# Shuffle-compatibility of the descent set

Darij Grinberg (UMN)

8 March 2018 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

```
slides: http:
//www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/urbana18a.pdf
paper: http:
//www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/gzshuf2.pdf
project: https://github.com/darijgr/gzshuf
```

#### Introduction

- This is an **expository** talk on a little part of the paper:
  - Ira M. Gessel, Yan Zhuang, *Shuffle-compatible* permutation statistics, arXiv:1706.00750.

Nothing here is my invention.

#### Introduction

- This is an **expository** talk on a little part of the paper:
  - Ira M. Gessel, Yan Zhuang, *Shuffle-compatible* permutation statistics, arXiv:1706.00750.

Nothing here is my invention.

For my own work, see the next talk.

#### Introduction

- This is an expository talk on a little part of the paper:
  - Ira M. Gessel, Yan Zhuang, Shuffle-compatible permutation statistics, arXiv:1706.00750.

Nothing here is my invention.

For my own work, see the next talk.

- I will sketch the proofs of Theorem 2.8 and of Theorem 6.1 from their paper.
- Unlike that paper, I will avoid any extraneous notation and theory here.

#### Permutations and descents

- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$
- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an *n-permutation* means a tuple of *n* distinct positive integers.

Example: (3,1,7) is a 3-permutation, but (2,1,2) is not. (**Caveat lector:** Not the usual meaning of "permutation".)

#### Permutations and descents

- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ .
- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an *n-permutation* means a tuple of *n* distinct positive integers.
  - Example: (3,1,7) is a 3-permutation, but (2,1,2) is not. (**Caveat lector:** Not the usual meaning of "permutation".)
- If  $\pi$  is an *n*-permutation and  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , then  $\pi_i$  denotes the *i*-th entry of  $\pi$ .

#### Permutations and descents

- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ .
- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an *n-permutation* means a tuple of *n* distinct positive integers.

Example: (3,1,7) is a 3-permutation, but (2,1,2) is not. (**Caveat lector:** Not the usual meaning of "permutation".)

- If  $\pi$  is an *n*-permutation and  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , then  $\pi_i$  denotes the *i*-th entry of  $\pi$ .
- If  $\pi$  is an n-permutation, then a *descent* of  $\pi$  means an  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$  such that  $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ .
- The *descent set* Des  $\pi$  of an *n*-permutation  $\pi$  is the set of all descents of  $\pi$ .

**Example:** Des  $(3, 1, 5, 2, 4) = \{1, 3\}.$ 

#### **Shuffles of permutations**

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation.
- We say that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are *disjoint* if they have no letter in common.

#### **Shuffles of permutations**

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation.
- We say that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are *disjoint* if they have no letter in common.
- Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint. An (m+n)-permutation  $\tau$  is called a *shuffle* of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  if both  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  appear as subsequences of  $\tau$ .

(And thus, no other letters can appear in  $\tau$ .)

• **Example:** The shuffles of (4,1) and (2,5) are

$$(4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,5),(4,2,5,1),$$
  
 $(2,4,1,5),(2,4,5,1),(2,5,4,1).$ 

#### **Shuffles of permutations**

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation.
- We say that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are *disjoint* if they have no letter in common.
- Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint. An (m+n)-permutation  $\tau$  is called a *shuffle* of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  if both  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  appear as subsequences of  $\tau$ .

(And thus, no other letters can appear in  $\tau$ .)

• **Example:** The shuffles of (4,1) and (2,5) are

• Observe that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  have  $\binom{m+n}{m}$  shuffles, in bijection with m-element subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m+n\}$ .

- The set  $\mathbb{N}^k$  of k-tuples is an additive monoid. (Keep in mind:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .)
- If  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , then  $|\alpha|$  is defined to be  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k$ .

