# Math 5705: Enumerative Combinatorics, Fall 2018: Homework 4

# Jacob Elafandi (edited by Darij Grinberg) October 28, 2018

## Exercise 1

#### **PROBLEM**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in S_n$ . Let i and j be two elements of [n] such that i < j and  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ . Let Q be the set of all  $k \in \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, j-1\}$  satisfying  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(k) > \sigma(j)$ . Prove that

$$\ell\left(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}\right) = \ell\left(\sigma\right) - 2\left|Q\right| - 1.$$

#### Remark

This exercise implies that, in particular,  $\ell(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) < \ell(\sigma)$ ; this answers the question on page 213 of the notes from class (2018-10-22).

#### SOLUTION

For fixed  $i, j \in [n]$  such that i < j, the inversions of  $\pi \in S_n$  can be divided into ten disjoint categories (whose sizes sum to  $\ell(\pi)$ ):

- $A(\pi) = \{(k, y) \in [n]^2 : k < y \text{ and } \{k, y\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset\}.$
- $B(\pi) = \{(k, i) \in [n]^2 : k < i \text{ and } \pi(k) > \pi(i)\}.$
- $C(\pi) = \{(i, k) \in [n]^2 : i < k < j \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(k) > \pi(j)\}.$

- $D(\pi) = \{(i, k) \in [n]^2 : i < k < j \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(k) \text{ and } \pi(j) > \pi(k)\}.$
- $E(\pi) = \{(i, k) \in [n]^2 : k > j \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(k)\}.$
- $F(\pi) = \{(k, j) \in [n]^2 : k < i \text{ and } \pi(k) > \pi(j)\}.$
- $G(\pi) = \{(k, j) \in [n]^2 : i < k < j \text{ and } \pi(k) > \pi(i) \text{ and } \pi(k) > \pi(j)\}.$
- $H(\pi) = \{(k, j) \in [n]^2 : i < k < j \text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(k) > \pi(j)\}.$
- $I(\pi) = \{(j, k) \in [n]^2 : k > j \text{ and } \pi(j) > \pi(k)\}.$
- $J(\pi) = \{(i, j) : \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}.$

Note that  $(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})(i) = \sigma(j)$  and  $(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})(j) = \sigma(i)$ . Therefore, since i < j and  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ , we see that the inversions of  $\sigma \circ t_{i,j}$  can be divided into the following disjoint categories (whose sizes sum to  $\ell(\pi \circ t_{i,j})$ ):

- $A(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = A(\sigma)$ .
- $|B(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})| = |F(\sigma)|$  (via the bijection  $B(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) \to F(\sigma)$  sending each (k,i) to (k,j));  $|F(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})| = |B(\sigma)|$  (via the bijection  $B(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) \to F(\sigma)$  sending each (k,j) to (k,i)).
- $D(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = D(\sigma)$ .
- $|E(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})| = |I(\sigma)|$  (via the bijection  $E(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) \to I(\sigma)$  sending each (i,k) to (j,k));  $|I(\sigma \circ t_{i,j})| = |E(\sigma)|$  (via the bijection  $I(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) \to E(\sigma)$  sending each (j,k) to (i,k)).
- $G(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = G(\sigma)$ .
- $C(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = H(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = J(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = \emptyset$

Therefore  $\ell(\sigma \circ t_{i,j}) = \ell(\sigma) - |C(\sigma)| - |H(\sigma)| - |J(\sigma)|$ . By the definitions of C, H, J, and Q, one has that  $|C(\sigma)| = |H(\sigma)| = |Q|$  and  $|J(\sigma)| = 1$ . The desired equality follows.

# EXERCISE 2

#### PROBLEM

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\pi \in S_n$ .

(a) Prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} \left(\pi\left(j\right) - \pi\left(i\right)\right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} \left(i - j\right).$$

(b) Prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} \left(\pi\left(j\right) - \pi\left(i\right)\right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} \left(j - i\right).$$

[Hint: Exercise 5.23 in [Grinbe16] says something about sums of the form appearing in part (a). (See also Nathaniel Gorski's solution of the same exercise in Spring 2018 Math 4707 homework set #4.) You may want to use the result or the ideas.]

#### SOLUTION

The following solution was inspired by that of Nathaniel Gorski, as suggested in the hint. For  $i \in [n]$ , define the following subsets of [n]:

- $U(i) = \{j \in [n] : j < i \text{ and } \pi(j) > \pi(i)\}.$
- $M(i) = \{j \in [n] : j < i \text{ and } \pi(j) < \pi(i)\}$
- $L(i) = \{j \in [n] : j > i \text{ and } \pi(j) < \pi(i)\}.$
- $T(i) = \{j \in [n] : j > i \text{ and } \pi(j) > \pi(i)\}.$

Note first that  $U(i) \cup M(i) = \{j \in [n] : j < i\}$ . Because U(i) and M(i) are disjoint,  $|U(i)| + |M(i)| = |U(i) \cup M(i)| = i - 1$ .

