

## Math 4242 Fall 2016 (Darij Grinberg): homework set 2

**Exercise 1.** Let  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (a) Find all column vectors  $x$  of size 4 satisfying  $Ux = b$ , where  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (b) Find all column vectors  $x$  of size 4 satisfying  $Ux = b'$ , where  $b' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (c) Find all column vectors  $x$  of size 4 satisfying  $Ux = x$ .

*Solution.* The matrix  $U$  is in row-echelon form. Thus, systems of the form  $Ux = a$  for a **constant** vector  $a$  can be solved by back-substitution. Parts (a) and (b) are such systems, so this is how we will solve them. Part (c) is slightly different.

(a) Writing  $x$  as  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , the equation  $Ux = b$  rewrites as the system

$$\begin{cases} 6x_1 + 3x_2 + (-2)x_3 + 5x_4 = 1; \\ (-1)x_3 + 2x_4 = 5; \\ 1x_4 = 2; \\ 0 = 0 \end{cases} . \text{ This system can be solved by back-substitution:}$$

The fourth equation ( $0 = 0$ ) is automatically satisfied; the third equation can be solved for  $x_4$  (yielding  $x_4 = 2$ ); the second equation can then be solved for  $x_3$  using our already-obtained value of  $x_4$  (yielding  $x_3 = -1$ ); the lack of an equation with “leading variable”  $x_2$  shows that  $x_2$  will be a free variable (say,  $x_2 = s$ ); finally, the first equation can be solved for  $x_1$  using our already-obtained values for  $x_2, x_3, x_4$  (this yields  $x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}s - \frac{11}{6}$ ). Thus, the solution is

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}s - \frac{11}{6} \\ s \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with a free variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Writing  $x$  as  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , the equation  $Ux = b'$  rewrites as the system

$$\begin{cases} 6x_1 + 3x_2 + (-2)x_3 + 5x_4 = 1; \\ (-1)x_3 + 2x_4 = 5; \\ 1x_4 = 2; \\ 0 = 1 \end{cases} . \text{ This system can be solved by back-substitution:}$$

The fourth equation ( $0 = 1$ ) is unsatisfiable, so **there are no solutions**.

(c) Writing  $x$  as  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , the equation  $Ux = x$  rewrites as the system

$$\begin{cases} 6x_1 + 3x_2 + (-2)x_3 + 5x_4 = x_1; \\ (-1)x_3 + 2x_4 = x_2; \\ 1x_4 = x_3; \\ 0 = x_4 \end{cases} . \text{ Bringing the } x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \text{ onto the left hand}$$

sides transforms this into  $\begin{cases} 5x_1 + 3x_2 + (-2)x_3 + 5x_4 = 0; \\ (-1)x_2 + (-1)x_3 + 2x_4 = 0; \\ (-1)x_3 + 1x_4 = 0; \\ (-1)x_4 = 0 \end{cases} .$  This system can again

be solved by back-substitution, leading to the only solution

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

[Alternative solution for (c): Rewrite the equation  $Ux = x$  as  $Ux - x = 0_{4 \times 1}$ . Since  $Ux - x = Ux - I_4x = (U - I_4)x$ , this can be furthermore rewritten as  $(U - I_4)x = 0_{4 \times 1}$ . Set  $A = U - I_4$ . Then, our equation  $(U - I_4)x = 0_{4 \times 1}$  can be rewritten as  $Ax = 0_{4 \times 1}$ . So we need to find all column vectors  $x$  satisfying  $Ax = 0_{4 \times 1}$ .

But in my notes, there is the following theorem ([lina, Theorem 3.99]):

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A$  be an invertibly upper-triangular  $n \times n$ -matrix. Then,  $A$  is invertible, and its inverse  $A^{-1}$  is again invertibly upper-triangular.