- The set  $\mathbb{N}^k$  of k-tuples is an additive monoid. (Keep in mind:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .)
- If  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , then  $|\alpha|$  is defined to be  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k$ .
- For any  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , we define a set  $\mathsf{PS}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i \mid 1 \le i \le k - 1 \} \\ &= \{ a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} \} \, . \end{aligned}$$

(PS stands for "partial sums".)

- The set  $\mathbb{N}^k$  of k-tuples is an additive monoid. (Keep in mind:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .)
- If  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , then  $|\alpha|$  is defined to be  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k$ .
- For any  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , we define a set  $\mathsf{PS}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i \mid 1 \le i \le k - 1 \} \\ & = \{ a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} \} \,. \end{aligned}$$

(PS stands for "partial sums".) (**Note:** PS  $(\alpha) \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., |\alpha|\}$ .)

- The set  $\mathbb{N}^k$  of k-tuples is an additive monoid. (Keep in mind:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .)
- If  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , then  $|\alpha|$  is defined to be  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k$ .
- For any  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , we define a set  $\mathsf{PS}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k)$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i \mid 1 \le i \le k - 1 \} \\ & = \{ a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} \} \,. \end{aligned}$$

(PS stands for "partial sums".) (**Note:** PS  $(\alpha) \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., |\alpha|\}$ .)

• Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A weak composition of n means an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$  satisfying  $|\alpha| = n$ .

• Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1]. Here, [k] means  $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$  for each  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1]. Here, [k] means  $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$  for each  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .
- How many shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfy Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ ?

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1]. Here, [k] means  $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$  for each  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .
- How many shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfy Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ ?
- The following theorem by Gessel and Zhuang gives the answer.

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1]. Here, [k] means  $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$  for each  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. (Such L can easily be constructed.) Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- Theorem (Gessel & Zhuang, arXiv:1706.00750, Theorem 2.8).

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  equals the number of pairs  $(J, K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  such that

- *J* is a weak composition of *m* satisfying Des  $\pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ . Let n=2 and  $\sigma=(2,5)$ . The shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are (4,1,2,5), (4,2,1,5), (4,2,5,1), (2,4,1,5), (2,4,5,1), (2,5,4,1).

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

Their descent sets Des  $\tau$  are

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{1,2\}, \qquad \{1,3\}, \\ \{2\}, \qquad \{2,3\}, \qquad \{3\}.$$

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles au of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$(4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,5),(4,2,5,1),$$
  
 $(2,4,1,5),(2,4,5,1),(2,5,4,1).$ 

Their descent sets Des  $\tau$  are

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{1,2\}, \qquad \{1,3\}, \\ \{2\}, \qquad \{2,3\}, \qquad \{3\}.$$

Pick  $A = \{3\}$ . Then, the number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  is 1.

What about the other number?

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$(4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,5),(4,2,5,1),$$
  
 $(2,4,1,5),(2,4,5,1),(2,5,4,1).$ 

Their descent sets Des  $\tau$  are

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{1,2\}, \qquad \{1,3\}, \\ \{2\}, \qquad \{2,3\}, \qquad \{3\}.$$

Pick  $A = \{3\}$ . Then, the number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  is 1.

What about the other number? We must pick a weak composition L of m+n=4 such that  $PS(L)=A=\{3\}$ . We can take L=(3,1) (or  $L=(3,0,0,\ldots,0,1)$  for any number of 0's). Let's pick L=(3,1).

• **Example:** Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

			requirements
J	?	?	$ J  = m$ , PS $J \supseteq Des \pi$
+ K	?	?	$ K  = n$ , PS $K \supseteq Des \sigma$
=L	3	1	

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

			requirements
J	?	?	$ J =2, \ PS J\supseteq\{1\}$
+ K	?	?	$ K  = 2$ , $PS K \supseteq \{\}$
= L	3	1	

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

			requirements
J	1	1	$ J =2, PSJ\supseteq\{1\}$
+ K	?	?	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	3	1	

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

			requirements
J	1	1	$ J =2, \ PS J\supseteq\{1\}$
+ K	2	0	$ K  = 2$ , $PSK \supseteq \{\}$
= L	3	1	

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{3\}$  and L = (3, 1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

Let's solve this:

			requirements
J	1	1	$ J =2, PSJ\supseteq\{1\}$
+ K	2	0	$ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	3	1	

Thus, there is exactly 1 solution, as the Theorem predicts.

