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I work in the field of algebraic combinatorics, centered on (but not limited to) symmetric functions and related concepts, such as combinatorial Hopf algebras, Young tableaux and trees. These objects live at the borderlands of algebra and combinatorics, often allowing for viewpoints from both sides and transfer of knowledge from one to the other. I also study adjacent subjects such as invariant theory, Lie algebras, representations, and constructive algebra.

Among my contributions to these disciplines are a new generalization of the dual stable Grothendieck polynomials (which themselves generalize the Schur functions), an antipode formula for quasisymmetric functions, a proof of a conjecture of K. Mészáros on the “subdivision algebra”, and a periodicity result on “birational rowmotion” (originally conjectured by D. Einstein and J. Propp) that has seen several uses in dynamical algebraic combinatorics. Details on this and other work can be found below.

Background. The history of symmetric functions goes back at least as far as the 17th Century, when Newton and Girard explored the relations between elementary symmetric polynomials and power sums. The next major steps were Cauchy’s 1815 introduction of what later came to be known as Schur functions, and Jacobi’s and Trudi’s determinantal formulas for them (1841 and 1864). Symmetric functions found various uses in the algebra of the 19th Century, particularly in Galois and invariant theory, as well as in Schubert calculus. However, their combinatorial meaning was not discovered until the 1930s, when Schur and others connected them to Young tableaux and the representation theory of symmetric and general linear groups. This connection opened the floodgates, and the research that followed since the mid-20th Century could fill bookshelves (see, e.g., [Stan99, Ch. 7], [Fulton97], [Sagan01]). Symmetric functions were found to form a Hopf algebra, which tended to appear in various guises in seemingly unrelated fields such as algebraic topology (as cohomology of some classifying spaces) and number theory (as coordinate ring of the Witt vectors, e.g., [Hazewi08]). The multiplication of Schur functions turned out to be governed by a combinatorial rule (the Littlewood-Richardson rule), formulated in 1934 and first proven in 1974, with applications in theoretical physics. The combinatorics of Young tableaux became a subject of its own, bordering on theoretical computer science (Knuth devoted a section in “The Art of Computer Programming” to it). Symmetric functions have been applied in fields as diverse as random matrix theory, K-theory (particularly of Grassmannians), group theory and quantum groups. The description of the representations of symmetric and general linear groups using Schur functions has become a mold in which many other representation theories have been shaped.

By now, even as various questions on Schur functions remain unanswered, the focus has broadened to include generalizations and analogues thereof, such as Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials, factorial Schur functions, Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials, P-partition enumerators, and others.
It is such generalizations that I have been dealing with in much of my research. From a bird’s eye view, their theories follow a certain pattern: a family of power series is defined, and analogues of classical properties of the Schur functions (such as symmetry, determinantal formulas à la Jacobi-Trudi, Littlewood-Richardson rule(s), and antipode formulas) are proven for this family. However, this is rarely ever straightforward, as each generalization comes with its additional complications; consequently, these programs are at rather different stages of completion, and some of them (e.g., a full Littlewood-Richardson rule for Schubert polynomials) appear out of reach today. Additionally, each generalization has its own motivation, sometimes stemming from a totally different field.

Combinatorial Hopf algebras are one (although not the only) place where these generalizations live. They are interesting both in their intrinsic properties (e.g., some of them are free as algebras for non-obvious reasons) and for the special elements they contain (such as the above-mentioned generalizations of symmetric functions). They have found applications to algebraic groups, Lie groups, probability and renormalization theory. One of the most frequently seen among these Hopf algebras is the ring of quasisymmetric functions, which has been introduced by Gessel and Stanley for combinatorial purposes in the 1970s, but has recently appeared in topology (\cite{BakRic08}) and K-theory (\cite{Morava15}, \cite{Oesing18}); this ring has also been involved in much of my past research (e.g., \cite{Grinbe15a}, \cite{Grinbe14}, \cite{Grinbe17c}).

**Overview of selected past results.** My results so far, as well as my research plans for the future, live in and around the algebro-combinatorial landscape surveyed above. Among my finished work on symmetric functions and related Hopf algebras, the following are the most relevant:

- In \cite{Grinbe14}, I prove a conjecture of Mike Zabrocki on a quasisymmetric analogue of Bernstein’s creation-operator approach to the Schur functions. The proof relies on a dendriform algebra structure on the quasisymmetric functions – a structure I later apply to a combinatorial problem in \cite{Grinbe17c}.

