

# Math 5705: Enumerative Combinatorics, Fall 2018: Midterm 1

---

Darij Grinberg

January 10, 2019

due date: **Wednesday, 24 October 2018** at the beginning of class,  
or before that by email or canvas.

Please solve **at most 4 of the 6 exercises!**  
Beware: **Collaboration is not allowed** on midterms!

---

## 1 EXERCISE 1

### 1.1 PROBLEM

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two finite sets, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a map.

(a) Prove that the number of maps  $g : B \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $f \circ g \circ f = f$  is

$$|A|^{|B \setminus f(A)|} \prod_{b \in f(A)} |f^{-1}(b)|.$$

(Here and in the following,  $f(A)$  denotes the set  $\{f(a) \mid a \in A\}$ , whereas  $f^{-1}(b)$  denotes the set  $\{a \in A \mid f(a) = b\}$ .)

(b) Prove that the number of maps  $g : B \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $f \circ g \circ f = f$  and  $g \circ f \circ g = g$  is

$$|f(A)|^{|B \setminus f(A)|} \prod_{b \in f(A)} |f^{-1}(b)|.$$

[**Hint:** For part (a), observe that

$$|A|^{|B \setminus f(A)|} \prod_{b \in f(A)} |f^{-1}(b)| = \prod_{b \in B} \begin{cases} |f^{-1}(b)|, & \text{if } b \in f(A); \\ |A|, & \text{if } b \notin f(A). \end{cases}$$

What does this suggest about the construction of such maps  $g$ ?

## 1.2 REMARK

The maps  $g$  in part (a) are called “generalized inverses” of  $f$ . The maps  $g$  in part (b) are called “reflexive generalized inverses” of  $f$ . Note that one consequence of part (b) is that there is always at least one reflexive generalized inverse of  $f$  (unless  $A$  is empty).

One can similarly define generalized inverses for linear maps between vector spaces; the resulting notion is much more well-known and has books devoted to it (see the Wikipedia for an overview).

## 1.3 SOLUTION

[...]

---

# 2 EXERCISE 2

## 2.1 PROBLEM

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that

$$(n+m) \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \frac{\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = n.$$

[**Hint:** The fraction on the left hand side has too many  $j$ ’s. Try to simplify it to get the number of  $j$ ’s down to just 1 (not counting the exponent in  $(-1)^j$ ).]

## 2.2 SOLUTION

[...]

---

### 3 EXERCISE 3

#### 3.1 PROBLEM

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be  $n$  integers. Let  $F : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function. Prove that

$$F(\max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} F(\min\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k}\}).$$

**[Hint:** This generalizes Exercise 5 on Spring 2018 Math 4707 homework set #2. Will some of the solutions given there still apply to this generalization?]

#### 3.2 SOLUTION

[...]

---

### 4 EXERCISE 4

#### 4.1 PROBLEM

Recall once again the *Fibonacci sequence*  $(f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots)$ , which is defined recursively by  $f_0 = 0$ ,  $f_1 = 1$ , and

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2. \quad (1)$$

Now, let us define  $f_n$  for **negative** integers  $n$  as well, by “applying (1) backwards”: This means that we set  $f_{n-2} = f_n - f_{n-1}$  for all integers  $n \leq 1$ . This allows us to recursively compute  $f_{-1}, f_{-2}, f_{-3}, \dots$  (in this order). For example,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{-1} &= f_1 - f_0 = 1 - 0 = 1; \\ f_{-2} &= f_0 - f_{-1} = 0 - 1 = -1; \\ f_{-3} &= f_{-1} - f_{-2} = 1 - (-1) = 2, \end{aligned}$$

etc.

- (a) Prove that  $f_{-n} = (-1)^{n-1} f_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $f_{n+m+1} = f_n f_m + f_{n+1} f_{m+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (c) Prove that  $7f_n = f_{n-4} + f_{n+4}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**[Hint:** This is **not** an exercise about the combinatorial interpretations (domino tilings, lacunar subsets, etc.) of Fibonacci numbers. Make sure that your proofs cover all integers, not just elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .]

#### 4.2 SOLUTION

[...]

---

## 5 EXERCISE 5

### 5.1 PROBLEM

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . A  $p$ -derangement of  $[n]$  shall mean a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $[n]$  such that every  $i \in [n - p]$  satisfies  $\sigma(i) \neq i + p$ . Compute the number of all  $p$ -derangements of  $[n]$  as a sum of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-p} \dots$ .

[**Hint:** The case  $p = 1$  was Exercise 6 on Spring 2018 Math 4707 homework set #2.]

### 5.2 SOLUTION

[...]

---

## 6 EXERCISE 6

### 6.1 PROBLEM

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers. A  $k$ -smord will mean a  $k$ -tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in [n]^k$  such that no two consecutive entries of this  $k$ -tuple are equal (i.e., we have  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in [k - 1]$ ). For example,  $(4, 1, 4, 2, 6)$  is a 5-smord (when  $n \geq 6$ ), but  $(1, 4, 4, 2, 6)$  is not.

It is easy to see that the number of  $k$ -smords is  $n(n - 1)^{k-1}$ . (See, e.g., Exercise 5 on Math 4990 Fall 2017 homework set #3.)

A *double  $k$ -smord* shall mean a pair  $((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k))$  of two  $k$ -smords  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$  such that every  $i \in [k]$  satisfies  $a_i \neq b_i$ .

Prove that the number of double  $k$ -smords is  $n(n - 1)(n^2 - 3n + 3)^{k-1}$ .

### 6.2 REMARK

“Smord” is short for “Smirnov word” (which is how these tuples are sometimes called).

Double  $k$ -smords can also be regarded as  $2 \times k$ -matrices with entries lying in  $[n]$  and with the property that no two adjacent entries are equal. (The double  $k$ -smord

$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k))$  thus corresponds to the  $2 \times k$ -matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_k \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ .)

### 6.3 SOLUTION

[...]