• Example: Let m=2 and  $\pi=(4,1)$ . Let n=2 and  $\sigma=(2,5)$ . The shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are (4,1,2,5), (4,2,1,5), (4,2,5,1), (2,4,1,5), (2,4,5,1), (2,5,4,1).

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

Their descent sets Des au are

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles au of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$(4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,5),(4,2,5,1),$$
  
 $(2,4,1,5),(2,4,5,1),(2,5,4,1).$ 

Their descent sets Des au are

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{1,2\}, \qquad \{1,3\}, \\ \{2\}, \qquad \{2,3\}, \qquad \{3\}.$$

Pick  $A = \{2,3\}$ . Then, the number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  is 3.

What about the other number?

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

The shuffles au of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$(4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,5),(4,2,5,1),$$
  
 $(2,4,1,5),(2,4,5,1),(2,5,4,1).$ 

Their descent sets Des  $\tau$  are

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{1,2\}, \qquad \{1,3\}, \\ \{2\}, \qquad \{2,3\}, \qquad \{3\}.$$

Pick  $A = \{2,3\}$ . Then, the number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  is 3.

What about the other number? We must pick a weak composition L of m+n=4 such that PS  $(L)=A=\{2,3\}$ . We can take L=(2,1,1) (or  $L=(2,0,0,\ldots,0,1,0,0,\ldots,0,1)$  for any number of 0's). Let's pick L=(2,1,1).

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

• **Example:** Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

				requirements
J	?	?	?	$ J  = m$ , PS $J \supseteq \text{Des } \pi$ $ K  = n$ , PS $K \supseteq \text{Des } \sigma$
+ K	?	?	?	$ K  = n$ , PS $K \supseteq Des \sigma$
=L	2	1	1	

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

				requirements
J	?	?	?	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
+ <i>K</i>	?	?	?	$ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
= L	2	1	1	

• **Example:** Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

Let's solve this:

				requirements
J	1	1	0	$ J =2, PS J\supseteq\{1\}$
+ <i>K</i>	1	0	1	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	2	1	1	

• **Example:** Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ .

Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

Let's solve this:

				requirements
J	1	0	1	$ J =2, \ \ PS J\supseteq\{1\}$
+ <i>K</i>	1	1	0	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	2	1	1	

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

Let's solve this:

				requirements
J	0	1	1	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
+ K	2	0	0	$ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	2	1	1	

• Example: Let m = 2 and  $\pi = (4, 1)$ . Let n = 2 and  $\sigma = (2, 5)$ .

So we have  $A = \{2,3\}$  and L = (2,1,1).

We want to find the number of pairs (J, K) such that

- *J* is a weak composition of *m* satisfying Des  $\pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

Let's solve this:

				requirements
J	0	1	1	$ J  = 2$ , PS $J \supseteq \{1\}$ $ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
+ <i>K</i>	2	0	0	$ K  = 2$ , PS $K \supseteq \{\}$
=L	2	1	1	

Thus, there are 3 solutions, as the Theorem predicts.

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- Theorem (Gessel & Zhuang, from previous slide). The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$  equals the number of pairs  $(J, K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  such that
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- Corollary.

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Des}\,\tau\subseteq A$  depends only on m, n,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\pi$ ,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\sigma$  and A (but not on  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  themselves).

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

#### Corollary.

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Des}\,\tau\subseteq A$  depends only on m, n,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\pi$ ,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\sigma$  and A (but not on  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  themselves).