- In \cite{Grinbe15a}, I reprove and generalize a formula of Malvenuto and Reutenauer for the antipode of a P-partition enumerator (which itself extends a classical formula for the antipode of a Schur function).

- In \cite{GaGrLi15}, Pavel Galashin, Gaku Liu and I refine the dual stable Grothendieck polynomials (a recent generalization of Schur functions motivated by K-theory) to include new parameters, and prove the symmetry of these new power series combinatorially.

- In \cite{BorGri13}, James Borger and I explore positivity properties of symmetric functions and apply them to Witt vectors over semirings.

- In \cite{Grinbe15d}, I leverage a universal property to obtain a new construction of the Bernstein homomorphism for commutative connected graded Hopf algebras (which generalizes the internal comultiplication of the quasisymmetric functions).
• The lecture notes [GriRei15] (joint with Victor Reiner) are an introduction to both symmetric functions and combinatorial Hopf algebras.

Some other works of mine are not directly concerned with symmetric functions:

• In the two papers [GriRob14], Tom Roby and I prove the periodicity of birational rowmotion on rectangles and some related results.

• In [Grinbe17a], I answer a question of Karola Mészáros on the subdivision algebra (a deformation of the Orlik-Solomon algebra of the type-A braid arrangement).

• In [GrHuRe17], Jia Huang, Victor Reiner and I study the combinatorics of the Grothendieck groups of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, revealing a parallel to the chip-firing game on directed graphs.

• In [GriPos17], Alexander Postnikov and I demonstrate a property of reduced expressions in Coxeter groups.

• In [GriOlv18], Peter Olver and I factorize the determinant of a matrix arising from the n-body problem. I have since generalized this factorization in [Grinbe19a].

• In [AaGrSc18], Erik Aas, Travis Scrimshaw and I prove two conjectures on multiline queues and the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (one coming from physics, one from probability theory).

Current research


It is well-known since the early 20th century that the symmetric functions can be used to describe the cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Gr}_{k,n})$ of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$ (see [Fulton97, Part III] for an introduction). More precisely, this cohomology ring is a quotient of the ring of symmetric functions modulo an ideal generated by all “large” elementary symmetric functions $e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, e_{k+3}, \ldots$ and the $k$ complete homogeneous symmetric functions $h_{n-k+1}, h_{n-k+2}, \ldots, h_n$. More recently it has been revealed that a deformation of this ideal induces a quotient that is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(\text{Gr}_{k,n})$, whose structure constants are the Gromov-Witten invariants (see [Postni05] for a recent perspective). These facts motivate a more general scenario:

Fix integers $n \geq k \geq 0$, and let $S$ be the ring of symmetric polynomials in $k$ variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ over an arbitrary base ring $\mathbf{k}$. (We can view $S$ itself as a quotient of the ring of symmetric functions by the elementary symmetric functions $e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots$) Fix $k$ scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbf{k}$, and let $I$ be the ideal of $S$ generated by $h_{n-k+i} - a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. The quotient algebra $S/I$ then generalizes both the classical and the quantum cohomology rings.
I have initiated the study of this quotient algebra $\mathcal{S}/I$ in [Grinbe18a] (work in progress). In particular, I have shown that $\mathcal{S}/I$ is a free $k$-module of rank $\binom{n}{k}$ with three explicit bases. All three bases are indexed by the set $P_{k,n}$ of all partitions that “fit inside” the $k \times (n-k)$-rectangle (that is, partitions that have at most $k$ parts, with each part being $\leq n-k$). If we let $\overline{f}$ denote the projection of a symmetric polynomial $f \in \mathcal{S}$ onto $\mathcal{S}/I$, then these three bases are $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$, $(\overline{h_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ and $(\overline{m_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$, where $s_\lambda$, $h_\lambda$ and $m_\lambda$ are Schur polynomials, complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials and monomial symmetric polynomials, respectively.

Furthermore, I have shown that the structure coefficients of the algebra $\mathcal{S}/I$ in the basis $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ satisfy an $S_\lambda$-symmetry, generalizing those of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and of the Gromov-Witten invariants. I have found a Pieri rule for products of the form $s_\lambda h_\mu$ (with $\mu \leq n-k$) as well as a “rim hook algorithm” for reducing arbitrary Schur polynomials $\overline{s_\mu}$ (with $\mu \notin P_{k,n}$) in the basis $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$. (Both of these are significantly subtler than the original results of Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine and Fulton [BeCiFu99] they generalize.) Further work on this algebra is ongoing, the goal being to explore what other properties of the cohomology rings generalize to this situation:

- The structure coefficients of $\mathcal{S}/I$ in the basis $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ seem to satisfy a positivity property (more precisely, predictable signs) generalizing the positivity of Gromov-Witten invariants. This is so far a conjecture, which I expect to be highly difficult.

