Problems from the Book - Problem 19.9

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ be n reals. Prove the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{ijw_i w_j}{i+j-1} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2.$$

Solution by Darij Grinberg

Notations.

- For any matrix A, we denote by $A\begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}$ the entry in the j-th column and the i-th row of A. [This is usually denoted by A_{ij} or by $A_{i,j}$.]
- Let k be a field. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_{i,j}$ be an element of k for every $(i,j) \in \{1,2,...,u\} \times \{1,2,...,v\}$. Then, we denote by $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq u}^{1 \leq j \leq v}$ the $u \times v$ matrix A which satisfies $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = a_{i,j}$ for every $(i,j) \in \{1,2,...,u\} \times \{1,2,...,v\}$.
- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$ be n objects. Let $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Then, we let $\left(t_1, t_2, ..., \widehat{t_m}, ..., t_n\right)$ denote the (n-1)-tuple $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{m-2}, t_{m-1}, t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, ..., t_n)$ (that is, the (n-1)-tuple $(s_1, s_2, ..., s_{n-1})$ defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} t_i, & \text{if } i < m; \\ t_{i+1}, & \text{if } i \ge m \end{cases}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$).
- Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ be m elements of R. Then, we define an element $\sigma_k(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ of R by

$$\sigma_k (a_1, a_2, ..., a_m) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., m\}; i \in S \\ |S| = k}} \prod_{i \in S} a(i).$$

This element $\sigma_k(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ is simply the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial evaluated at $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$.

The Viete theorem states that

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,...,m\}} (x - a_{\ell}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{k} (a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{m}) x^{m-k}$$

for every $x \in R$. If we choose some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and apply this equality to the m-1 elements $a_1, a_2, ..., \widehat{a_i}, ..., a_m$ in lieu of the m elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$, then we obtain

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,...,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (x - a_{\ell}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (a_1, a_2, ..., \widehat{a_i}, ..., a_m) x^{m-1-k}.$$
 (1)

Theorem 1 (Sylvester). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then, the matrix A is positive definite if and only if every $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ satisfies $\det \left(\left(A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} \right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m} \right) > 0$.

For a proof of Theorem 1, see any book on symmetric or Hermitian matrices.

Theorem 2 (Cauchy determinant). Let k be a field. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ be m elements of k. Let $b_1, b_2, ..., b_m$ be m elements of k. Assume that $a_j \neq b_i$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}^2$. Then,

$$\det\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_j - b_i}\right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}\right) = \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2;\\ i > j}} \left(\left(a_i - a_j\right)\left(b_j - b_i\right)\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2\\\\ (i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2}} \left(a_j - b_i\right)}.$$

In the following, I attempt to give the most conceptual proof of Theorem 2. First we recall a known fact we are not going to prove:

Theorem 3 (Vandermonde determinant). Let S be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ be m elements of S. Then,

$$\det\left(\left(a_i^{j-1}\right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2;\\i>j}} \left(a_i - a_j\right).$$

Besides, a trivial fact:

Lemma 4. Let S be a commutative ring with unity. Let $a \in S$. In the ring S[X] (the polynomial ring over S in one indeterminate X), the element X - a is not a zero divisor.

And a consequence of this fact:

Lemma 5. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In the ring $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ (the polynomial ring over R in m indeterminates X_1 , $X_2, ..., X_m$), the element $\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,...,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j) \text{ is not a zero divisor.}$

Proof of Lemma 5. We will first show that:

For any
$$(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}^2$$
 satisfying $i > j$, the element $X_i - X_j$ of the ring $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ is not a zero divisor. (2)

 $\begin{aligned} & \textit{Proof of (2)}. \text{ Let } R\left[X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_m\right] \text{ denote the sub-}R\text{-algebra of } R\left[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m\right] \\ & \text{generated by the elements } X_1, X_2, ..., X_{i-2}, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}, ..., X_m \text{ (that is, the } m \text{ elements } X_1, X_2, ..., X_m \text{ except of } X_i). \text{ Consider the ring } \left(R\left[X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_m\right]\right)[X] \end{aligned}$