#### Corollary.

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Des}\,\tau=A$  depends only on m, n,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\pi$ ,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\sigma$  and A (but not on  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  themselves).

(Follows from previous corollary by induction on |A|.)

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .

#### Corollary.

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Des}\,\tau\subseteq A$  depends only on m, n,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\pi$ ,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\sigma$  and A (but not on  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  themselves).

#### Corollary.

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Des}\,\tau=A$  depends only on m, n,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\pi$ ,  $\mathrm{Des}\,\sigma$  and A (but not on  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  themselves).

(Follows from previous corollary by induction on |A|.) Gessel and Zhuang say that this makes Des shuffle-compatible. See the next talk for more about this.

## Shuffle-compatibility of Des: proof, 1

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS (L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- To prove the Theorem, let us restate it using shorthands:

## Shuffle-compatibility of Des: proof, 1

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS (L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- A *good shuffle* shall mean a shuffle  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- A good pair shall mean a pair  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  such that
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Theorem (Gessel & Zhuang, from previous slide).
   The number of good shuffles equals the number of good pairs.

#### Shuffle-compatibility of Des: proof, 1

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let A be a subset of [m+n-1].
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS (L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- A *good shuffle* shall mean a shuffle  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- ullet A  $good\ pair\ shall\ mean\ a\ pair\ <math>(J,K)\in\mathbb{N}^k imes\mathbb{N}^k$  such that
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Theorem (Gessel & Zhuang, from previous slide).
   The number of good shuffles equals the number of good pairs.
- For a proof, we need bijections

 $\{\mathsf{good}\ \mathsf{shuffles}\} \rightleftarrows \{\mathsf{good}\ \mathsf{pairs}\}$  .

## **Shuffle-compatibility of** Des: **proof, 2**: ←

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

# **Shuffle-compatibility of** Des: **proof, 2**: ←

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- ullet Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K)\in\mathbb{N}^k imes\mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J=(j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k)$ , and K as  $K=(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_k)$  (sorry).

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)$ , and K as  $K = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.

**Example:** If m=8 and J=(3,2,0,2,1,0), then we get  $\pi_1\pi_2\pi_3 \mid \pi_4\pi_5 \mid \mid \pi_6\pi_7 \mid \pi_8 \mid$ .

# **Shuffle-compatibility of** Des: **proof, 2**: ←

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)$ , and K as  $K = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\pi$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des }\pi\subseteq \text{PS }(J)$ ).

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - *J* is a weak composition of *m* satisfying Des  $\pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des  $\sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)$ , and K as  $K = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\pi$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des }\pi\subseteq \mathsf{PS}\,(J)$ ).
- Similarly, subdivide  $\sigma$  into k increasing blocks using K.

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- ullet Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K)\in\mathbb{N}^k imes\mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J=(j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k)$ , and K as  $K=(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\pi$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des }\pi\subseteq \mathsf{PS}\,(J)$ ).
- Similarly, subdivide  $\sigma$  into k increasing blocks using K.
- Now, for each  $i \in [k]$ , let
  - $\pi^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\pi$ ;
  - $\sigma^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\sigma$ ;
  - $\tau^{(i)}$  be the unique increasing shuffle of  $\pi^{(i)}$  and  $\sigma^{(i)}$ .

## **Shuffle-compatibility of** Des: **proof, 2**: ←

- We construct the map {good pairs} → {good shuffles}:
- ullet Let (J,K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J,K)\in\mathbb{N}^k imes\mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - *J* is a weak composition of *m* satisfying Des  $\pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
  - we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).
- Write J as  $J=(j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k)$ , and K as  $K=(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\pi$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des }\pi\subseteq \mathsf{PS}\,(J)$ ).
- Similarly, subdivide  $\sigma$  into k increasing blocks using K.
- Now, for each  $i \in [k]$ , let
  - $\pi^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\pi$ ;
  - $\sigma^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\sigma$ ;
  - $\tau^{(i)}$  be the unique increasing shuffle of  $\pi^{(i)}$  and  $\sigma^{(i)}$ .

