

Math 4707 Spring 2018 (Darij Grinberg): further reading on counting and binomial coefficients

Contents

1. Some further reading	1
1.1. Basic counting applications	1
1.2. Double counting	2
1.3. Fibonacci numbers	2
1.4. The German tank problem	2
1.5. The “freshman’s dream” (applications to number theory)	3
1.6. Bertrand’s postulate	4
1.7. Who started it?	4
2. Problems in recent journals	5
2.1. American Mathematical Monthly	5
2.2. Crux Mathematicorum	6

1. Some further reading

We have spent weeks of class studying binomial coefficients and their combinatorial uses. Still we have merely scratched the surface, and left the non-combinatorial applications aside completely. If you are interested, here are suggestions for further reading.

(A lot of the text are clickable links! Make sure your PDF viewer marks them as such.)

1.1. Basic counting applications

- Drew Armstrong, *Poker hand probabilities*.

How likely is it that a random hand of 5 cards (out of the 52 in a standard deck) forms a full house? a flush? etc.

- Dr. Math (Mathforum), *Fast Food Combinations*.

In 2002, McDonalds was advertising that its 8 McChoice menu items allow for “40,312 Possible Combinations”. A rare occurrence of an “inverse counting problem” in real life, this has left people puzzled as to what exactly McDonalds understood as a “combination”. (Normally, the word means “subsets”, which is also what one would expect to be advertised. But there are only $2^8 = 256$ of these.)

(This is also Exercise 1.1 in Peter J. Cameron, *Combinatorics 1: The art of counting*.)

1.2. Double counting

- Gina Kolata, *The Myth, the Math, the Sex*, NYT, August 12, 2007.

1.3. Fibonacci numbers

Much has been written on Fibonacci numbers. Here is a whole book (you might be able to download it on campus):

- Nicolai N. Vorobiev, *Fibonacci Numbers*, Springer 2002.

The Wikipedia article might also be one of the longest mathematical articles on the Wikipedia (and yes, there is an “in popular culture” page).

Mathematicians like Fibonacci numbers in particular because they’re the simplest example of a sequence satisfying a 3-term constant linear recurrence (that is, $x_n = ax_{n-1} + bx_{n-2}$ for some constants a and b). Other examples abound, some extremely important (e.g., the Chebyshev polynomials, highly important in approximation and numerical mathematics, follow the same sort of recurrence, except that a and b are polynomials).

Actual real-life applications of the Fibonacci numbers and the (closely related) golden ratio are somewhat controversial: they exist, but are overhyped and subtler than pop-sci likes to claim. Apparently, many species of flower have f_n petals for various values of n :

- <http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibnat.html#petals>

but others don’t. It isn’t clear to me whether the proponents are on to something or are just seeing patterns in noise.

Here is a recent paper that tries to clean up some of the mess:

- François Bergeron, Christophe Reutenauer, *Golden Ratio and Phyllotaxis, what is the mathematical link?*, arXiv:1704.02880.

And no, rabbits aren’t actually immortal.

1.4. The German tank problem

- Wikipedia, *German tank problem*.

You have captured 4 German tanks with serial numbers 19, 40, 42 and 60. Assuming that Germans number their tanks systematically with $1, 2, 3, \dots$ (a valid assumption in World War II; these days, no longer so), how many tanks would you expect the Germans to have in total?

This is per-se a statistics problem with several competing models. Binomial coefficients figure prominently in the analysis.

1.5. The “freshman’s dream” (applications to number theory)

The binomial formula for $(a + b)^n$ takes a rather simple form when n is a prime, as long as you only care about the remainder modulo this prime:

Theorem 1.1. Let p be a prime number. Then, for any two integers a and b , we have

$$(a + b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}.$$

Better yet, if you expand $(a + b)^p$ by the binomial formula, and subtract the two “border terms” a^p and b^p , then all remaining terms will be divisible by p :

Theorem 1.2. Let p be a prime number. Then, the binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{k}$ is divisible by p for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$.

In other words, in the p -th row of Pascal’s triangle, all entries other than the two 1’s are divisible by p . For example, the entries of the 5-th row are 1, 5, 10, 10, 5, 1, and all of them other than the two 1’s are divisible by 5.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (sketched). Every $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfy

$$k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

(This is called the *absorption identity*, and can easily be checked using the definition of binomial coefficients. Just make sure to deal with the cases $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $k = 0$ and $k \notin \mathbb{N}$ separately, since they trigger different branches in the definition of binomial coefficients.)

Now, let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$. Applying (1) to $n = p$, we obtain $k \binom{p}{k} = p \binom{p-1}{k-1}$. Hence, p divides the product $k \binom{p}{k}$.

But a well-known property of prime numbers¹ says that if p divides the product of two integers, then p must divide (at least) one of these integers. Hence, p must divide (at least) one of the integers k and $\binom{p}{k}$ (because p divides their product). Since p cannot divide k (because $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$), we thus conclude that p must divide $\binom{p}{k}$. This proves Theorem 1.2. \square

A lot of number theory and abstract algebra is founded on Theorem 1.2. Just one application (which can be obtained in other ways, too):

¹see, e.g., Lemma 9.4.2 in Eric Lehman, F. Thomson Leighton, Albert R. Meyer, *Mathematics for Computer Science*, revised 27 February 2018

Exercise 1. Prove *Fermat's little theorem*:

- (a) If p is a prime, then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for each integer a .
- (b) If p is a prime, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for each integer a that is not divisible by p .

1.6. Bertrand's postulate

Another number-theoretical use of binomial coefficients is the proof of *Bertrand's postulate* ("postulate" is an old word for "conjecture" in this context):

Theorem 1.3 (Bertrand's postulate). Let n be a positive integer. Then, there exists a prime $p \in \{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\}$.

