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## ***

The purpose of this note is to generalize the determinant formula conjectured by Amdeberhan in [Amdebe17] and outline how it can be proven.
(Unfortunately, neither the generalization nor its proof are aesthetically rewarding; major parts of the proof are computations and case distinctions, and some of them have been relegated to the SageMath computer algebra system, although in theory they could have been done by hand. I hope that at least the method is of some interest.)

### 0.0.1. Acknowledgments

The first part of the below proof of Theorem 1.7 (essentially, the construction of the matrix $P$ ) has been anticipated in the comment of "user44191" on the MathOverflow question [Amdebe17]. SageMath [sage] was used to perform the necessary computations.

## 1. The determinant

We set $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
We fix a commutative ring $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix.
(a) For any $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[m]$, we let $A_{i, j}$ denote the $(i, j)$-th entry of $A$. Thus, $A=\left(A_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$.
(b) If $I \subseteq[n]$ and $J \subseteq[m]$ are two sets, then $A_{I, J}$ denotes the submatrix of $A$ formed by removing all rows whose indexes are not in $I$ and removing all rows whose indexes are not in $J$. (Formally speaking: $A_{I, J}=$ $\left(A_{i_{x}, j_{y}}\right)_{1 \leq x \leq p, 1 \leq y \leq q}$, where the two sets $I$ and $J$ have been written in the forms $I=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{p}\right\}$ and $J=\left\{j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{q}\right\}$.)
(c) We let rev $A$ denote the $n \times m$-matrix $\left(A_{n+1-i, m+1-j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$. (This is the matrix obtained by reflecting $A$ both vertically and horizontally, or equivalently by rotating it $180^{\circ}$ around its center.)

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{K}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a matrix $M_{n}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ recursively (over $n$ ), as follows:

- The $0 \times 0$-matrix $M_{0}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined to be the zero matrix $0 \in \mathbb{K}^{0 \times 0}$.
- Assume that $M_{n-1}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{K}^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$ is already defined for some positive integer $n$. Then, we define the $n \times n$-matrix $M_{n}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ as follows:
- We have $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{1, j}=a_{j-1}$ for each $j \in[n]$.
- We have $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, n}=a_{n+i-2}$ for each $i \in[n]$. (Thus, $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{1, n}$ is defined twice, but the two definitions agree.)
- We have rev $\left(\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{\{2,3, \ldots, n\},[n-1]}\right)=M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)$.

In visual terms, this definition translates as follows: To construct $M_{n}(\mathbf{a})$, start with an unfilled $n \times n$-matrix, and then traverse all cells of the matrix (starting with the cell $(1,1)$ ) along a clockwise spiral (first traversing the 1 -st row until cell $(1, n)$, then traversing the $n$-th column down until cell $(n, n)$, then the $n$-th row to the left until cell $(1, n)$, then the 1 -st column up until cell $(2,1)$, then the 2 -nd row until cell $(2, n-1)$, then the $(n-1)$-th column until cell $(n-1, n-1)$, and so on), filling the cells with the elements $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ in the order in which they are encountered.

Example 1.3. If $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$, then

$$
M_{5}(\mathbf{a})=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{15} & a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{5} \\
a_{14} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{19} & a_{6} \\
a_{13} & a_{22} & a_{21} & a_{20} & a_{7} \\
a_{12} & a_{11} & a_{10} & a_{9} & a_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Definition 1.4. Let $a, b, c, d$ be four elements of $\mathbb{K}$. Then, $\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d}$ shall denote the infinite sequence ( $a, b, c, d, a, b, c, d, a, \ldots$ ) which consists of $a, b, c, d$ endlessly repeated in this order.

Example 1.5. We have

$$
M_{5}(\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d})=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
a & b & c & d & a \\
d & a & b & c & b \\
c & d & a & d & c \\
b & c & b & a & d \\
a & d & c & b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
M_{6}(\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d})=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
a & b & c & d & a & b \\
d & a & b & c & d & c \\
c & d & a & b & a & d \\
b & c & d & c & b & a \\
a & b & a & d & c & b \\
d & c & b & a & d & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 1.6. Here is some SageMath code to generate the matrix $M_{n}(\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d})$. We are assuming that $a, b, c, d$ are four elements $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ of a commutative ring K:

```
def L(n, i, j):
```



```
    return d if m == 0 else (a if m == 1 else (b if m == 2 else c))
def M(n):
    # This is the matrix M_n(a, b, c, d, a, b, c, d, a, ...).
    return Matrix(K, [[L(n, i, j) for j in range(1, n+1)]
        for i in range(1, n+1)])
```

This code works because of Lemma 2.1 below.
We can now state the main theorem:
Theorem 1.7. Assume that 2 is invertible in $\mathbb{K}$. Let $a, b, c, d$ be four elements of $\mathbb{K}$. Let a be the infinite sequence $\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d}$.

