

Math 4990 Fall 2017 (Darij Grinberg): homework set 1 [corrected 24 Sep 2017]
 due date: Tuesday 26 Sep 2017 at the beginning of class, or before that by email or
 by moodle (**do not** discuss these problems with anyone outside of this class until
 Thursday 28 Sep 2017).

Please solve **at most 5** of the 7 exercises!

Definition 0.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a logical statement. Then, an element $[\mathcal{A}] \in \{0, 1\}$ is defined as follows: We set $[\mathcal{A}] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \text{ is true;} \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \text{ is false} \end{cases}$. This element $[\mathcal{A}]$ is called the *truth value* of \mathcal{A} . (For example, $[1 + 1 = 2] = 1$ and $[1 + 1 = 3] = 0$.) The notation $[\mathcal{A}]$ for the truth value of \mathcal{A} is known as the *Iverson bracket notation*.

Exercise 1. Prove the following rules of truth values:

- (a) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two equivalent logical statements, then $[\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{B}]$.
- (b) If \mathcal{A} is any logical statement, then $[\text{not } \mathcal{A}] = 1 - [\mathcal{A}]$.
- (c) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two logical statements, then $[\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B}] = [\mathcal{A}] [\mathcal{B}]$.
- (d) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two logical statements, then $[\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}] = [\mathcal{A}] + [\mathcal{B}] - [\mathcal{A}] [\mathcal{B}]$.
- (e) If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are three logical statements, then

$$[\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}] = [\mathcal{A}] + [\mathcal{B}] + [\mathcal{C}] - [\mathcal{A}] [\mathcal{B}] - [\mathcal{A}] [\mathcal{C}] - [\mathcal{B}] [\mathcal{C}] + [\mathcal{A}] [\mathcal{B}] [\mathcal{C}].$$

Definition 0.2. We define the *binomial coefficient* $\binom{n}{k}$ by

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (Recall that $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and that an empty product is defined to be 1.)

For example, $\binom{-3}{4} = \frac{(-3)(-4)(-5)(-6)}{4!} = 15$ and $\binom{4}{1} = \frac{4}{1!} = 4$ and $\binom{4}{0} = \frac{(\text{empty product})}{0!} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$.

Exercise 2. Prove the following:

- (a) We have $\binom{n}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{k-n-1}{k}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) We have $k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and any positive integer k .
- (c) If $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and if a and b are two integers such that $a \geq b \geq 0$, then

$$\binom{n}{a} \binom{a}{b} = \binom{n}{b} \binom{n-b}{a-b}.$$

[Caveat: You may have seen the formula $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$. But this formula only makes sense when n and k are nonnegative integers and $n \geq k$. Thus it is not general enough to be used in this exercise.]

Exercise 3. Let k be a positive integer.

(a) How many k -digit numbers are there? (A “ k -digit number” means a non-negative integer that has k digits without leading zeroes. For example, 3902 is a 4-digit number, not a 5-digit number. Note that 0 counts as a 0-digit number, not as a 1-digit number.)

(b) How many k -digit numbers are there that have no two equal digits?

(c) How many k -digit numbers have an even sum of digits?

(d) How many k -digit numbers are palindromes? (A “*palindrome*” is a number such that reading its digits from right to left yields the same number. For example, 5 and 1331 and 49094 are palindromes. Your answer may well depend on the parity of k .)

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 0.3. The *Fibonacci sequence* is the sequence (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) of integers which is defined recursively by $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$, and $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Its first terms are

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} f_0 = 0, & f_1 = 1, & f_2 = 1, & f_3 = 2, & f_4 = 3, & f_5 = 5, \\ f_6 = 8, & f_7 = 13, & f_8 = 21, & f_9 = 34, & f_{10} = 55, & \\ f_{11} = 89, & f_{12} = 144, & f_{13} = 233, & & & \end{array}$$

(Some authors prefer to start the sequence at f_1 rather than f_0 ; of course, the recursive definition then needs to be modified to require $f_2 = 1$ instead of $f_0 = 0$.)

Exercise 4. A set S of integers is said to be *lacunar* if no two consecutive integers occur in S (that is, there exists no $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both i and $i + 1$ belong to S). For example, $\{1, 3, 6\}$ is lacunar, but $\{2, 4, 5\}$ is not. (The empty set and any 1-element set are lacunar, of course.)

For a positive integer n , let $g(n)$ denote the number of all lacunar subsets of $[n]$.

(a) Compute $g(n)$ for all $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

(b) Find and prove a recursive formula for $g(n)$ in terms of $g(n-1)$ and $g(n-2)$.

(c) Prove that $g(n) = f_{n+2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that if a , b and m are three integers (with $m > 0$), then we write $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a - b$ is divisible by m . Thus, in particular, $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ if and only if a and b have the same parity (i.e., are either both even or both odd).

Exercise 5. A set S of integers is said to be $O<E<O<E<\dots$ (this is an adjective) if it can be written in the form $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ where

- $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k$;
- the integer s_i is even whenever i is even;
- the integer s_i is odd whenever i is odd.

(For example, $\{1, 4, 5, 8, 11\}$ is an $O<E<O<E<\dots$ set, while $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 4, 6\}$ are not. Note that k is allowed to be 0, whence \emptyset is an $O<E<O<E<\dots$ set.)

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $a(n)$ denote the number of all $O<E<O<E<\dots$ subsets of $[n]$, and let $b(n)$ denote the number of all $O<E<O<E<\dots$ subsets of $[n]$ that contain n .

(a) Show that $a(n) = a(n-1) + b(n)$ for each $n > 0$.

(b) Show that $a(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n b(k)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Show that $b(n) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}; \\ k \equiv n-1 \pmod{2}}} b(k) + [n \text{ is odd}]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(d) Show that $b(n) + b(n-1) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b(k)$ for each $n > 0$.