- What further properties of classical and quantum cohomology extend to $\mathcal{S}/I$? Computations have shown that neither the $Gr_k, n \leftrightarrow Gr_{n-k}, n$ duality nor Postnikov’s “curious duality” [Postni05] do (at least not without further restrictions), but others remain to be tested.

- What other bases with combinatorial meaning does $\mathcal{S}/I$ have? For any of the dozens of known bases $(b_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ (indexed by partitions $\lambda$ into $\leq k$ parts), we can ask whether $(\overline{b_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{S}/I$. So far, this has been verified for $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$, $(\overline{h_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ and $(\overline{m_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ and refuted for $(\overline{p_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ (even when $k = \mathbb{Q}$).

- How many of the properties survive when $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ are no longer scalars in $k$, but symmetric polynomials with deg $(a_i) < n - k + i$ for all $i$? For example, the $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$-basis still holds in that generality, while the $S_\lambda$-symmetry does not.

- Inspired by [CoKrWa09], I have introduced a variant of the ideal $I$, in which the $h_j$ are replaced by the power-sum symmetric polynomials $p_j = x_1^j + x_2^j + \cdots + x_k^j$. Thus, define an ideal $I_p$ of $\mathcal{S}$ generated by $p_{n-k+i} - a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Is $(\overline{s_\lambda})_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}}$ again a basis of the quotient $k$-module $\mathcal{S}/I_p$, assuming that $k$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra (a natural assumption when dealing with $p_j$’s)? After I proposed this question for the MIT PRIMES project 2019 (an REU-like research program for talented high-schoolers), a student (Andrew Weinfeld) has come up with a highly elegant proof. Further questions naturally suggest themselves here.
Connections with the splitting algebras of Laksov and Thorup [LakTho12], with equivariant quantum cohomology [BeMiTa18] and with the structure constants of the Schubert polynomials [Manive01] is also suspected.

**Petrie symmetric functions.** See [Grinbe19b] for a (so far unfinished) draft.

Recent algebro-geometric work by Liu and Polo [LiuPol19] got me interested in a family of symmetric functions which I dubbed the **Petrie symmetric functions**. Given a positive integer \( k \), we define a symmetric formal power series

\[
G(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + x_1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_i^{k-1}\right)
\]

in the indeterminates \( x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots \); this is the sum of all monomials containing no exponents \( \geq k \). Also, if \( k \) is a positive and \( m \) a nonnegative integer, then \( G(k, m) \) shall denote the \( m \)-th graded component of \( G(k) \); this is the sum of all monomials of degree \( m \) containing no exponents \( \geq k \). A conjecture by Liu and Polo [LiuPol19, Remark 1.4.5] can be rephrased as saying that

\[
G(n, 2n - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^i s_{(n-1,n-1-i,1^{i+1})} \quad \text{for every integer } n > 1,
\]

where \( s_\lambda \) denote the Schur functions as usual. I have proved this conjecture and showed, more generally, that \( G(k, m) \) is always a linear combination \( \sum_\lambda \text{pet}_k(\lambda) s_\lambda \) of Schur functions \( s_\lambda \) in which the coefficients \( \text{pet}_k(\lambda) \) are certain numbers in the set \( \{1, -1, 0\} \). These numbers are easiest to describe as determinants of certain Petrie matrices [GorWil74]. One of my next goals (partly achieved) is to find a simple combinatorial rule for \( \text{pet}_k(\lambda) \) in terms of the \( k \)-abacus of \( \lambda \).

More generally, for any partition \( \lambda \), the product \( G(k, m) \cdot s_\lambda \) is a linear combination of \( s_\mu \)'s with coefficients in \( \{1, -1, 0\} \). The coefficients, again, are determinants of Petrie matrices. A more direct combinatorial description would be rather interesting, as it would interpolate between the Pieri rule for \( h_m s_\lambda \) and the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for \( p_m s_\lambda \) (since \( h_m = G(k, m) \) for all \( k > m \), whereas \( p_m = G(m + 1, m) - G(m, m) \)).