Note also that  $M(i) \cup L(i) = \{j \in [n] : \pi(j) < \pi(i)\}$ . Because  $\pi$  is a permutation of [n], this union has size  $\pi(i) - 1$ . Because M(i) and L(i) are disjoint,  $|M(i)| + |L(i)| = |M(i) \cup L(i)| = \pi(i) - 1$ .

Subtracting the second equality from the first yields  $|U(i)| - |L(i)| = i - \pi(i)$ . This enables the following string of computations:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} (\pi(j) - \pi(i)) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} (i - j)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} (\pi(j) + j) - \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n; \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} (\pi(i) + i)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i \in U(j)} (\pi(j) + j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in L(i)} (\pi(i) + i)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |U(j)| (\pi(j) + j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |L(i)| (\pi(i) + i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|U(i)| - |L(i)|) (\pi(i) + i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i - \pi(i)) (\pi(i) + i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\pi(i))^{2}$$

$$= (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2}) - (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2})$$

$$= 0$$

The desired equality follows.

Note that  $L(i) \cup T(i) = \{j \in [n] : j > i\}$ . Because L(i) and T(i) are disjoint,  $|L(i)| + |T(i)| = |L(i) \cup T(i)| = n - i$ .

We know from part (a) that  $|M(i)| + |L(i)| = \pi(i) - 1$ . Subtracting the above equation from this one gives  $|M(i)| - |T(i)| = \pi(i) + i - n - 1$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (\pi(j) - \pi(i)) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (j - i) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (\pi(j) - j) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (\pi(j) - j) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |M(j)| (\pi(j) - j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in T(i)} (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |M(j)| (\pi(j) - j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |T(i)| (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|M(i) - |T(i)|) (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\pi(i) + i - n - 1) (\pi(i) - i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\pi(i))^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} - (n - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right) \\ &= (1^{2} + \dots + n^{2}) - (1^{2} + \dots + n^{2}) - (n - 1) ((1 + \dots + n) - (1 + \dots + n)) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

The desired equality follows.

# EXERCISE 3

#### PROBLEM

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we let

$$D_{n,p} = \{ \sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma \text{ has exactly } p \text{ descents} \}.$$

(Recall that a descent of a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  denotes an element  $k \in [n-1]$  satisfying  $\sigma(k) > \sigma(k+1)$ .)

Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $|D_{n,p}| = |D_{n,n-1-p}|$ .

#### SOLUTION

Let  $\sigma \in D_{n,p}$  and let  $H = \{k \in [n-1] \mid \sigma(k) > \sigma(k+1)\}$  be the set of all descents of  $\sigma$ . For all  $k \in H$ , we have  $(w_0 \circ \sigma)(k) = n+1-\sigma(k) < n+1-\sigma(k+1) = (w_0 \circ \sigma)(k+1)$ , so k is not a descent of  $w_0 \circ \sigma$ . For all  $k \in [n-1] \setminus H$ , we have  $(w_0 \circ \sigma)(k) = n+1-\sigma(k) > n+1-\sigma(k+1) = (w_0 \circ \sigma)(k+1)$ , so k is a descent of  $w_0 \circ \sigma$ . Thus  $w_0 \circ \sigma$  has n-1-|H| = n-1-p descents, so the map  $f: D_{n,p} \to D_{n,n-1-p}$  defined by  $f(\sigma) = w_0 \circ \sigma$  is well-defined.

For any  $\sigma \in D_{n,p}$ , we have  $w_0 \circ f(\sigma) = w_0 \circ w_0 \circ \sigma = \sigma$ . This implies that f is injective, so  $|D_{n,p}| \leq |D_{n,n-1-p}|$ .

The same chain of reasoning, applied to  $D_{n,p}$  from  $D_{n,n-1-p}$ , can be used to show that  $|D_{n,n-1-p}| \leq |D_{n,p}|$ . Therefore  $|D_{n,p}| = |D_{n-1-p}|$ .

## EXERCISE 7

### PROBLEM

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . An n-tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in [d]^n$  is said to be *all-even* if each element of [d] occurs an even number of times in this n-tuple (i.e., if for each  $k \in [d]$ , the number of all  $i \in [n]$  satisfying  $x_i = k$  is even). For example, the 4-tuple (1, 4, 4, 1) and the 6-tuples (1, 3, 3, 5, 1, 5) and (2, 4, 2, 4, 3, 3) are all-even, while the 4-tuples (1, 2, 2, 4) and (2, 4, 6, 4) are not.