Define four further elements $u, v, U, V$ of $\mathbb{K}$ by

$$
u=d-b, \quad v=a-c, \quad U=d+b \quad \text { and } \quad V=a+c .
$$

(a) If $n=4 k$ for some positive integer $k$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)=\frac{1}{4} v^{n-4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k-1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

(b) If $n=4 k+2$ for some positive integer $k$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)=-\frac{1}{4} v^{n-4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k+1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

(c) If $n=4 k+1$ for some positive integer $k$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)=\frac{1}{2} u^{n-3}\left(u^{2}(v+V)-(2 k)^{2} v\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

(d) If $n=4 k+3$ for some positive integer $k$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)=\frac{1}{2} v u^{n-3}\left(u^{2}+v V-(2 k+1)^{2}\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

A particular case of this theorem (for $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}, a=1, b=2, c=3$ and $d=0$ ) is Amdeberhan's conjecture [Amdebe17]:

Corollary 1.8. Assume that $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}$. Let $p$ be a positive integer. Then,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{2 p}(\overrightarrow{1,2,3,0})\right)=3(2 p-1) 4^{p-1}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{2 p+1}(\overrightarrow{1,2,3,0})\right)=-\left(3 p^{2}-1\right) 4^{p}
$$

## 2. The proof

Corollary 1.8 follows from Theorem 1.7 by straightforward computations (and case distinctions). It thus remains to prove Theorem 1.7 .

The proof will be laborious, but nothing less should have been expected from the form of Theorem 1.7. We begin with notations.

Throughout this section, we let $\mathbb{K}, a, b, c, d, u, v, U, V$, a be as in Theorem 1.7. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$
a_{i}= \begin{cases}a, & \text { if } i \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ;  \tag{1}\\ b, & \text { if } i \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\ c, & \text { if } i \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\ d, & \text { if } i \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

Then, $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)=\overrightarrow{a, b, c, d}=\mathbf{a}$ is a periodic sequence with period 4 . More precisely, the two-sided infinite sequence ( $\left.\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic with period 4.

We let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. We shall use the Iverson bracket notation (i.e., we let $[\mathcal{A}]$ denote the truth value of any statement $\mathcal{A}$ ). Define a function $q: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
q(m)=\min \{m, 0\}=[m<0] m \quad \text { for each } m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Thus, every $m \leq 0$ satisfies $q(m)=m$, and every $m \geq 0$ satisfies $q(m)=0$. It is easy to see that each integer $m$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(m)+q(-m)=-|m| \equiv m \bmod 2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(q(m)+q(-m)) \equiv 2 m \bmod 4, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 q(-m) \equiv 2 m-2 q(m) \bmod 4 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now state a more-or-less explicit formula for each entry of the matrix $M_{n}(\mathbf{a}):$

Lemma 2.1. For every $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 Induction over $n$. The induction base is obvious. For the induction step, we fix a positive integer $n$, and we fix $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$. We are in one of three cases:

- Case 1: We have $i=1$. Thus, $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{1, j}=a_{j-1}$ (by the definition of $M_{n}(\mathbf{a})$ ). But

$$
j-\underbrace{i}_{=1}+2 q(n-\underbrace{i}_{=1}-j+1)=j-1+2 \underbrace{q(n-j)}_{\substack{(\text { since } n-j \geq 0)}}=j-1
$$

so that $a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}=a_{j-1}$. Hence, $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=a_{j-1}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}$. This proves (5) in Case 1.

- Case 2: We have $j=n$. Thus, $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, n}=a_{n+i-2}$ (by the definition of $\left.M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{j}_{=n}-i+2 q(n-i-\underbrace{j}_{=n}+1) & =n-i+2 \underbrace{q(1-i)}_{\substack{-1-i \\
(\text { since } 1-i \leq 0)}}=n-i+2(1-i) \\
& =n-3 i+2 \equiv n+i-2 \bmod 4
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}=a_{n+i-2}$ (since the two-sided infinite sequence $\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic with period 4$)$. Hence, $\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=$ $a_{n+i-2}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}$. This proves (5) in Case 2.

- Case 3: We have neither $i=1$ nor $j=n$. Thus, $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in[n-1]$. Now, recall that $\operatorname{rev}\left(\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{\{2,3, \ldots, n\},[n-1]}\right)=M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)$. Hence,

$$
\left(\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{\{2,3, \ldots, n\},[n-1]}\right)_{i-1, j}=\left(M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)\right)_{n-(i-1), n-j}
$$