The matrix

$$A = U - I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertibly upper-triangular. Hence, Theorem 0.1 shows that  $A$  is invertible. Now, the equation  $Ax = 0_{4 \times 1}$  rewrites as  $x = A^{-1}0_{4 \times 1}$ , thus as  $x = 0_{4 \times 1}$  (since

$$A^{-1}0_{4 \times 1} = 0_{4 \times 1}). \text{ So the only solution is } x = 0_{4 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .] \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (a) Find all column vectors  $x$  of size 4 satisfying  $Ax = b$ , where  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (b) Find all column vectors  $x$  of size 4 satisfying  $Ax = b$ , where  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Solution.* Any form of Gaussian elimination will do here. Let me do it the way we did in class:

Apply row operations to bring  $A$  into row echelon form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[A_{2,1}^1]{A_{2,1}^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[A_{3,1}^1]{A_{3,1}^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[A_{3,2}^2]{A_{3,2}^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $A = EU$ , where  $E = A_{2,1}^1 A_{3,1}^1 A_{3,2}^2$  is a product of elementary matrices (thus invertible) and  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is in row-echelon form.

Now,  $A = EU$ , so that each  $3 \times 1$ -matrix  $b$  satisfies

$$Ax = b \iff EUx = b \iff Ux = E^{-1}b.$$

So what is  $E^{-1}$ ? Since  $E = A_{2,1}^1 A_{3,1}^1 A_{3,2}^2$ , we have  $E^{-1} = A_{3,2}^{-2} A_{3,1}^{-1} A_{2,1}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(More explicitly,  $E^{-1}$  is the matrix obtained from  $I_3$  by doing precisely the same row operations that we applied to  $A$  to obtain  $U$ .)

(a) We must solve  $Ax = b$ , thus  $Ux = E^{-1}b$ . Since  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and  $E^{-1}b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , this means that we must solve

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The solution is  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r - 6s \\ s \\ s \\ r \end{pmatrix}$  with two free

variables  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) We must solve  $Ax = b$ , thus  $Ux = E^{-1}b$ . Since  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $E^{-1}b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , this means that we must solve  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . There are no solutions.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** Recall that the determinant of a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix is computed by the formula

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc.$$

Use this to prove (by direct computation) that  $\det(AB) = \det A \cdot \det B$  holds for all  $2 \times 2$ -matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

*Solution.* Setting  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} ax + bz & ay + bw \\ cx + dz & cy + dw \end{pmatrix}$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= (ax + bz)(cy + dw) - (ay + bw)(cx + dz) \\ &= adwx - bcwx - adyz + bcyz. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$\underbrace{\det A}_{=ad-bc} \cdot \underbrace{\det B}_{=xw-yz} = (ad - bc)(xw - yz) = adwx - bcwx - adyz + bcyz,$$

we confirm  $\det(AB) = \det A \cdot \det B$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** I have mentioned in class that determinants of square matrices behave predictably under the standard row operations:

- The operation  $A_{u,v}^\lambda$  preserves the determinant (that is,  $\det(A_{u,v}^\lambda C) = \det C$  for any  $C$ ).
- The operation  $S_u^\lambda$  multiplies the determinant by  $\lambda$  (that is,  $\det(S_u^\lambda C) = \lambda \det C$  for any  $C$ ).
- The operation  $T_{u,v}$  negates the determinant (that is,  $\det(T_{u,v} C) = -\det C$  for any  $C$ ).

Also, I have mentioned that the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries.

Compute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Mind the 7 in the upper-right corner!)

*Solution.* We perform row operations to our matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{A_{2,1}^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \xrightarrow{A_{3,2}^{-3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{A_{4,3}^{-4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \xrightarrow{A_{5,4}^{-5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{A_{6,5}^{-6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

All of these operations have preserved the determinant (since any operation  $A_{u,v}^\lambda$  preserves the determinant). But the result is an upper-triangular matrix, whose determinant is therefore the product of its diagonal entries:

$$1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot (1 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) = -5039.$$

Hence, the determinant of our initial matrix must also be  $-5039$ .  $\square$

## References

[lina] Darij Grinberg, *Notes on linear algebra*, version of 13 December 2016.  
<https://github.com/darijgr/lina>