

**Witt vectors. Part 1**  
*Michiel Hazewinkel*  
**Sidenotes by Darij Grinberg**

**Witt#2: Polynomials that can be written as  $w_n$**   
[version 1.0 (15 April 2013), completed, sloppily proofread]

This is an addendum to section 5 of [1]. We recall the definition of the  $p$ -adic Witt polynomials:

**Definition.** Let  $p$  be a prime. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (where  $\mathbb{N}$  means  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ), we define a polynomial  $w_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$  by

$$w_n(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_0^{p^n} + pX_1^{p^{n-1}} + p^2X_2^{p^{n-2}} + \dots + p^{n-1}X_{n-1}^p + p^nX_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p^k X_k^{p^{n-k}}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$  is a subring of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  (this is the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the countably many indeterminates  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$ ), this polynomial  $w_n$  can also be considered as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$ . Regarding  $w_n$  this way, we have

$$w_n(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots) = \sum_{k=0}^n p^k X_k^{p^{n-k}}.$$

We will often write  $X$  for the sequence  $(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots)$ . Thus,  $w_n(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n p^k X_k^{p^{n-k}}$ .

These polynomials  $w_0(X), w_1(X), w_2(X), \dots$  are called the  *$p$ -adic Witt polynomials*.<sup>1</sup>

A property of these polynomials has not been recorded in the text:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  be a polynomial. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the following two assertions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are equivalent:

*Assertion  $\mathcal{A}$ :* There exist polynomials  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  such that

$$\tau(X) = w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)).$$

*Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$ :* We have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau(X)) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>*Caution:* These polynomials are referred to as  $w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots$  in Sections 5-8 of [1]. However, beginning with Section 9 of [1], Hazewinkel uses the notations  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots$  for some *different* polynomials (the so-called big Witt polynomials, defined by formula (9.25) in [1]), which are *not the same as our polynomials*  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots$  (though they are related to them: in fact, the polynomial  $w_k$  that we have just defined here is the same as the polynomial which is called  $w_{p^k}$  in [1] from Section 9 on, up to a change of variables; however, the polynomial which is called  $w_k$  from in [1] from Section 9 on is totally different and has nothing to do with our  $w_k$ ).

*Proof of Theorem 1. Proof of the implication  $\mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{B}$ :* Assume that Assertion  $\mathcal{A}$  holds, i. e., that there exist polynomials  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  such that

$$\tau(X) = w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)).$$

Then,

$$\tau(X) = w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)) = \sum_{k=0}^n p^k (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}},$$

so that every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau(X)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \sum_{k=0}^n p^k (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}} = \sum_{k=0}^n p^k \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}}}_{=p^{n-k}(\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau_k(X))} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{p^k p^{n-k}}_{=p^n} (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau_k(X)) = p^n \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^n (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau_k(X))}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]} \\ &\in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots], \end{aligned}$$

and thus Assertion  $\mathcal{B}$  holds. This proves the implication  $\mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof of the implication  $\mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A}$ :* Proving the implication  $\mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to proving the following fact:

*Lemma:* Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  be a polynomial. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau(X)) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exist polynomials  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  such that

$$\tau(X) = w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)).$$

*Proof of the Lemma:* We will prove the Lemma by induction over  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , the Lemma is trivial (just set  $\tau_0 = \tau$  and use  $w_0(X) = X_0$ ). Now to the induction step: Given some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq 1$ , we want to prove the Lemma for this  $n$ , and we assume that it is already proven for  $n - 1$  instead of  $n$ . So let  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  be a polynomial such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}(\tau(X)) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We must find polynomials  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  such that

$$\tau(X) = w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)).$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  denote the set  $\{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \text{only finitely many } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ satisfy } j_k \neq 0\}$ . Then,  $\tau$  has a unique representation in the form  $\tau(X) = \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots$  with  $t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (in fact, every polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$

has a unique representation of this kind). Every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau(X)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots \right) \\
&= \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} X_i^{j_i} \right) X_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots \\
&= \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} (j_i X_i^{j_i-1}) X_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots \\
&= \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} j_i t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} X_i^{j_i-1} X_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every  $(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the coefficient of the polynomial  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau(X))$  before the monomial  $X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} X_i^{j_i-1} X_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots$  is  $j_i t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau(X)) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  rewrites as  $j_i t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} \in p^n \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (because a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  lies in  $p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  if and only if each of its coefficients lies in  $p^n \mathbb{Z}$ ). In particular, this yields that

for every  $(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}$ , we have  $j_i/p^n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  (1)

(because  $j_i t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} \in p^n \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}$  lead to  $j_i \in p^n \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $p$  is a prime).

We also notice that

$$a \equiv a^{p^n} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2)$$

(since Fermat's Little Theorem yields  $a^{p^k} \equiv (a^{p^{k-1}})^p = a^{p^k} \pmod{p}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus by induction we get  $a^{p^0} \equiv a^{p^n} \pmod{p}$ ).