Other questions can be asked. It is not hard to see that for any given \( k > 1 \), the sequence of symmetric functions \( (G(k, 1), G(k, 2), G(k, 3), \ldots) \) is algebraically independent. Thus, the products of these \( G(k, m) \)'s form a new basis of the ring of symmetric functions over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Can anything be said about this basis?

**Refined dual stable Grothendieck polynomials.** Dual stable Grothendieck polynomials first appear in the work of Lam and Pylyavskyy [LamPyl07], after having

---

1Discussions with Kaisa Taipale have revealed that the equivariant cohomology ring of \( \text{Gr}_{k,n} \) is also isomorphic to \( S/I \) for an appropriate choice of \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) in \( S \) (not constants, but with \( \deg(a_i) < n - k + i \)), but the isomorphism does not map \( (\tilde{s}_\lambda)_{\lambda \in P_{k,n}} \) to any (known) geometrically interesting basis of the cohomology ring.

2The name is tentative and honors the egyptologist Flinders Petrie, who introduced a class of matrices (now known as Petrie matrices) to help with the seriation of artifacts; see, e.g., [Shucha84]. Petrie matrices appear in the evaluation of my symmetric functions, whence the name.
been anticipated by Lenart and Buch. In joint work [GaGrLi15] with Pavel Galashin and Gaku Liu, I have extended their definition and some of their properties to a more general setup, involving an infinite family of new parameters.

A weak composition means a sequence \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}^\infty\) (where \(\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}\)) such that all but finitely many \(i\) satisfy \(\alpha_i = 0\).

Consider a skew partition \(\lambda/\mu\). A reverse plane partition (short: rpp) of shape \(\lambda/\mu\) means a filling of the skew Young diagram of \(\lambda/\mu\) with positive integers which increase weakly along rows and weakly along columns. (Requiring them to increase strictly along columns would instead yield the definition of a semistandard tableau.) For every rpp \(T\), we let \(\text{ircont}_T\) be the weak composition whose \(i\)-th entry is the number of columns of \(T\) which contain the entry \(i\). Moreover, for every rpp \(T\), we let \(\text{ceq}_T\) be the weak composition whose \(i\)-th entry is the number of cells \(c\) in the \(i\)-th row of \(T\) such that the entry of \(T\) in cell \(c\) equals the entry of \(T\) in the cell directly below \(c\) (and, in particular, the latter entry exists). Notice that \(\text{ceq}_T = (0, 0, 0, \ldots)\) if and only if \(T\) is a semistandard tableau.

The refined dual stable Grothendieck polynomial \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) corresponding to the skew partition \(\lambda/\mu\) is defined to be

\[
\sum_{T \text{ is an rpp of shape } \lambda/\mu} t^{\text{ceq}_T} x^{\text{ircont}_T} \in (\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots])[x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots].
\]

Here we are using the notation \(x^\alpha\) for the monomial \(x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}x_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots\) whenever \(\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots)\) is a weak composition, and similarly the notation \(t^\alpha\) stands for \(t_1^{\alpha_1}t_2^{\alpha_2}t_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots\).

The dual stable Grothendieck polynomials are obtained from the \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) by setting all \(t_i\) equal to 1, whereas the skew Schur functions are obtained by setting all \(t_i\) equal to 0. Other specializations of \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) have not been explored so far, but the parameter space is obviously vast.

In [GaGrLi15], Galashin, Liu and I have shown that the power series \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) is symmetric (in the \(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\)).

Various questions suggest themselves now:

- Do the \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) satisfy a determinantal formula generalizing (one of) the Jacobi-Trudi identities? The answer appears to be positive, but a proof has not been found so far. Damir Yeliussizov [Yelius16] has found a proof in the \(\mu = \emptyset\) case; research on the general case is ongoing.

- Do the \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) satisfy a Littlewood-Richardson rule? There are several ways to interpret the question, some of which (e.g., expanding the product \(\tilde{g}_{\lambda/\emptyset}\tilde{g}_{\mu/\emptyset}\) as a linear combination of the \(\tilde{g}_{\nu/\emptyset}\)) appear out of reach. I have proven one Littlewood-Richardson rule (expanding \(s_\nu \tilde{g}_{\lambda/\mu}\) in terms of the \(s_\kappa\) using the results of [GaGrLi15] and an analogue of Stembridge’s proof of the classical Littlewood-Richardson rule\(^3\).