$\operatorname{But}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)=\overrightarrow{a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, a_{2 n+2}}$ (since the sequence $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic with period 4). Hence, the induction hypothesis (applied to $n-1$, $a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, a_{2 n+2}$ and $\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)$ instead of $n, a, b, c, d$ and a) shows that

$$
\left(M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)\right)_{i, j}=a_{2 n-1+(j-i+2 q((n-1)-i-j+1))}
$$

for all $i \in[n-1]$ and $j \in[n-1]$ (where, for this sentence only, we let $i$ and $j$ denote arbitrary elements of $[n-1]$ rather than the two $i$ and $j$ we have fixed before). Applying this to $n-(i-1)$ and $n-j$ instead of $i$ and $j$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)\right)_{n-(i-1), n-j} \\
& =a_{2 n-1+((n-j)-(n-(i-1))+2 q((n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Altogether,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j} & =\left(\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{\{2,3, \ldots, n\},[n-1]}\right)_{i-1, j}=\left(M_{n-1}\left(a_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right)\right)_{n-(i-1), n-j} \\
& =a_{2 n-1+((n-j)-(n-(i-1))+2 q((n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But since

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
2 n-1+((n-j)-(n-(i-1))+2 q((n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1)) \\
=\underbrace{2 n-1+(n-j)-(n-(i-1))}_{=2 n-j-2+i}+2 q(\underbrace{(n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1}_{=-(n-i-j+1)}) \\
=2 n-j-2+i+\underbrace{2 q(-(n-i-j) \text { (by }(4) \text { applied to } m=n-i-j+1)}_{\equiv 2(n-i-j+1)-2 q(n-i-j+1) \bmod 4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
a_{2 n-1+((n-j)-(n-(i-1))+2 q((n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1))}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)},
$$

and thus

$$
\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{i, j}=a_{2 n-1+((n-j)-(n-(i-1))+2 q((n-1)-(n-(i-1))-(n-j)+1))}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)} .
$$

This proves (5) in Case 3.
Hence, the proof of (5) is complete.
We denote the $n \times n$-matrix $M_{n}(\mathbf{a})$ by $L$. Thus, (5) rewrites as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i, j}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$.
Next, we define an $n \times n$-matrix $P$ as follows:

- Start with the $n \times n$-matrix $L$.
- For each $i \in\{n, n-1, \ldots, 5\}$ (in this order), we subtract the $(i-4)$-th row from the $i$-th row. (Note that the order in which we perform these operations is chosen in such a way that the row being subtracted has not been modified prior to being subtracted.)
- For each $j \in\{n, n-1, \ldots, 5\}$ (in this order), we subtract the $(j-4)$-th column from the $j$-th column.
- The resulting matrix we call $P$.

Thus, the entries of $P$ are explicitly given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i, j}=L_{i, j}-[i>4] L_{i-4, j}-[j>4] L_{i, j-4}+[i>4][j>4] L_{i-4, j-4} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we are using the convention that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a false statement, then $[\mathcal{A}] x$ is understood to be 0 for any expression $x$, even if $x$ is undefined. (Thus, $[i>4] L_{i-4, j}$ is 0 when $i \leq 4$.)

The matrix $P$ was obtained from $L$ by row transformations and column transformations, all of which preserve the determinant. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} P=\operatorname{det} L . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the matrix $P$ has a lot more zeroes than $L$, as the following examples demonstrate:

Example 2.2. Here is the matrix $P$ for $n=9$ :

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & -u \\
0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $P$ for $n=10$ :

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrr}
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v & 0 & -v \\
0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $P$ for $n=11$ :

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrr}
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $P$ for $n=12$ :

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These examples may suggest a pattern (for $n \geq 7$ ):

- The submatrix $P_{[4],[4]}$ of $P$ is $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & b & c & d \\ d & a & b & c \\ c & d & a & b \\ b & c & d & a\end{array}\right)$.
- The rest of the "northwestern triangle" of $P$ is filled with zeroes.
- If $n$ is even, then the "southeastern triangle" beneath the anti-diagonal is filled with entries $0, v,-v$ in a predictable way. If $n$ is odd, then the "southeastern triangle" is filled with entries $0, u,-u$ in a predictable way.

We shall formalize this in a concrete formula in a few moments (Lemma 2.3). First, let us make one more definition: We set

$$
w= \begin{cases}v, & \text { if } n \text { is even; } \\ u, & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \geq 7$.
(a) The submatrix $P_{[4],[4]}$ of $P$ is $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & b & c & d \\ d & a & b & c \\ c & d & a & b \\ b & c & d & a\end{array}\right)$.
(b) Let $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$ be such that $(i, j) \notin[4]^{2}$. Then,

$$
P_{i, j}=(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\} ; \\ -1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3 (a) From (7), we obtain $P_{[4],[4]}=L_{[4],[4]}$, and this can be computed via (6). The condition $n \geq 7$ ensures that $q(n-i-j+1)=0$ whenever $i \in[4]$ and $j \in[4]$. This proves Lemma 2.3 (a).
(b) We are in one of the following three cases:

Case 1: We have $i \in[4]$.
Case 2: We have $j \in[4]$.
Case 3: We have neither $i \in[4]$ nor $j \in[4]$.
We shall only consider Case 3; the other two cases are left to the reader. Thus,
we have neither $i \in[4]$ nor $j \in[4]$. Hence, $i>4$ and $j>4$. Thus, (7) simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j}= & L_{i, j}-L_{i-4, j}-L_{i, j-4}+L_{i-4, j-4} \\
= & a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-a_{j-(i-4)+2 q(n-(i-4)-j+1)} \\
& \quad-a_{(j-4)-i+2 q(n-i-(j-4)+1)}+a_{(j-4)-(i-4)+2 q(n-(i-4)-(j-4)+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by (6))
$=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-a_{j-i+4+2 q(n-i-j+5)}-a_{j-4-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}+a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+9)}$
$=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}-a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}+a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+9)}$
$\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { here, we have gotten rid of some 4's in the subscripts } \\ \text { (since the two-sided infinite sequence }\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \\ \text { is periodic with period } 4)\end{array}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-2 a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}+a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+9)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the integer $n-i-j+1$ is even, then the integers $q(n-i-j+1), q(n-i-j+5)$ and $q(n-i-j+9)$ are even (because $q(m)$ is even for each even integer $m$ ), whence the integers $2 q(n-i-j+1), 2 q(n-i-j+5)$ and $2 q(n-i-j+9)$ are divisible by 4 , and therefore the three entries $a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}, a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}$ and $a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+9)}$ are equal (since the two-sided infinite sequence (..., $a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ ) is periodic with period 4). Hence, if the integer $n-i-j+1$ is even, then (9) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{i, j}=a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-2 a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}+a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}=0 \\
&=(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\} ; \\
-1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} ; \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
& \quad\binom{\text { since we have neither } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\}}{\text { nor } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} \text { (because } n-i-j+1 \text { is even) }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if the integer $n-i-j+1$ is even, then Lemma 2.3 (b) holds. Hence, for the rest of this proof, we WLOG assume that the integer $n-i-j+1$ is odd. Hence, $i+j=n+k$ for some even $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We are therefore in one of the following six subcases:

Subcase 3.1: We have $i+j<n+1$.
Subcase 3.2: We have $i+j=n+2$.
Subcase 3.3: We have $i+j=n+4$.
Subcase 3.4: We have $i+j=n+6$.
Subcase 3.5: We have $i+j=n+8$.
Subcase 3.6: We have $i+j>n+9$.
Let us first consider Subcase 3.1. In this case, we have $i+j<n+1$. Hence, the integers $n-i-j+1, n-i-j+5$ and $n-i-j+9$ are positive. Therefore, the numbers $q(n-i-j+1), q(n-i-j+5), q(n-i-j+9)$ all equal 0 . Thus, (9)
simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j} & =a_{j-i+2 \cdot 0}-2 a_{j-i+2 q \cdot 0}+a_{j-i+2 q \cdot 0}=0 \\
& =(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\} ; \\
-1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} ; \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since we have neither $i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\}$ nor $i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\}$ (because $i+j<n+1)$ ). Hence, Lemma 2.3 (b) is proven in Subcase 3.1.

Let us now consider Subcase 3.2. In this case, we have $i+j=n+2$. Hence, $n-i-j=-2$, and thus $q(n-i-j+1)=q(-1)=-1, q(n-i-j+5)=q(3)=$
$0, q(n-i-j+9)=q(8)=0$. Thus, (9) simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j} & =a_{j-i+2 \cdot(-1)}-2 a_{j-i+2 q \cdot 0}+a_{j-i+2 q \cdot 0}=a_{j-i-2}-a_{j-i} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ a , } & { \text { if } j - i - 2 \equiv 0 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ b , } & { \text { if } j - i - 2 \equiv 1 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ c , } & { \text { if } j - i - 2 \equiv 2 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ d , } & { \text { if } j - i - 2 \equiv 3 \operatorname { m o d } 4 }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
b, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\
c, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
d, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 3 \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(by (11)

$$
=\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ c , } & { \text { if } j - i \equiv 0 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ d , } & { \text { if } j - i \equiv 1 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ a , } & { \text { if } j - i \equiv 2 \operatorname { m o d } 4 ; } \\
{ b , } & { \text { if } j - i \equiv 3 \operatorname { m o d } 4 }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
b, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\
c, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
d, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 3 \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c-a, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
d-b, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\
a-c, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
b-d, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 3 \bmod 4
\end{array}= \begin{cases}-v, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
u, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\
v, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
-u, & \text { if } j-i \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{cases}\right.
$$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-v, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
u, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 3 \bmod 4 ; \\
v, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
-u, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 1 \bmod 4
\end{array}=(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} \begin{cases}u, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 1 \bmod 2 ; \\
v, & \text { if } i-j \equiv 0 \bmod 2\end{cases}\right.
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}v, & \text { if } n \text { is even; } \\ u, & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

$$
=(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w
$$

$$
=(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\} ; \\ -1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(since $i+j=n+2 \in\{n+2, n+4\}$ ). Hence, Lemma 2.3 (b) is proven in Subcase 3.2.

