# Angela Chen (with minor edits by Darij Grinberg)

# Fall 2017, Math 4990, Homework Set 9

### **Exercise 1**

### 1.1 Exercise 1

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

## 1.2 Solution

We will do this with induction over n.

**Base case**: When n = 0, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{0} {\binom{-2}{k}} = {\binom{-2}{0}} = 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = (-1)^{0} \left\lfloor \frac{0+2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Inductive step: We assume (as the induction hypothesis) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

and wish to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The upper negation identity for binomial coefficients yields

$$\binom{-2}{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \binom{n+1-(-2)-1}{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \binom{n+2}{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \binom{n+2}{1} = (-1)^{n+1} (n+2)$$
 (1)

(where we used the symmetry of Pascal's triangle to get  $\binom{n+2}{n+1} = \binom{n+2}{1}$ ). Now,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{-2}{k}} + {\binom{-2}{n+1}}$$

$$= (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor + {\binom{-2}{n+1}} \quad \text{(by the induction hypothesis)}$$

$$= (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor + (-1)^{n+1} (n+2) \tag{2}$$

(by (1)).

We have two cases to consider: when n is odd, and when n is even. Consider the case when n is even. Thus, the equality (2) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor + (-1)^{n+1} (n+2) = 1 \cdot (\frac{n}{2} + 1) + (-1)(n+2) \qquad \text{(since } n \text{ is even)}$$

$$= -\frac{n+2}{2}.$$
(3)

Since n+1 is odd,  $\left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n+2}{2}$  and  $(-1)^{n+1} = -1$ . Thus,  $(-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor = -\frac{n+2}{2}$ . Comparing this with (3), we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

as desired.

On the other hand, consider the case when n is odd. Thus, the equality (2) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rfloor + (-1)^{n+1} (n+2) = (-1) \frac{n+1}{2} + 1(n+2) \qquad \text{(since } n \text{ is odd)}$$

$$= \frac{n+3}{2}.$$
(4)

Since n+1 is even, (n+1)+2 is also even and, hence, divisible by 2. So,  $\left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} = \frac{n+3}{2}$ , and thus also  $(-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n+3}{2}$  (since  $(-1)^{n+1}=1$ ). Comparing this with (4), we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

as desired.

Hence, regardless of the parity of n, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {\binom{-2}{k}} = (-1)^{n+1} \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)+2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This completes the induction step, and so solves the exercise.

### **Exercise 3**

## 2.1 Exercise 3

Let  $G = (V, E, \varphi)$  be a connected multigraph. Let  $v \in V$  be any vertex.

(a) Pick any  $w \in V$  such that d(v, w) is maximum (among all  $w \in V$ ). Prove that w is a non-cut vertex of G.

**(b)** Let 
$$n = |V|$$
. Prove that  $\sum_{u \in V} d(v, u) \le \binom{n}{2}$ .

### 2.2 Solution

(a) Note: we'll call a vertex v "cut" if v is not non-cut (that is, if  $G \setminus v$  is not connected and has at least one vertex).

We have  $w \in V$  and thus  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, |V| > 0.

In the case where V contains only one vertex v, the vertex w is indeed non-cut because the multigraph  $G \setminus w$  has no vertices. So WLOG, we'll also assume that V has more than one vertex.

Now we will prove the following proposition:

### **Proposition 1**

Let a be a cut vertex in G. Then there exists a vertex b in  $G \setminus a$  such that there is no walk  $v \to b$  in  $G \setminus a$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Assume the contrary. Then, for each vertex  $p \in V \setminus a$ , there is a walk  $v \to p$ . This also tells us that for all p, there is a walk  $p \to v$  (such a walk can be created by listing the entries in the walk  $v \to p$ 

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ In this proof of Proposition 1, "walk" means "walk in  $G \setminus a$ ".

in reverse order). Now fix two vertices  $u, w \in V \setminus a$ . We can find a walk from u to w by taking the walk  $u \to v$  and appending to it all entries but the first of the walk  $v \to w$  (we omit the first entry, v, because the walk  $u \to v$  already ends with v). Now forget we fixed u and w. We've shown that there is a walk  $u \to w$  for all  $u, w \in V \setminus a$ . Additionally, since we have assumed that |V| > 1, the multigraph  $G \setminus a$  has at least one vertex. But this tells us that  $G \setminus a$  is connected, so a is non-cut. Since we assumed that a was a cut vertex, we have a contradiction.

We will now assume that (a) is false – that is, that there exists a cut vertex  $w \in V$  such that d(v, w) is maximum – and show that we end up with a contradiction. By Proposition 1, there is a vertex b of  $G \setminus w$  for which there is no walk  $v \to b$  in  $G \setminus w$ . Consider this b. Notice that  $b \neq w$  (since b is a vertex in  $G \setminus w$ ).