\(^3\)See http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/chicago2015.pdf for a statement of this (proof not yet written up) and also of the conjectural Jacobi-Trudi identity.
• It appears that a similar refinement can be done to the (non-dual) stable Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda/\mu}$.

**Shuffle-compatible permutation statistics.** A recent paper of Ira Gessel and Yan Zhuang [GesZhu17] has introduced the concept of *shuffle-compatible permutation statistics*. These are certain combinatorial statistics on the set $\mathfrak{S}$ of all permutations (in a wide sense – i.e., they are maps that assign *something* to a permutation, not always a number); for example, “the set of all descents”, “the number of descents”, and “the set of all peaks” are shuffle-compatible, but “the number of inversions” is not. The definition of “shuffle-compatible” is combinatorial, but for most permutation statistics it can be restated using quasisymmetric functions. Namely, consider the ring $\text{QSym}$ of quasisymmetric functions, and its fundamental basis $(F_\alpha)$ (with $\alpha$ ranging over all compositions). For any permutation $\pi$, let $\gamma(\pi)$ denote the descent composition of $\pi$. Any map $st : \{\text{compositions}\} \to X$ gives rise to a submodule $\mathcal{K}_{st}$ of $\text{QSym}$, which is spanned by $F_\alpha - F_\beta$ where $(\alpha, \beta)$ ranges over all pairs of compositions of the same size satisfying $st \alpha = st \beta$. Also, any map $st : \{\text{compositions}\} \to X$ gives rise to a map $\{\text{permutations}\} \to X$ which sends each permutation $\pi$ to $st(\gamma(\pi))$. The latter map is a permutation statistic, and is shuffle-compatible if and only if $\mathcal{K}_{st}$ is an ideal of $\text{QSym}$. Thus, shuffle-compatible permutation statistics (provided they only depend on $\gamma(\pi)$) define ideals of $\text{QSym}$ (binomial ideals with respect to the fundamental basis), and therefore also quotient algebras of $\text{QSym}$.

In [Grinbe17c], I prove that the “exterior peak set” statistic is shuffle-compatible (as conjectured by Gessel and Zhuang [GesZhu17]). I further introduce a strengthening of the notion of shuffle-compatibility that separates between left and right shuffles, leading to quotients of $\text{QSym}$ as *dendriform* algebras (where the dendriform structure on $\text{QSym}$ is the one from [Grinbe14]). I prove that the exterior peak set statistic is left- and right-shuffle-compatible; similar results are proved (and disproved) for some other statistics (e.g., the left peak set is left- and right-shuffle-compatible, whereas the right peak set is not). While [Grinbe17c] is self-contained, the underlying ideas originate in my previous research of P-partitions and the dendriform structure of $\text{QSym}$ ([Grinbe14], [Grinbe15a]).

A followup to [Grinbe17c] is in the making, exploring even stronger compatibility properties.

**Peak quasisymmetric functions.** A question that arose in the just-mentioned study of shuffle-compatibility [Grinbe17c, Question 2.51] has opened my eyes to a new basis of the ring of quasisymmetric functions.

Consider a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $k$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$ is a composition of $n$ (that is, a tuple of positive integers with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_\ell = n$), then we define the formal power series

$$\eta_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g_1 \leq g_2 \leq \cdots \leq g_n; \\ g_i = g_{i+1} \text{ for each } i \in E(\alpha)}} 2^{(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)} x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \cdots x_{g_n} \in k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots]] ,$$

where

$\mathcal{F}$.
where $E(\alpha)$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\} \setminus \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_i \mid 0 < i < \ell\}$. This $\eta_\alpha$ belongs to the $k$-algebra $\text{QSym}$ of quasisymmetric functions.

If we let $\alpha$ range over all odd compositions (i.e., compositions $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$ whose entries $\alpha_i$ are all odd), then the $\eta_\alpha$ form a basis of a certain Hopf subalgebra of $\text{QSym}$, known as Stembridge’s Hopf algebra or the odd subalgebra $\Pi_-$ of $\text{QSym}$; see [Stembr97], [Hsiao07] (where they are called “peak functions”) and [AgBeSo14]. (Roughly speaking, they are a quasisymmetric analogue of Schur’s $Q$-functions.)