Subcases 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 are analogous.
Let us finally consider Subcase 3.6. In this case, we have $i+j>n+9$. Hence, the integers $n-i-j+1, n-i-j+5$ and $n-i-j+9$ are negative. Therefore, the numbers $q(n-i-j+1), q(n-i-j+5), q(n-i-j+9)$ equal $n-i-j+1$, $n-i-$ $j+5, n-i-j+9$, respectively. In particular, these numbers are therefore congruent modulo 4; therefore, the three elements $a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}, a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+5)}, a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+9)}$
are equal (since the two-sided infinite sequence $\left(\ldots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is periodic with period 4). Hence, (9) simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j} & =a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}-2 a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}+a_{j-i+2 q(n-i-j+1)}=0 \\
& =(-1)^{\lfloor(i-j) / 2\rfloor+1} w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\} ; \\
-1, & \text { if } i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\} ; \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since we have neither $i+j \in\{n+2, n+4\}$ nor $i+j \in\{n+6, n+8\}$ (because $i+j>n+9)$ ). Hence, Lemma 2.3 (b) is proven in Subcase 3.6.

Hence, Lemma 2.3 (b) is proven in the whole Case 3.
As we said, the Cases 1 and 2 are analogous, with the caveat that $i$ and $j$ cannot both belong to $[4]$ (since $(i, j) \notin[4]^{2}$ ) and that $i+j$ cannot belong to $\{n+6, n+8\}$ (since $i+j \leq n+4$ ). Thus, the proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete.

Next, we define an $n \times n$-matrix $Q$ as follows:

- Start with the $n \times n$-matrix $P$.
- Turn the matrix upside down (i.e., switch its topmost row with its bottommost row, and so on).
- Multiply the $i$-th row of the matrix with $(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+i-1}$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
- The resulting matrix we call $Q$.

Thus, the entries of $Q$ are explicitly given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i, j}=(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+i-1} P_{n+1-i, j} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $Q$ was obtained from $P$ by row transformations, which act on the determinant in a predictable way:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} Q & =\underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+i-1}\right)}_{=(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor n+n(n-1) / 2}}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \operatorname{det} P=(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor n+n(n-1) / 2}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \operatorname{det} P \\
& =(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor n} \operatorname{det} P . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

But the matrix $Q$ has more meaningful patterns than $P$, as the following examples demonstrate:

Example 2.4. Here is the matrix $Q$ for $n=9$ :

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
-b & -c & -d & -a & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 \\
-d & -a & -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $Q$ for $n=10$ :

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrr}
0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
-b & -c & -d & -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v \\
c & d & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 \\
-d & -a & -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v \\
a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $Q$ for $n=11$ :

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrr}
0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 & u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 & -u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -u \\
-c & -d & -a & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\
-a & -b & -c & -d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here is the matrix $Q$ for $n=12$ :

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}
0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 & v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 & -v \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v & 0 \\
b & c & d & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & -v \\
-c & -d & -a & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v \\
-a & -b & -c & -d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $n \geq 7$.
(a) The submatrix $\quad Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1,1\},[4]} \quad$ of $\quad Q \quad$ is $(-1)^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-b & -c & -d & -a \\ c & d & a & b \\ -d & -a & -b & -c \\ a & b & c & d\end{array}\right)$.
(b) Let $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$ be such that $(i, j) \notin\{n-3, n-2, n-1,1\} \times[4]$. Then,

$$
Q_{i, j}=w \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } j-i \in\{1,7\} \\ -1, & \text { if } j-i \in\{3,5\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5 This follows from Lemma 2.3 by straightforward computation using (10).

If $X$ is any finite set of integers, then $\sum X$ shall denote the sum of all elements of X.

Now, recall the following property of determinants (known as Laplace expansion in multiple rows):

Proposition 2.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$. Let $X$ be a subset of $[n]$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq[n] ; \\|Y|=|X|}}(-1)^{\sum X+\sum Y} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{X, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(A_{[n] \backslash X,[n] \backslash Y}\right) .
$$

See, e.g., [Grinbe15, Theorem 6.156 (a)] for a proof of Proposition 2.6 (but beware that the sets denoted by $P$ and $Q$ in [Grinbe15, Theorem 6.156 (a)] correspond to our sets $X$ and $Y$ ).

Applying Proposition 2.6 to $A=Q$ and $X=\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} Q=\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq[n] ; \\
|Y|=4}} \underbrace{(-1)^{\sum\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}+\sum Y}}_{\substack{=(-1)^{\sum Y} \\
\left(\text { since } \sum\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}=4 n-6\right. \text { is even) }}} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq[n] ; \\
|Y|=4}}(-1)^{\sum Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right) \text {. } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