For the proof (which relies on studying the prime factorization of binomial coefficients), see:

- Wikipedia, *Proof of Bertrand's postulate*.

Applications in a similar spirit include

- Bakir Farhi, *An identity involving the least common multiple of binomial coefficients and its application*, arXiv:0906.2295,

which uses binomial coefficients to prove that $\text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k) \geq 2^{k-1}$ for all positive integers k .

Binomial coefficients also figure in the proof that the number $\frac{1}{1^3} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots$ (also known as $\zeta(3)$) is irrational. See:

- Wadim Zudilin, *An elementary proof of Apéry's theorem*, arXiv:math/0202159.

1.7. Who started it?

Combinatorics has been around for a long time, but only in the last 60-or-so years has it become a rigorous discipline with a usable "language", and only in the last 30-or-so years has it become one of the central subjects of mathematics. Before that, results were scarce, often shallow and tended to appear in the unlikeliest places. Donald Knuth's *The Art of Computer Programming* (particularly, Volumes 3 and 4A) collects many curious morsels from history: the lists of permutations compiled by Medieval cabbalists; Ramon Llull's 13th century studies in combining virtues and vices; the peculiar numbering of the 52 chapters of the Tale of Genji (not by numbers, but by some symbols resembling set partitions); the haphazard study of permutations in 17th century England for the purpose of ringing church bells; the various attempts (starting with the ancient Greeks) to classify patterns of rhyme

and rhythm. One of the first extant deep results was the Chu-Vandermonde convolution identity, which appeared in Zhu Shijie's work in the early 14th century. The ancient Greeks, however, seem to have known more than they have written up (or, at least, that has survived): Plutarch refers to a computation made by Hipparchus that (while garbled and barely understandable in his formulation) suggests that Hipparchus knew how to set up a recursion and solve it. For details, see:

- F. Acerbi, *On the Shoulders of Hipparchus: A Reappraisal of Ancient Greek Combinatorics*, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. 57 (2003), pp. 465–502.

2. Problems in recent journals

Undergraduate and educational math journals often have problem sections. These are organized like a contest: Authors submit problems, readers submit solutions.

Here is a selection of combinatorial problems (reasonably close to what we have done in class so far) from **recent** journals. “Recent” means that the contest is still ongoing, so **you can submit your solutions to the journal** (and get your name mentioned, perhaps even your solution published; looks good on a CV when you're an undergrad...). Please don't spoil the contest – don't ask for help with these on the internet. If you collaborate, do name your collaborators.

[Feel free to browse these journals, too: a lot of them let you download their issues from the UMN network.]

2.1. American Mathematical Monthly

Journal homepage:

<https://www.maa.org/press/periodicals/american-mathematical-monthly>

Exercise 2. American Mathematical Monthly #12032, proposed by David Galante and Ángel Plaza.

Deadline: 31 July 2018.

Submit to: <http://www.americanmathematicalmonthly.submittable.com/submit>

For a positive integer n , compute

$$\sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{k=p}^n (-1)^{k-p} \binom{k}{2p} \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k}.$$

Exercise 3. American Mathematical Monthly #12022, proposed by Mircea Merca.

Deadline: 31 June 2018.

Submit to: <http://www.americanmathematicalmonthly.submittable.com/submit>

Let n be a positive integer, and let x be a real number not equal to -1 or 1 .
Prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1-x^n)(1-x^{n-1})\cdots(1-x^{n-k})}{1-x^{k+1}} = n$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{(1-x^n)(1-x^{n-1})\cdots(1-x^{n-k})}{1-x^{k+1}} x^{\binom{n-1-k}{2}} = nx^{\binom{n}{2}}.$$

[DG: Yes, those binomial coefficients are in the exponents, even though they might not look like that.]

Exercise 4. American Mathematical Monthly #12016, proposed by Hideyuki Ohtsuka and Roberto Tauraso.

Deadline: 31 May 2018.

Submit to: <http://www.americanmathematicalmonthly.submittable.com/submit>

For nonnegative integers m, n, r , and s , prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^s \binom{m+r}{n-k} \binom{r+k}{k} \binom{s}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{m+s}{n-k} \binom{s+k}{k} \binom{r}{k}.$$

Exercise 5. American Mathematical Monthly #12008, proposed by P. Korus.

Deadline: 30 April 2018.

Submit to: <http://www.americanmathematicalmonthly.submittable.com/submit>

You hold in your hand a deck of n cards, numbered 1 to n from top to bottom. Shuffle them as follows. Put the top card in the deck on the bottom and the second card on the table. Repeat this step until all the cards are on the table.

(a) For which n does card number 1 end up at the top of the deck of cards on the table?

(b) Shuffle the deck a second time in the same way. For which n does card number 1 end up at the top of the cards on the table?

(c)* **(Unsolved!)** Shuffle the deck a third time in the same way. For which n does card number 1 end up at the top of the cards on the table?

(d)* **(Unsolved!)** For which n does this shuffle amount to a permutation consisting of a single cycle?

[**Remark (DG):** “Cycle” refers to the concept of a cyclic permutation. I’m not sure if the author means an n -cycle, or a k -cycle for some smaller value of k , though; it can mean either.]

2.2. Crux Mathematicorum

Journal homepage: <https://cms.math.ca/crux/>

Exercise 6. Crux Mathematicorum #4314, proposed by Michel Bataille.

Deadline: 1 July 2018.

Submit to: <https://cms.math.ca/crux/contributors>

Let n be a positive integer. Evaluate in closed form

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k2^k \cdot \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{2n-1}{k}}.$$