Prove that the number of all all-even *n*-tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [d]^n$  is

$$\frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \left(d - 2k\right)^n.$$

[Hint: Compute the sum  $\sum_{(e_1,e_2,\dots,e_d)\in\{-1,1\}^d} (e_1+e_2+\dots+e_d)^n$  in two ways. One way

is to split it according to the number of  $i \in [d]$  satisfying  $e_i = -1$ ; this is a number  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., d\}$ . Another way is by using the product rule:

$$(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_d)^n = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [d]^n} e_{x_1} e_{x_2} \cdots e_{x_n}$$

and then simplifying each sum  $\sum_{(e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_d)\in\{-1,1\}^d} e_{x_1}e_{x_2}\cdots e_{x_n}$  using a form of destructive

interference. This is not unlike the number of 1-even n-tuples, which we computed at the end of the 2018-10-10 class.]

#### SOLUTION

The following solution will use the shorthand symbols  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{e}$  to represent  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d)$ , respectively.

Let  $f: \{-1, 1\}^d \to \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  send each d-tuple  $\vec{e}$  to the number of  $i \in [d]$  such that  $e_i = -1$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are exactly  $\binom{d}{k}$  such d-tuples  $\vec{e}$  satisfying  $f(\vec{e}) = k$ . Thus:

$$\sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} (e_1 + \dots + e_d)^n = \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{\substack{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d; \\ f(\vec{e}) = k}} (e_1 + \dots + e_d)^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{\substack{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d; \\ f(\vec{e}) = k}} (k(-1) + (d-k)(1))^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} (d-2k)^n.$$

Now use the product rule to expand the left-hand sum, and flip the order of summation.

$$\sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} (e_1 + \dots + e_d)^n = \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n} e_{x_1} e_{x_2} \dots e_{x_n}$$
$$= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} e_{x_1} e_{x_2} \dots e_{x_n}.$$

Let  $g:[d]^n \times [d] \to \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$  send each  $(\vec{x},j)$  to the number of  $i \in [n]$  such that  $x_i = j$ . Then:

$$\sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} e_{x_1} e_{x_2} \cdots e_{x_n} = \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} \prod_{j \in [d]} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)}.$$

For every *n*-tuple  $\vec{x} \in [d]^n$  which is not all-even, there exists  $h(\vec{x}) \in [d]$  such that  $g(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x}))$  is odd. For convenience's sake, let  $\vec{e'}$  represent the (d-1)-tuple  $(e_1, \ldots, e_{h(\vec{x})-1}, e_{h(\vec{x})+1}, \ldots, e_d)$ . Then:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \atop \vec{x} \text{ not all-even}} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} \prod_{j \in [d]} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)} &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \atop \vec{x} \text{ not all-even}} \sum_{e_{h(\vec{x})} \in \{-1,1\}} \sum_{\vec{e'} \in \{-1,1\}^{d-1}} \prod_{j \in [d]} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \atop \vec{x} \text{ not all-even}} \sum_{e_{h(\vec{x})} \in \{-1,1\}} e_{h(\vec{x})}^{g(\vec{x},h(\vec{x}))} \sum_{\vec{e'} \in \{-1,1\}^{d-1}} \prod_{j \in [d] \backslash h(\vec{x})} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \atop \vec{x} \text{ not all-even}} (-1+1) \sum_{\vec{e'} \in \{-1,1\}^{d-1}} \prod_{j \in [d] \backslash h(\vec{x})} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \atop \vec{x} \text{ not all-even}} 0 \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore the only *n*-tuples  $\vec{x}$  which contribute to the overall sum are those which are alleven. For these,  $g(\vec{x}, j)$  is even for all  $j \in [d]$ , so  $e_j^{g(\vec{x}, j)} = 1$  for all  $j \in [d]$ . Thus:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} \prod_{j \in [d]} e_j^{g(\vec{x},j)} &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \\ \vec{x} \text{ all-even}} \sum_{\vec{e} \in \{-1,1\}^d} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\vec{x} \in [d]^n; \\ \vec{x} \text{ all-even}} 2^d \\ &= 2^d \cdot \text{(number of all-even } n\text{-tuples)}. \end{split}$$

Chaining these equalities together yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} (d-2k)^n = 2^d \cdot \text{(number of all-even } n\text{-tuples)},$$

and dividing by  $2^d$  gives the desired result.

### REFERENCES

[Grinbe16] Darij Grinberg, Notes on the combinatorial fundamentals of algebra, 10 January 2019.

http://www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/primes2015/sols.pdf The numbering of theorems and formulas in this link might shift when the project gets updated; for a "frozen" version whose numbering is guaranteed to match that in the citations above, see https://github.com/darijgr/detnotes/releases/tag/2019-01-10.