But Lemma 2.5 shows that the $4 \times n$-matrix $Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},[n]}$ (which is formed by the bottommost 4 rows of $Q$ ) has at most 7 nonzero columns: namely, its columns $1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n$. Therefore, for any subset $Y$ of $[n]$, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right)=$ 0 unless $Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$. This allows us to restrict the sum on the right hand side of (12) to the subsets $Y$ satisfying $Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$. Thus, (12) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} Q= & \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq[n] ; \\
|Y|=4 ; \\
Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}}}(-1)^{\Sigma Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
|Y|=4}}(-1)^{\Sigma Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, Lemma 2.5 shows that the 1-st column of the $(n-4) \times n$-matrix $Q_{[n-4],[n]}$ (which is formed by the first $n-4$ rows of $Q$ ) is 0 . Hence, for any subset $Y$ of $\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right)=0$ unless $1 \notin[n] \backslash Y$. This allows us to restrict the sum on the right hand side of (13) to the subsets $Y$
satisfying $1 \notin[n] \backslash Y$. Thus, (13) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} Q & =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
| |=4 ; \\
1 \notin[n] \backslash Y}}(-1)^{\Sigma Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
|Y|=4 ; 4 \\
1 \in Y}}(-1)^{\Sigma Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of this equality is now a sum with $\binom{6}{3}=20$ addends, corresponding to all the 20 subsets $Y$ of $\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$ satisfying $|Y|=4$ and $1 \in Y$. Explicitly, these 20 subsets are
$\{1,2,3,4\}$,
$\{1,2,3, n-2\}$,
$\{1,2,3, n-1\}$,
$\{1,2,3, n\}$,
$\{1,2,4, n-2\}$,
$\{1,2,4, n-1\}$,
$\{1,2,4, n\}$,
$\{1,2, n-2, n-1\}$,
$\{1,2, n-2, n\}$,
$\{1,2, n-1, n\}$,
$\{1,3,4, n-2\}$,
$\{1,3,4, n-1\}$,
$\{1,3,4, n\}, \quad\{1,3, n-2, n-1\}$,
$\{1,3, n-2, n\}$,
$\{1,3, n-1, n\}$,
$\{1,4, n-2, n-1\}$,
$\{1,4, n-2, n\}$,
$\{1,4, n-1, n\}$,
$\{1, n-2, n-1, n\}$.

Computing the terms $\sum Y$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right)$ for each of these subsets $Y$ is straightforward, thanks to the explicit formula for $Q_{i, j}$ given in Lemma 2.5. But we also need to compute the $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-determinants $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right)$, and this is not immediately obvious. Here we need one further idea.

Let $S$ be the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$-matrix $([j=i+1])_{1 \leq i \leq n-1,1 \leq j \leq n-1} \in \mathbb{K}^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$. This is the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$-matrix whose first superdiagonal is filled with 1 's, whereas its other entries are filled with 0's.

Example 2.7. If $n=10$, then

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Notice that we call this matrix $S$ because it represents the so-called "shift operator". It is well-known (and easy to check by induction over $k$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{k}=([j=i+k])_{1 \leq i \leq n-1,1 \leq j \leq n-1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
We define a further $(n-1) \times(n-1)$-matrix $G$ by $G=I_{n-1}-S^{2}-S^{4}+S^{6}$. Then:
Lemma 2.8. Let $i, j \in[n-1]$. Then,

$$
G_{i, j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } j-i \in\{0,6\} ; \\ -1, & \text { if } j-i \in\{2,4\} ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.8 Follows from the definition of $G$ and from (15).
Example 2.9. If $n=9$, then

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now, assume that $n \geq 7$ from now on. (The other cases can be done by hand.)
Lemma 2.10. We have $Q_{[n-4],\{2,3, \ldots, n\}}=w G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$.
Proof of Lemma 2.10. Compare the entries of $Q_{[n-4],\{2,3, \ldots, n\}}$ with those of $w G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$. (The former are given by Lemma 2.5 (b), while the latter are given by Lemma 2.8.)

The usefulness of Lemma 2.10 is in that it helps us compute $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right)$ for subsets $Y$ of $\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$ satisfying $|Y|=4$ and $1 \in Y$. Indeed, if $Y$ is such a subset, then $Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}$ is an $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-submatrix of $Q_{[n-4],\{2,3, \ldots, n\}}=$ $w G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$ (by Lemma 2.10), and thus $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash \gamma}\right)$ is an $(n-4) \times(n-4)$ minor of $w G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$, and therefore equals $w^{n-4}$ times a $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-minor of $G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$. Our problem thus is reduced to computing $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-minors
of $G_{[n-4],[n-1]}$. These are, of course, $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-minors of $G$ as well. It turns out that all such minors can be easily computed. To do so, we recall another known fact about determinants (the Jacobi complementary minor theorem):

Proposition 2.11. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ be an invertible matrix. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two subsets of $[n]$ satisfying $|X|=|Y|$. Prove that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{X, Y}\right)=(-1)^{\sum X+\sum Y} \operatorname{det} A \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left(A^{-1}\right)_{[n] \backslash Y,[n] \backslash X}\right) .
$$

See, e.g., [Grinbe15, Exercise 6.56] for a proof of Proposition 2.11 (but beware that the sets denoted by $P$ and $Q$ in [Grinbe15, Exercise 6.56] correspond to our sets $X$ and $Y$ ).

