satisfies  $B_i = \emptyset$ . So assume that not every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$  satisfies  $B_i = \emptyset$ . In other words, there exists some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$  such that  $B_k \neq \emptyset$ . WLOG assume that  $B_{N+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $u$  be an element of  $B_{N+1}$ .

Set  $X' = X \setminus ((B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\})$  and  $B'_i = B_i \cap X'$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$ . Then,  $B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_N$  are  $N$  subsets of  $X'$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}| &= |B_{N+1}| - 1 && \text{(since } u \in B_{N+1}\text{)} \\ &\leq m - 1 && \text{(since } |B_{N+1}| \leq m\text{),} \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\}| &= |B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}| + 1 && \text{(since } x \notin B_{N+1} \text{ yields } x \notin B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}\text{)} \\ &\leq (m - 1) + 1 = m, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |X'| &= |X \setminus ((B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\})| = |X| - |(B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\}| \geq m((N+1) - 1) + 1 - m \\ &\quad \text{(since } |X| \geq m((N+1) - 1) + 1 \text{ and } |(B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\}| \leq m\text{)} \\ &= mN + 1 - m = m(N - 1) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and  $|B'_i| = |B_i \cap X'| \leq |B_i| \leq m$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Hence, by Assertion  $\mathcal{A}'$ , there exists a subset  $Y'$  of  $X'$  such that  $|Y'| = N$  and  $|Y' \cap B'_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Note that  $x \notin Y'$ , since  $Y' \subseteq X' = X \setminus ((B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\})$  and  $x \notin X \setminus ((B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\})$ .

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{N+1} &= B_{N+1} \cap X' = B_{N+1} \cap \underbrace{(X \setminus ((B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{x\}))}_{\substack{=(X \setminus (B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\})) \setminus \{x\} \\ \subseteq X \setminus (B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\})}} \\ &\subseteq B_{N+1} \cap (X \setminus (B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\})) = (B_{N+1} \cap X) \setminus (B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) \\ &= B_{N+1} \setminus (B_{N+1} \setminus \{u\}) && \text{(since } B_{N+1} \subseteq X \text{ yields } B_{N+1} \cap X = B_{N+1}\text{)} \\ &= \{u\} && \text{(since } u \in B_{N+1}\text{),} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $Y' \cap B'_{N+1} \subseteq B'_{N+1} \subseteq \{u\}$  and thus  $|Y' \cap B'_{N+1}| \leq |\{u\}| = 1$ .

Altogether, we have seen that  $|Y' \cap B'_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and that  $|Y' \cap B'_{N+1}| \leq 1$ . Combining these two facts, we conclude that  $|Y' \cap B'_i| \leq 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$ .

Now, let  $Y = Y' \cup \{x\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| &= |Y' \cup \{x\}| = |Y'| + 1 && \text{(since } x \notin Y'\text{)} \\ &= N + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N + 1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Y \cap B_i| &= |(Y' \cup \{x\}) \cap B_i| = \left| (Y' \cap B_i) \cup \underbrace{(\{x\} \cap B_i)}_{=\emptyset, \text{ since } x \notin B_i} \right| = |(Y' \cap B_i) \cup \emptyset| = |Y' \cap B_i| = |(Y' \cap X') \cap B_i| \\
 &\quad \text{(since } Y' \subseteq X' \text{ yields } Y' = Y' \cap X') \\
 &= \left| Y' \cap \underbrace{(B_i \cap X')}_{=B'_i} \right| = |Y' \cap B'_i| \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  is proven in Case 2.

Altogether, we have now verified Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  in both Cases 1 and 2. But we know that for every choice of  $X$ ,  $m$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N+1}$ , either Case 1 or Case 2 is satisfied. Thus, Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  is proven in every possible case. In other words, Theorem 1 holds for  $n = N + 1$ . This completes the induction step.

Therefore, the induction proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

### References

- [1] tanlsth et al., *MathLinks topic #118091 ("subset conditions")*, posts #3-#5.  
<http://www.mathlinks.ro/viewtopic.php?t=118091>
- [2] Dan Schwarz (alias mavropnevma), *MathLinks topic #299325 ("Renewal of an old problem")*.  
<http://www.mathlinks.ro/viewtopic.php?t=299325>
- [3] perfect\_radio, grobber et al., *MathLinks topic #76786 ("S-property of a set")*.  
<http://www.mathlinks.ro/viewtopic.php?t=76786>