(e) Show that $b(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} b(k)$ for each $n > 0$.

(f) Show that $b(n) = a(n-2)$ for each $n \geq 2$.

(g) Show that $a(n) = f_{n+2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

[Hint: You may skip parts (b)–(e) if you can prove part (f) without using any of them.]

Remark 0.4. Comparing Exercise 4 (c) with Exercise 5 (g) tells us that there are precisely as many lacunar subsets of $[n]$ as there are $O<E<O<E<\dots$ subsets of $[n]$. Is there a bijection between the former and the latter? I don't know.

Exercise 6. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $c(n)$ denote the number of all subsets of $[n]$ that are simultaneously lacunar and $O<E<O<E<\dots$.

Prove that $c(n) = c(n-2) + c(n-3)$ for all $n \geq 3$.

Remark 0.5. The sequence $(c(0), c(1), c(2), c(3), \dots)$ from Exercise 6 is the *Padovan sequence* (starting with 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 16, 21, 28, 37, 49).

Exercise 7. Extend the “twelfefold way” by a new column: counting only the bijective maps $f : N \rightarrow K$. Fill in this column (all of its four boxes).

Appendix

Here is a sample exercise (no points for this one...) with a solution. This should give you some idea of what level of detail I expect in your solutions.

Exercise 8. A set S of integers is said to be *self-counting* if the size $|S|$ is an element of S . (For example, $\{1, 3, 5\}$ is self-counting, since $|\{1, 3, 5\}| = 3 \in \{1, 3, 5\}$; but $\{1, 2, 5\}$ is not self-counting.)

Let n be a positive integer.

(a) For each $k \in [n]$, show that the number of self-counting subsets of $[n]$ having size k is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

(b) Conclude that the number of self-counting subsets of $[n]$ is $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}$.

(c) Find and prove a simpler expression for this number.

Solution to Exercise 8. (a) Fix $k \in [n]$. Then, the self-counting subsets of $[n]$ having size k are exactly the subsets of $[n]$ having size k and containing k . Thus, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{self-counting subsets of } [n] \text{ having size } k\} &\rightarrow \{\text{subsets of } [n] \setminus \{k\} \text{ having size } k-1\}, \\ S &\mapsto S \setminus \{k\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{subsets of } [n] \setminus \{k\} \text{ having size } k-1\} &\rightarrow \{\text{self-counting subsets of } [n] \text{ having size } k\}, \\ S &\mapsto S \cup \{k\} \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined¹, mutually inverse², and thus are bijections. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\{\text{self-counting subsets of } [n] \text{ having size } k\}| \\
 &= |\{\text{subsets of } [n] \setminus \{k\} \text{ having size } k-1\}| \\
 &= \binom{|[n] \setminus \{k\}|}{k-1} \\
 &\quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{because for any finite set } Q \text{ and any } m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ we have} \\ |\{\text{subsets of } Q \text{ having size } m\}| = \binom{|Q|}{m} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} \quad (\text{since } |[n] \setminus \{k\}| = n-1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves part (a).

(b) Any self-counting subset of $[n]$ must have at least one element (namely, its size); thus, its size must be one of the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\{\text{self-counting subsets of } [n]\}| &= \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{|\{\text{self-counting subsets of } [n] \text{ having size } k\}|}_{\substack{= \binom{n-1}{k-1} \\ \text{(by part (a))}}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}
 \end{aligned}$$

(here, we have substituted k for $k-1$ in the sum). This proves part (b).

¹This means the following:

- If S is a self-counting subset of $[n]$ having size k , then $S \setminus \{k\}$ is a subset of $[n] \setminus \{k\}$ having size $k-1$.
- If S is a subset of $[n] \setminus \{k\}$ having size $k-1$, then $S \cup \{k\}$ is a self-counting subset of $[n]$ having size k .

Checking this is straightforward; you can do it in your head, but don't forget to do this! If you don't check well-definedness, then it may happen that one of your "maps" does not exist; for example, convince yourself that there is no map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\text{subsets of } [n]\} &\rightarrow \{\text{subsets of } [n]\}, \\
 S &\mapsto S \cup \{|S| + 1\},
 \end{aligned}$$

because the set $S \cup \{|S| + 1\}$ is not always a subset of $[n]$ (namely, it fails to be so when $|S| = n$).

²For this, you need to show that

- If S is a self-counting subset of $[n]$ having size k , then $(S \setminus \{k\}) \cup \{k\} = S$.
- If S is a subset of $[n] \setminus \{k\}$ having size $k-1$, then $(S \cup \{k\}) \setminus \{k\} = S$.

This is again entirely straightforward, and it is perfectly fine to do this in your head, but you should do it.

(c) This number is 2^{n-1} .

Proof. In light of part (b), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} = 2^{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

In order to do so, it suffices to prove the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} = 2^m \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2)$$

(because we can then apply (2) to $m = n - 1$, and obtain (1)).

The identity (2) is well-known (it says that the sum of all entries in the m -th row of Pascal's triangle is 2^m), but let us sketch a quick combinatorial proof: The number of all subsets of $[m]$ is 2^m (because to choose such a subset means to decide, for each element of $[m]$, whether it goes into the subset or not; thus, we have 2 choices for each element, and m elements, whence there is a total of 2^m possibilities). On the other hand, this number equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \underbrace{(\text{the number of all } k\text{-element subsets of } [m])}_{= \binom{m}{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k}.$$

Comparing the two results, we obtain $\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} = 2^m$ (because both results are the same number – viz., the number of all subsets of $[m]$). Thus, (2) is proven, and the proof of part (c) is thus complete. \square