I have taken up the study of $\eta_\alpha$ for arbitrary compositions $\alpha$. It is easy to see that these $\eta_\alpha$ form a basis of the whole $\text{QSym}$. Moreover, their products $\eta_\alpha \eta_\beta$ are given by a novel kind of shuffle, which allows adding $k$ consecutive entries of $\alpha$ together with $k + 1$ consecutive entries of $\beta$; for example, for $\alpha = (a, b)$ and $\beta = (c, d)$ the formula is

$$\eta(a, b) \eta(c, d) = \eta(a, b, c, d) + \eta(a, c, b, d) + \eta(c, a, b, d) + \eta(c, a, d, b) + \eta(c, d, a, b) - \eta(a, b + c + d) - \eta(c, a + b + d) - \eta(a + b + c + d) - \eta(a + c + d, b).$$

Further properties of this new basis of $\text{QSym}$ remain to be uncovered (and the existing results to be exposed).

**Greedoids from ultrametric distance functions.** We are now leaving the realm of symmetric (and adjacent) functions for a wider view of algebraic combinatorics. The following problem arose as a way to crystallize the combinatorial undercurrent of Manjul Bhargava’s notion of “$P$-orderings” (see [Bharga97, §2] for the general case, or [Bharga00, §4] and [Bharga09, §2] for elementary expositions of the integer case), but has since widened and revealed links to some existing combinatorial structures (greedoids and phylogenetic trees). Some of the results have been written up in a joint preprint with Fedor Petrov [GriPet19]; the rest will fill another paper of my own.

Let $E$ be a finite set. Assume that a weight $w(e) \in \mathbb{R}$ has been assigned to each $e \in E$, and a distance $d(e, f) = d(f, e)$ has been assigned to any two distinct $e, f \in E$, such that the distances satisfy the ultrametric triangle inequality $d(a, b) \leq \max\{d(a, c), d(b, c)\}$. Any subset $F$ of $E$ has a perimeter, defined as the sum of the weights of and pairwise distances between all elements of $F$. Given some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, how do we find an $m$-element subset of $E$ with maximum perimeter?

A natural approach (an example of a greedy algorithm) is to start with the empty set, and then adjoin new elements one by one, maximizing the perimeter at each step. We show that this does indeed construct a maximum-perimeter $m$-element subset of $E$, and any maximum-perimeter $m$-element subset of $E$ can be constructed this way. Moreover, if we define a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $E$ by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subseteq E \mid F \text{ is a maximum-perimeter } m\text{-element subset of } E \text{ for } m = |F|\},$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is an instance of what is known as a greedoid: a combinatorial structure generalizing (and closely connected to) matroids. Greedoids have been extensively studied in [KoLoSc91], and many classes of greedoids are known. In [GriPet19], Petrov and I show that $\mathcal{F}$ is a strong greedoid (also known as a Gauss greedoid). As a consequence, for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximum-perimeter $m$-element subsets of $E$ are the bases of a matroid.
An even better result I have obtained very recently (still unpublished) is that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Gaussian elimination greedoid (a greedoid analogue of the notion of a representable matroid). More precisely, over any field with at least $|E|$ many elements, $\mathcal{F}$ can be represented by a vector family.

Our perimeter maximization problem, and the greedy algorithm solving it, generalize Bhargava’s “$P$-orderings” introduced in [Bharga97, §2]. A related problem in mathematical biology has been studied by Moulton, Semple and Steel [MoSeSt06]: to find a set of $m$ leaves of a given phylogenetic tree that maximizes phylogenetic diversity (defined as the perimeter of the subtree that connects these $m$ leaves). Again, the solutions form a strong greedoid ([MoSeSt06, Theorem 3.2]). While the triples $(E, w, d)$ considered by Petrov and myself are closely related to phylogenetic trees\(^4\), the two problems are nevertheless distinct, as phylogenetic diversity is not perimeter. The exact relation between these problems is worthy of understanding.

**Simplicial complexes from digraphs.** Consider a directed graph with edge set $E$, and fix two vertices $s$ and $t$. A subset $F$ of $E$ will be called path-free if there is no path from $s$ to $t$ using only edges from $F$. Is the number of path-free subsets of $E$ odd or even? Lukas Katthän, Joel Lewis and I have shown that it is even if the graph has a “useless edge” (i.e., an edge that appears in no path from $s$ to $t$) or a (directed) cycle; otherwise it is odd. Behind this curious puzzle is some nontrivial theory: The path-free subsets of $E$ form a simplicial complex, whose Euler characteristic is always 0 or $\pm 1$ and whose homotopy type (always contractible or homotopic to a sphere) we have determined. These results (and a bit more) are on their way to publication.