But since G is connected, there is a path  $v \to b$  in G having length d(v,b). Let p be such a path. Then, p cannot be a path in  $G \setminus w$  (since there is no walk  $v \to b$  in  $G \setminus w$ ); thus, it must contain the vertex w (since the only vertices and edges removed from G to form  $G \setminus w$  were w and edges containing w). Therefore, p contains a path q from v to w. The length of q must of course be  $v \in d(v,w)$  (since  $v \in d(v,w)$ ) is the smallest length of a path from  $v \in w$ ). But the path  $v \in w$  cannot end at  $v \in w$  (since  $v \in w$ ). Thus,  $v \in w$  is not the whole path  $v \in w$ ). Hence, (the length of  $v \in w$ ) (the length of  $v \in w$ ).

Thus,

$$d(v, b) =$$
(the length of  $p$ ) > (the length of  $q$ )  $\geq d(v, w)$ .

This contradicts our assumption that d(v, w) was maximum. Hence, part (a) is solved.

**(b)** When n = 0, we have  $\sum_{u \in V} d(v, u) = (\text{empty sum}) = 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . So without loss of generality, we will assume n > 0.

We will now use induction over n.

**Base case**: When n = 1, there is only one vertex in V, namely v. The shortest path from v to itself has no edges (the path is (v)), so d(v, v) = 0. Thus,  $\sum_{u \in V} d(v, u) = d(v, v) = 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Inductive step**: Assume that  $\sum_{u \in V} d(v, u) \le \binom{n}{2}$  for each n-vertex connected multigraph G and each vertex v of G. We wish to show that  $\sum_{u \in V'} d(a, u) \le \binom{n+1}{2}$  for each n+1-vertex connected multigraph  $G' = (V', E', \varphi')$  and each vertex a of G'.

Fix some such G' and a. Find a vertex b in V' for which d(a,b) is maximum. By part (a) of this exercise, the vertex b will be non-cut. Since  $|V'| = n+1 > n \ge 1$ , the graph  $G' \setminus b$  has at least one vertex and hence is connected (since b is non-cut). If d(a,b) would be 0, then we would have d(a,w) = 0 for **each** vertex  $w \in V'$  (due to our choice of b); but this would yield that  $V' = \{a\}$ , which would contradict |V'| > 1. Hence, d(a,b) cannot be 0. Thus,  $d(a,b) \ne 0$ , so that  $a \ne b$ . Hence,  $a \in V' \setminus \{b\}$ .

If p and q are two vertices of G', then we will use the notation d'(p,q) to denote the length of the shortest path  $p \to q$  in G'. If p and q are two vertices of  $G \setminus b$ , then we will use the notation d(p,q) to denote the length of the shortest path  $p \to q$  in  $G' \setminus b$ . (This is well-defined since  $G' \setminus b$  is connected.) Note that d(p,q) may be distinct from d'(p,q). But since all edges of  $G' \setminus b$  are also edges of G', for all vertices p,q of  $G' \setminus b$ , any path  $p \to q$  of length d(p,q) in  $G' \setminus b$  is also a path in G'. Thus, for all vertices p and q of  $G' \setminus b$ , we have  $d'(p,q) \le d(p,q)$ .

The multigraph  $G' \setminus b$  is connected and has n vertices. Hence, by our induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{u \in V' \setminus \{b\}} d(v, u) \le \binom{n}{2} \tag{5}$$

for all vertices v in  $V' \setminus \{b\}$ .

Recall that for all vertices p and q of  $G' \setminus b$ , we have  $d'(p,q) \le d(p,q)$ . Hence, for all vertices u of  $G' \setminus b$ , we have  $d'(a,u) \le d(a,u)$ . This tells us

$$\sum_{u \in V' \setminus \{b\}} d'(a, u) \le \sum_{u \in V' \setminus \{b\}} d(a, u) \le \binom{n}{2} \tag{6}$$

(by (5)). Now, we can write

$$\sum_{u\in V'}d'(a,u)=\sum_{u\in V'\setminus\{b\}}d'(a,u)+d'(a,b)\leq \binom{n}{2}+d'(a,b)$$

(by (6)).

What upper bound can we put on d'(a,b)? Since all vertices in a path need to be distinct, each of the n+1 vertices of V' appears at most once in the path  $a \to b$  in G'. A maximum-possible-length path in any n+1-vertex graph, where each vertex appears once, would have (n+1)-1=n edges, since exactly one edge appears in between each pair of consecutive vertices in the path. So  $d'(a,b) \le n$ . This now gives us

$$\sum_{u \in V'} d'(a, u) \le \binom{n}{2} + d'(a, b)$$

$$\le \binom{n}{2} + n$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{1}$$

$$= \binom{n+1}{2} \text{ by Pascal's identity.}$$

Now forget we fixed G' and a. We've shown that  $\sum_{u \in V'} d'(a, u) \le \binom{n+1}{2}$  for each n+1-vertex connected multigraph  $G' = (V', E', \varphi')$  and each vertex a of G'. This completes the induction step. Thus, part **(b)** is solved.