We would like to apply Proposition 2.11 to $n-1$ and $G$ instead of $n$ and $A$. To do so, it helps to know the determinant $\operatorname{det} G$ and the inverse $G^{-1}$ of $G$.

The determinant $\operatorname{det} G$ is easy to compute: The matrix $G=I_{n-1}-S^{2}-S^{4}+S^{6}$ is upper-unitriangular (since $S$ is strictly upper-triangular), and thus its determinant $\operatorname{det} G=1$. Thus, the matrix $G$ is invertible. Hence, Proposition 2.11 (applied to $n-1$ and $G$ instead of $n$ and $A$ ) shows that if $X$ and $Y$ are two subsets of $[n-1]$ satisfying $|X|=|Y|$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(G_{X, Y}\right) & =(-1)^{\sum X+\sum Y} \underbrace{\operatorname{det} G}_{=1} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{[n-1] \backslash Y,[n-1] \backslash X}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{\sum X+\sum Y} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{[n-1] \backslash Y,[n-1] \backslash X}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to compute the inverse $G^{-1}$, we first observe that

$$
\frac{1}{1-x^{2}-x^{4}+x^{6}}=\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}}\lfloor h / 2+1\rfloor x^{2 h}
$$

in the ring of power series $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ (indeed, this can be shown by expanding $\left(1-x^{2}-x^{4}+x^{6}\right) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}}\lfloor h / 2+1\rfloor x^{2 h}$ ). Substituting $S$ for $x$ into this equality (which is allowed since the matrix $S$ is nilpotent), we obtain

$$
\left(I_{n-1}-S^{2}-S^{4}+S^{6}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}}\lfloor h / 2+1\rfloor S^{2 h}
$$

Since $G=I_{n-1}-S^{2}-S^{4}+S^{6}$, this rewrites as

$$
G^{-1}=\sum_{h \in \mathbb{N}}\lfloor h / 2+1\rfloor S^{2 h}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(G^{-1}\right)_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\lfloor(j-i) / 4+1\rfloor, & \text { if } j-i \in\{0,2,4, \ldots\} ;  \tag{17}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $i, j \in[n-1]$ (by (15)).
Example 2.12. If $n=9$, then

$$
G^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now that we have an explicit formula (17) for each entry of $G^{-1}$, we can compute any $4 \times 4$-minor of $G^{-1}$. Using Proposition 2.11 , we shall then be able to compute any $(n-4) \times(n-4)$-minor of $G$.

Let us be specific. For any set $Y$ of integers satisfying $1 \in Y$, we let $Y^{-}$be the set $\{y-1 \mid y \in Y ; y \neq 1\}$ of integers. Notice that $\left|Y^{-}\right|=|Y|-1$.

Let $Y$ be a subset of $\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$ satisfying $|Y|=4$ and $1 \in Y$. Then, $Y^{-}$is the 3 -element subset $\{y-1 \mid y \in Y ; y>1\}$ of $\{1,2,3, n-3, n-2, n-1\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}=(\underbrace{Q_{[n-4],\{2,3, \ldots, n\}}}_{\begin{array}{c}
=w G_{[n-4], n-1]} \\
\text { (by Lemma }[2.10\}
\end{array}})_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}}=\left(w G_{[n-4],[n-1]}\right)_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}} \\
& =w \underbrace{\left(G_{[n-4],[n-1]}\right)_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}}}_{=G_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}}}=w G_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(w G_{[n-4],[n-1] \backslash Y^{-}}\right) \\
& =w^{n-4} \underbrace{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\left.[n-4]][n-1] \backslash Y^{-}\right)}\right)}_{\left.=(-1)^{\left[[n-4]+\sum\left([n-1] \backslash Y^{-}\right)\right.} \operatorname{det}_{\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)\right.}^{\left.[n-1] \backslash\left([n-1] \backslash Y^{-}\right),[n-1] \backslash[n-4]\right)}\right)} \\
& =w^{n-4} \underbrace{(-1)^{\sum[n-4]+\sum\left([n-1] \backslash Y^{-}\right)}}_{=(-1)^{(n-3)+(n-2)+(n-1)-\Sigma Y^{-}}} \operatorname{det}(\underbrace{\left(G^{-1}\right)_{[n-1] \backslash\left([n-1] \backslash Y^{-}\right),[n-1] \backslash[n-4]}}_{=\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}}) \\
& =w^{n-4}(-1)^{n+\sum Y^{-}} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \text {. } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let us combine all we have shown. The definition of $L$ yields $L=M_{n}(\mathbf{a})$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right) & =\operatorname{det} L=\operatorname{det} P \\
& =(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor n} \operatorname{det} Q \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, in order to compute $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)$ (and thus prove Theorem 1.7), it suffices to compute $\operatorname{det} Q$.