**Critical groups in representation theory.**\(^5\) The concept of a critical group originated from the theory of chip-firing on digraphs (also known as the sandpile model). The group can be defined as the cokernel (over $\mathbb{Z}$) of the digraph’s reduced Laplacian (the submatrix of its Laplacian obtained by removing a certain row and a certain column); from this point of view, the theory of chip-firing can be recast as a study of this Laplacian acting on the integer lattice. In particular, it has been observed by Gabrielov, Benkart, Klivans, Reiner and others (e.g., [BeKlRe16]) that the reduced Laplacian of a strongly connected digraph is a nonsingular M-matrix (an integer matrix whose off-diagonal entries are nonpositive, and whose inverse has nonnegative entries; several equivalent definitions exist), and that most of the theory of chip-firing can be recovered from this property. Hence, wherever one encounters a nonsingular M-matrix, one can construct a “chip-firing theory” with similar properties to that arising from a digraph.

Benkart, Klivans, Reiner, and Gaetz ([BeKlRe16], [Gaetz16]) have recently used this strategy to build a “chip-firing theory” from a faithful representation $V$ of a finite group $G$ (over $\mathbb{C}$). The analogue of the Laplacian here is the matrix $L_V = nI - M_V$, where $I$ is the identity matrix, $n$ is the dimension of $V$, and $M_V$ is the matrix (“McKay matrix of $V$”)

\(^4\)To some extent, they can be translated into each other. For one direction, see [GriPet19, Example 2.9].

\(^5\)See [http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/madison17.pdf](http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/madison17.pdf) for slides of a talk on this subject, which might clarify the below.
that represents tensoring by $V$ on the Grothendieck ring of $G$. Explicitly, if the irreducible representations of $G$ are $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{\ell+1}$, then $M_V$ is an $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$-matrix with $(i, j)$-th entry $[S_i \otimes V : S_j]$. The analogue of the reduced Laplacian is the submatrix of $L_V$ obtained by removing the row and the column corresponding to the trivial representation. They have shown that this reduced Laplacian is a nonsingular M-matrix (it is here that the faithfulness of $V$ shows its relevance), and computed the order of the critical group (the cokernel of the reduced Laplacian) in terms of the character of $V$.

In a paper [GrHuRe17] with Reiner and Huang, we extend this construction to an arbitrary representation $V$ of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ over any algebraically closed field. Instead of faithfulness, we now need a slightly subtler property of $V$ (which we call "tensor-richness": every simple $A$-module appears in a composition series of some $V^{{}\otimes k}$) to ensure that our “reduced Laplacian” is a nonsingular M-matrix. We fully describe the critical group of the regular representation and some further examples; moreover, we express the order of the critical group in the case when $A = \mathbb{F}_p[G]$ for some finite group $G$ (in terms of Brauer characters of $G$). We have no formula for the order of the critical group of a general $V$ over a general $A$. Some further questions left to explore are

- the extent to which theory generalizes even further to objects in tensor categories (the basic facts do);
- the behavior of the critical groups under restriction and induction.

**Birational rowmotion.** Given a finite poset $P$, we can form another poset $\hat{P}$ by adjoining a global minimum (called 0) and a global maximum (called 1) to $P$. Given a field (or semifield) $\mathbb{K}$, we consider the set $\mathbb{K}^{\hat{P}}$ of all labelings of the elements of $\hat{P}$ by elements of $\mathbb{K}$. On this set, David Einstein and James Propp have defined a birational equivalence, which they call birational rowmotion, and which generalizes the notion of rowmotion on the order ideals of $P$. Einstein and Propp have experimentally observed that, for various special classes of posets $P$, this birational equivalence has finite order (i.e., a certain power of it is the identity). In [GriRob14], Tom Roby and I prove these observations and some others. The most prominent case is that when $P$ is a “rectangle” (i.e., a product of two chains with $p$ and $q$ elements, respectively); in this case, the order of birational rowmotion is $p + q$. This generalizes Schützenberger’s classical result that the promotion operator $\partial$ on the semistandard Young tableaux of a given rectangular shape with entries in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ satisfies $\partial^n = \text{id}$.