Now, define a polynomial  $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  by

$$\rho(X) = \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0/p^n} X_1^{j_1/p^n} X_2^{j_2/p^n} \dots$$

(this is actually a polynomial because of (1)). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(X) &= \sum_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \mid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} \underbrace{t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}_{\equiv 0 \pmod p, \text{ since}} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots + \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots \\
&\equiv \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \mid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} 0 X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots}_{=0} + \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} \underbrace{t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}_{\equiv t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}^{p^n} \pmod p, \text{ due to (2)}} \underbrace{X_0^{j_0} X_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots}_{=(X_0^{j_0/p^n} X_1^{j_1/p^n} X_2^{j_2/p^n} \dots)^{p^n}} \\
&\quad \text{(this makes sense because } j_i/p^n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ by (1), since } p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} \text{)} \\
&\equiv \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}^{p^n} \left( X_0^{j_0/p^n} X_1^{j_1/p^n} X_2^{j_2/p^n} \dots \right)^{p^n} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} \left( t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0/p^n} X_1^{j_1/p^n} X_2^{j_2/p^n} \dots \right)^{p^n} \\
&\equiv \left( \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{fin}}^{\mathbb{N}}; \\ p \nmid t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)}}} \underbrace{t_{(j_0, j_1, j_2, \dots)} X_0^{j_0/p^n} X_1^{j_1/p^n} X_2^{j_2/p^n} \dots}_{=\rho(X)} \right)^{p^n} \\
&\quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{since } \sum_{s \in S} a_s^{p^n} \equiv \left( \sum_{s \in S} a_s \right)^{p^n} \pmod p \text{ for any family} \\ (a_s)_{s \in S} \text{ of elements of any commutative ring} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (\rho(X))^{p^n} \pmod p
\end{aligned}$$

(where "mod  $p$ " is shorthand for "mod  $p\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$ "). Hence,  $\tau(X) - (\rho(X))^{p^n} \in p\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$ . Therefore, we can define a polynomial  $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  by

$$\tau(X) - (\rho(X))^{p^n} = p\tilde{\tau}(X).$$

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tilde{\tau}(X)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( \underbrace{p\tilde{\tau}(X)}_{=\tau(X)-(\rho(X))^{p^n}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( \tau(X) - (\rho(X))^{p^n} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau(X)) - \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( (\rho(X))^{p^n} \right)}_{=p^n(\rho(X))^{p^n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\rho(X))} \\
&\quad \text{(by the chain rule, since } \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} (Y^{p^n}) = p^n Y^{p^n-1} \text{)} \\
&= \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tau(X))}_{\in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]} - \underbrace{p^n (\rho(X))^{p^n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\rho(X))}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]} \\
&\in p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots] - p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots] \\
&\subseteq p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots] \quad (\text{since } p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots] \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-module}),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} (\tilde{\tau}(X)) \in \frac{1}{p} p^n \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots] = p^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots].$$

Therefore, we can apply the Lemma with  $n-1$  instead of  $n$  and with  $\tilde{\tau}$  instead of  $\tau$  (in fact, the Lemma with  $n-1$  instead of  $n$  is guaranteed to hold by our induction assumption), and we obtain that there exist polynomials  $\tilde{\tau}_0, \tilde{\tau}_1, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  such that

$$\tilde{\tau}(X) = w_{n-1} (\tilde{\tau}_0(X), \tilde{\tau}_1(X), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{n-1}(X)).$$

In other words,

$$\tilde{\tau}(X) = w_{n-1} (\tilde{\tau}_0(X), \tilde{\tau}_1(X), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{n-1}(X)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k (\tilde{\tau}_k(X))^{p^{(n-1)-k}}.$$

Now, define polynomials  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  by

$$\left( \tau_k = \begin{cases} \rho, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ \tilde{\tau}_{k-1}, & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for every } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \right).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& w_n(\tau_0(X), \tau_1(X), \dots, \tau_n(X)) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n p^k (\tau_k(X))^{p^{n-k}} = \underbrace{p^0}_{=1} \left( \underbrace{\tau_0(X)}_{=\rho} \right)^{p^{n-0}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{p^k}_{=pp^{k-1}} \left( \underbrace{\tau_k(X)}_{=\tilde{\tau}_{k-1}} \right)^{p^{n-k}} \\
&= (\rho(X))^{p^{n-0}} + \sum_{k=1}^n pp^{k-1} \underbrace{(\tilde{\tau}_{k-1}(X))^{p^{n-k}}}_{=(\tilde{\tau}_{k-1}(X))^{p^{(n-1)-(k-1)}}} \\
&= (\rho(X))^{p^{n-0}} + \sum_{k=1}^n pp^{k-1} (\tilde{\tau}_{k-1}(X))^{p^{(n-1)-(k-1)}} \\
&= (\rho(X))^{p^{n-0}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} pp^k (\tilde{\tau}_k(X))^{p^{(n-1)-k}} \quad (\text{here we substituted } k \text{ for } k-1 \text{ in the sum}) \\
&= (\rho(X))^{p^n} + p \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k (\tilde{\tau}_k(X))^{p^{(n-1)-k}}}_{=\tilde{\tau}(X)} = (\rho(X))^{p^n} + \underbrace{p\tilde{\tau}(X)}_{=\tau(X)-(\rho(X))^{p^n}} = \tau(X).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves our Lemma (i. e., the induction is complete), and thus, the implication  $\mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A}$  is established.

Altogether, we have proven the implications  $\mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A}$ . Consequently, Assertions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are equivalent. Theorem 1 is now proven.

*Remark:* While it is tempting to believe that our Theorem 1 yields Theorem 5.2 from [1], this doesn't seem to be the case.<sup>2</sup>

## References

- [1] Michiel Hazewinkel, *Witt vectors. Part 1*, revised version: 20 April 2008.

---

<sup>2</sup>In fact, our Theorem 1 yields that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every polynomial  $\varphi$ , there exist polynomials  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  satisfying

$$w_n(\varphi_0(X; Y; Z), \dots, \varphi_n(X; Y; Z)) = \varphi(w_n(X), w_n(Y), w_n(Z))$$

(see Theorem 5.2 in [1] for details), but Theorem 5.2 from [1] additionally claims that each of these polynomials is independent of  $n$ , which does not follow from our Theorem 1.