Then, the concatenation  $\pi^{(1)}\pi^{(2)}\cdots\pi^{(k)}$  is a good shuffle.

# Shuffle-compatibility of Des: proof, 2: ←

- We construct the map  $\{good pairs\} \rightarrow \{good shuffles\}$ :
- Let (J, K) be a good pair. Thus,  $(J, K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  and
  - J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
  - K is a weak composition of n satisfying Des σ ⊆ PS (K);
    we have J + K = L (in the monoid N<sup>k</sup>).
- Write J as  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)$ , and K as  $K = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_k)$  (sorry).
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_p)$ -th letter of  $\pi$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\pi$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des }\pi\subseteq \mathsf{PS}\,(J)$ ).
- Similarly, subdivide  $\sigma$  into k increasing blocks using K.
- Now, for each  $i \in [k]$ , let
  - $\pi^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\pi$ :
  - $\sigma^{(i)}$  be the *i*-th block of  $\sigma$ ;
  - $\tau^{(i)}$  be the unique increasing shuffle of  $\pi^{(i)}$  and  $\sigma^{(i)}$ .

Then, the concatenation  $\pi^{(1)}\pi^{(2)}\cdots\pi^{(k)}$  is a good shuffle. So we have found a map  $\{\text{good pairs}\}\rightarrow\{\text{good shuffles}\}$ .

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .

- $\bullet \ \mbox{We now construct the map } \{\mbox{good shuffles}\} \rightarrow \{\mbox{good pairs}\} :$
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each p∈ [k-1], insert a bar ("|") between the (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + ··· + I<sub>p</sub>)-th letter of τ and the next one.
   (The positions of these bars are the elements of A, though they might have multiplicities.)

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).
- Let  $J=(j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k)$ , where  $j_p$  is the number of letters in the p-th block of  $\tau$  that come from  $\pi$ .

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).
- Let  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ , where  $j_p$  is the number of letters in the p-th block of  $\tau$  that come from  $\pi$ .
- Similarly define K.

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).
- Let  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ , where  $j_p$  is the number of letters in the p-th block of  $\tau$  that come from  $\pi$ .
- Similarly define *K*.
- Then, (J, K) is a good pair.

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).
- Let  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ , where  $j_p$  is the number of letters in the p-th block of  $\tau$  that come from  $\pi$ .
- Similarly define K.
- Then, (J, K) is a good pair.
   So we have found a map {good shuffles} → {good pairs}.

- We now construct the map  $\{good shuffles\} \rightarrow \{good pairs\}$ :
- Let  $\tau$  be a good shuffle. Thus,  $\tau$  is a shuffle of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying Des  $\tau \subseteq A$ .
- Write L as  $L = (l_1, l_2, ..., l_k)$ .
- For each  $p \in [k-1]$ , insert a bar ("|") between the  $(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_p)$ -th letter of  $\tau$  and the next one.
- These bars subdivide  $\tau$  into k blocks (some empty), each increasing (since  $\text{Des } \tau \subseteq A = \text{PS } (L)$ ).
- Let  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ , where  $j_p$  is the number of letters in the p-th block of  $\tau$  that come from  $\pi$ .
- Similarly define K.
- Then, (J, K) is a good pair. So we have found a map  $\{\text{good shuffles}\} \rightarrow \{\text{good pairs}\}$ .
- The two maps constructed are mutually inverse bijections

$$\{\mathsf{good}\ \mathsf{shuffles}\} \rightleftarrows \{\mathsf{good}\ \mathsf{pairs}\}\,;$$

so the theorem is proven.