The equality (14) becomes
$\operatorname{det} Q$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
|Y|=4 ; \\
1 \in Y}}(-1)^{\sum Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \underbrace{\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{[n-4],[n] \backslash Y)}\right.}_{=w^{n-4}(-1)^{n+\sum^{-}} \begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
\text { (by } \sqrt{18)})
\end{array}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
|Y|=4 ; \\
1 \in Y}}(-1)^{\Sigma Y} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \\
& w^{n-4}(-1)^{n+\sum Y^{-}} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} \\
|Y|=4 ; \\
1 \in Y}} ; \underbrace{(-1)^{\sum Y}(-1)^{n+\sum Y^{-}}}_{=(-1)^{n}} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \\
& w^{n-4} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} w^{n-4} \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\
|Y|=4 ; \\
1 \in Y}} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \text {. } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.5, it is straightforward to see that

$$
Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1\},\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
b & c & d & a & v & 0 & -v  \tag{21}\\
-c & -d & -a & -b & 0 & v & 0 \\
d & a & b & c & 0 & 0 & v \\
-a & -b & -c & -d & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(since $w=v$ (because $n=4 k$ is even)). Using (17), it is straightforward to see that

$$
\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,2,3, n-3, n-2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
k & 0 & k  \tag{22}\\
0 & k & 0 \\
k-1 & 0 & k \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Also, recall our above list of all 20 subsets $Y$ of $\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\}$ satisfying $|Y|=4$ and $1 \in Y$. Using this list, the sum on the right hand side of 20 becomes

$$
\sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ; \\|Y|=4 ; \\ 1 \in Y}} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,3,4\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,2,3\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,3, n-2\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,2, n-3\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,3, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,2, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,3, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,4, n-2\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,3, n-3\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,4, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,3, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2,4, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1,3, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1, n-3, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2, n-2, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1, n-3, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,2, n-1, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{1, n-2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3,4, n-2\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2,3, n-3\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3,4, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2,3, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3,4, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2,3, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2, n-3, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3, n-2, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2, n-3, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,3, n-1, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{2, n-2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,4, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{3, n-3, n-2\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,4, n-2, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{3, n-3, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1,4, n-1, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{3, n-2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\},\{1, n-2, n-1, n\}}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1\},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

All matrices appearing on the right hand side of this equality can be read off from the equalities (21) and (22); thus, the right hand side can be explicitly computed.
Here is some SageMath code to do so:

```
from itertools import combinations
Q.<a,b,c,d,k> = PolynomialRing(QQ)
# We treat 'k' as a polynomial indeterminate.
n = 4 * k
u = d - b
v = a - c
U = d + b
V = a + c
w = v # since 'n = 4k' is even
Q7 = Matrix(Q, [[b, c, d, a, v, 0, -v],
    [-c, -d, -a, -b, 0, v, 0],
    [d, a, b, c, 0, 0, v],
    [-a, -b, -c, -d, 0, 0, 0]])
# This ''Q7'، is the matrix in leqref{eq.4k-case.Q}.
G6 = Matrix(Q, [[k, 0, k], [0, k, 0], [k-1, 0, k],
    [1, 0, 1], [0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 1]])
# This ''G6'، is the matrix in \eqref{eq.4k-case.G-1}.
res = Q.zero()
for yminus in combinations(range(6), 3):
    y = [0] + [i+1 for i in yminus]
    MinorOfQ7 = Matrix(Q, [[Q7[i][j] for i in range(4)]
                for j in y]).det()
    MinorOfG6 = Matrix(Q, [[G6[i][j] for i in yminus]
                                    for j in range(3)]).det()
    res += MinorOfQ7 * MinorOfG6
print res == (1/4) * (v ** 4 - u ** 2 * v ** 2 + (U ** 2 - V ** 2) *
    ((2*k - 1) ** 2 * v ** 2 - (2*k) **
                        2 * u ** 2))
```

The variable res computed by this code thus equals the sum on the right hand side of (20). The last line of the code confirms that this sum equals

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k-1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right)
$$

Hence, (20) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} Q \\
& =\underbrace{(-1)^{n}}_{=1} \underbrace{w^{n-4}}_{=v^{n-4}} \\
& \text { (since } n \text { is even) (since } w=v \text { ) } \\
& \sum_{Y \subseteq\{1,2,3,4, n-2, n-1, n\} ;} \operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}, Y}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left(G^{-1}\right)_{Y^{-},\{n-3, n-2, n-1\}}\right) \\
& |Y|=4 \text {; } \\
& 1 \in Y \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k-1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} v^{n-4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k-1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, (19) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{n}(\mathbf{a})\right)= & \underbrace{(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor n}}_{(\text {since } n \text { is even) }} \operatorname{det} Q \\
= & \frac{1}{4} v^{n-4}\left(v^{4}-u^{2} v^{2}+\left(U^{2}-V^{2}\right)\left((2 k-1)^{2} v^{2}-(2 k)^{2} u^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 1.7 (a).
Similar arguments can be used to verify parts (b), (c) and (d) of Theorem 1.7 .
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