The proof of this result on rectangles is inspired by Volkov’s proof of the type-AA Zamolodchikov conjecture [Volkov06]; we also analyze some other posets like graded forests (by an inductive argument) and some triangle-shaped posets (via a “folding” reduction to the rectangular case). One case – that of “trapezoidal” posets, which can be seen as a type-B analogue of rectangles – is still unresolved, and a conjecture by Nathan Williams connects it to the rectangular case in a remarkable way [GriRob14, §19], which (if correct) generalizes a conjecture by Elizalde on noncrossing families of Dyck paths [Elizal14].
Further developments have happened in the last few years\(^6\). For one, Max Glick has found a more direct relation between birational rowmotion in the case of a rectangle and the type-AA Zamolodchikov Y-system, whereas Alexander Postnikov has suggested a connection to the octahedral recurrence which still remains to be fully understood. Richard Stanley suggested a generalization of the case of graded forests, which is currently being written up by others. James Propp has conjectured some “homomesies” (algebraic identities holding for each orbit under birational rowmotion), some of which I have proven. Tom Roby and Gregg Musiker have recently found explicit formulas for iterates of birational rowmotion on rectangles [MusRob17], which are inspired by [GriRob14] but are independent of it (and provide new proofs for its main results). Their formulas could help prove the remaining homomesies.

Among the questions that I am planning to study are the following:

- The finite order of birational rowmotion in the above-mentioned “trapezoidal” case needs to be proven.
- The finite order of birational rowmotion proven for rectangles seems to hold even if \( K \) is replaced by a (noncommutative) semifield, up to a certain conjugation\(^7\); Tom Roby and I have proven this for the most part, but the correct theoretical underpinnings of the noncommutative setting still need to be found.

Research plans, work in progress, future directions

Carlitz-Witt vectors and function-field symmetric functions. The “field with one element” (\( F_1 \)) stands for the meta-mathematical idea that the ring \( \mathbb{Z} \) has deep similarities with the polynomial rings \( F_q[T] \) over finite fields; that the combinatorics of sets has analogies with the linear algebra of \( F_q \)-vector spaces; that the symmetric group is, in some sense, the “\( q = 1 \)” version of the general linear group \( GL_n (F_q) \). No fully explicatory mathematical foundation for these analogies is known, but they have been highly useful as heuristics many times, and a vast number of objects have been translated from one world to the other. Some basic examples can be found in [Cohn04]; another is the theory of Carlitz polynomials [Conrad15].

The ring of symmetric functions is deeply connected with integer partitions (e.g., almost all of its well-known bases are indexed by partitions); these correspond to conjugacy classes of permutations in symmetric groups. This raises the question of finding an “\( F_q \)-analogue” of this ring which is similarly connected to “\( F_q[T] \)-partitions” (i.e., sequences \( (p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots) \) of monic polynomials in \( F_q[T] \) such that \( \cdots | p_3 | p_2 | p_1 \) and such that all but finitely many \( p_i \) are equal to 1), or, equivalently, to conjugacy classes of matrices in

---

\(^6\)For a list of papers inspired by [GriRob14], see the citations listed at Google Scholar, e.g., for the second half of [GriRob14]: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cites=445460616416961588&as_sdt=5,24&sciodt=0,24&hl=en.

\(^7\)See the end of my 2014 talk in Vienna ( http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/vienna2014.pdf ) for an example of this noncommutative phenomenon.
GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q). Partial results towards the construction of such an analogue can be found in my work-in-progress [Grinbe15c]. My approach to finding such an analogue takes a detour through the notion of Witt vectors, which are an affine group whose coordinate ring is the symmetric functions ([Hazewi08, §10]). An \mathbb{F}_q\)-analogue of the Witt vectors (the Carlitz-Witt vectors, as I call them due to their use of Carlitz polynomials) is not hard to construct, and its coordinate ring can then be regarded as an \mathbb{F}_q\)-analogue of the symmetric functions. However, the combinatorics of this \mathbb{F}_q\)-analogue still remains to be understood, as the theory of Witt vectors reflects but little of the combinatorics of symmetric functions.

**Other projects.** Further ongoing research of mine includes a sequel to [GriPos17] that generalizes the main result to non-reduced expressions (I have been able to prove the first half of that generalization).

Several questions have been left unresolved in [Grinbe17a], such as a connection between \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{J} and the Whitehouse representations of symmetric group [Whiteh97].

As mentioned already, my work on shuffle-compatible permutation statistics [Grinbe17c] has led to a further variant of shuffle-compatibility, related to the notion of quadri-algebras; a study of its behavior should lead to another note.

Another area that I am planning to explore is the use of proof assistants such as Coq/ssreflect and Lean to formalize algebraic combinatorics, building on the work done by the MSR-Inria joint centre and by Florent Hivert.
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