#### The hollowed-out descent sets $Des_{i,j} \pi$

Fix i ∈ N and j ∈ N.
 For any n and any n-permutation π, we define the hollowed-out descent set Des<sub>i,j</sub> π by

$$\mathsf{Des}_{i,j} \pi = (\mathsf{Des} \pi) \cap (\{1,2,\ldots,i\} \cup \{n-1,n-2,\ldots,n-j\}).$$

#### The hollowed-out descent sets $Des_{i,j} \pi$

Fix i ∈ N and j ∈ N.
 For any n and any n-permutation π, we define the hollowed-out descent set Des<sub>i,j</sub> π by

$$\mathsf{Des}_{i,j} \pi = (\mathsf{Des} \pi) \cap (\{1,2,\ldots,i\} \cup \{n-1,n-2,\ldots,n-j\}).$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \pi$  is the set of all descents of  $\pi$  that are among the i first or j last possible positions for a descent to be in.

## Shuffle-compatibility of $Des_{i,j}$ : statement

- Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\pi$  be an m-permutation. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an n-permutation. Assume that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are disjoint.
- Let *B* be a subset of  $\{1, 2, ..., i\} \cup \{m+n-1, m+n-2, ..., m+n-j\}.$
- Let  $A = B \cup \{i+1, i+2, \dots, m+n-j-1\}.$
- Let L be a weak composition of m+n such that PS(L)=A. Let k be such that  $L \in \mathbb{N}^k$ .
- Theorem (Gessel & Zhuang, arXiv:1706.00750, Theorem 6.1).

The number of shuffles  $\tau$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \tau \subseteq B$  equals the number of pairs  $(J,K) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$  such that

- J is a weak composition of m satisfying  $Des_{i,j} \pi \subseteq PS(J)$ ;
- K is a weak composition of n satisfying  $Des_{i,j} \sigma \subseteq PS(K)$ ;
- we have J + K = L (in the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^k$ ).

# Shuffle-compatibility of $Des_{i,j}$ : proof

- We can derive this Theorem from the previous Theorem. This relies on the following three observations:
  - We have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \tau \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \tau \subseteq A$ .
  - For any weak composition J of m satisfying  $J \leq L$  (that is, each entry of J is  $\leq$  to the corresponding entry of L), we have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ .
  - A similar statement about weak compositions K of n.

# Shuffle-compatibility of $Des_{i,j}$ : proof

- We can derive this Theorem from the previous Theorem. This relies on the following three observations:
  - We have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \tau \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \tau \subseteq A$ .
  - For any weak composition J of m satisfying  $J \leq L$  (that is, each entry of J is  $\leq$  to the corresponding entry of L), we have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ .
  - ullet A similar statement about weak compositions K of n.
- The first observation is obvious.

## Shuffle-compatibility of $Des_{i,j}$ : proof

- We can derive this Theorem from the previous Theorem.
   This relies on the following three observations:
  - We have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,j} \tau \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \tau \subseteq A$ .
  - For any weak composition J of m satisfying  $J \leq L$  (that is, each entry of J is  $\leq$  to the corresponding entry of L), we have  $\operatorname{Des}_{i,i} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Des} \pi \subseteq \operatorname{PS}(J)$ .
  - A similar statement about weak compositions K of n.
- Proof of the second observation: Since PS  $(L) = A \supseteq \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, m+n-j-1\}$ , the composition L has the form

$$L = ($$
 (some numbers with sum  $\leq i + 1)$ , (a sequence of 0's and 1's), (some numbers with sum  $\leq j + 1)$ ).

Since  $J \le L$ , it follows that J also has this form. In other words,  $PS(J) \supseteq \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, m-j-1\}$ . Hence, the second observation follows.

#### **Thanks**

**Thanks** to Ira Gessel and Yan Zhuang for initiating this direction (and for helpful discussions), and to Alex Yong for an invitation to UIUC.

And thanks to you for attending!

```
slides: http:
//www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/urbana18a.pdf
paper: http:
//www.cip.ifi.lmu.de/~grinberg/algebra/gzshuf2.pdf
project: https://github.com/darijgr/gzshuf
```