(this is the polynomial ring over the ring $R \mid X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m \mid$ in one indeterminate X). It is known that there exists an R-algebra isomorphism $\phi: \left(R \mid X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_m \mid \right) [X] \to X$ $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ such that $\phi(X) = X_i$ and $\phi(X_k) = X_k$ for every $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} \setminus \{i\}$. Hence, $\phi(X - X_j) = \underbrace{\phi(X)}_{=X_i} - \underbrace{\phi(X_j)}_{=X_j, \text{ as}} = X_i - X_j$. Since $X - X_j$ is not a zero $\underbrace{f(X_j)}_{=X_i} = \underbrace{f(X_j)}_{=X_j, \text{ as}} = \underbrace{f(X$

divisor in $\left(R\left[X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m\right]\right)[X]$ (by Lemma 4, applied to $S = R\left[X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m\right]$ and $a = X_j$, it thus follows that $\phi(X - X_j) = X_i - X_j$ is not a zero divisor in $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ (since ϕ is an R-algebra isomorphism). This proves (2).

It is known that if we choose some elements of a ring such that each of these elements is not a zero divisor, then the product of these elements is not a zero divisor. Hence, $\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,...,m\}^2;\\i>j}}(X_i-X_j) \text{ of the ring } R\left[X_1,X_2,...,X_m\right] \text{ is not}$ (2) yields that the element

a zero divisor. This proves Lemma 5.

Now comes a rather useful fact:

Theorem 6. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the ring $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ (the polynomial ring over R in m indeterminates $X_1, X_2, ..., X_m$). Then,

$$\det\left(\left((-1)^{m-j}\,\sigma_{m-j}\left(X_1,X_2,...,\widehat{X_i},...,X_m\right)\right)_{\substack{1\leq i\leq m\\1\leq i\leq m}}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,...,m\}^2;\\i>j}} (X_i-X_j).$$

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $V = (X_i^{j-1})_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}$. Then, $V \mid j \mid X_i^{j-1}$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, and

$$\det V = \det \left(\left(X_i^{j-1} \right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2;\\i > j}} (X_i - X_j)$$
 (3)

(by Theorem 3, applied to
$$S = R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$$
 and $a_i = X_i$).
Let $W = \left((-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_m \right) \right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}$. Then,

$$W\begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m \right) \text{ for every } i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}.$$

For every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, we can apply (1) to $x = X_j$ and $a_k = X_k$, and obtain

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_j - X_\ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \, \sigma_k \left(X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_m \right) X_j^{m-1-k}. \tag{4}$$

Now, for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, we have

$$(WV^{T})\begin{bmatrix} j\\ i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \underbrace{W\begin{bmatrix} k\\ i \end{bmatrix}}_{=(-1)^{m-k}\sigma_{m-k}(X_{1},X_{2},\dots,\widehat{X_{i}},\dots,X_{m})} \cdot \underbrace{V^{T}\begin{bmatrix} j\\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{=V\begin{bmatrix} k\\ j \end{bmatrix} = X_{j}^{k-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-k}\sigma_{m-k}\left(X_{1},X_{2},\dots,\widehat{X_{i}},\dots,X_{m}\right)X_{j}^{k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{k}\sigma_{k}\left(X_{1},X_{2},\dots,\widehat{X_{i}},\dots,X_{m}\right)X_{j}^{m-1-k} \qquad \text{(here, we substituted } k \text{ for } m-k \text{ in the sum)}$$

$$= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_{j} - X_{\ell}) \qquad \text{(by (4))}. \qquad (5)$$

Thus, if $j \neq i$, then $\left(WV^T\right) \left[\begin{array}{c} j \\ i \end{array}\right] = 0$ (since $\left(WV^T\right) \left[\begin{array}{c} j \\ i \end{array}\right] = \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\ldots,m\} \backslash \{i\}} \left(X_j - X_\ell\right)$, but the product $\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\ldots,m\} \backslash \{i\}} \left(X_j - X_\ell\right)$ contains the factor $X_j - X_j = 0$ and thus equals 0). Hence, the matrix WV^T is diagonal. Therefore,

$$\det \left(WV^{T}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(WV^{T}\right) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_{i} - X_{\ell})$$

$$\left(\text{since (5), applied to } j = i, \text{ yields } \left(WV^{T}\right) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_{i} - X_{\ell})\right)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{(i,\ell) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2}; \\ \ell \neq i}} (X_{i} - X_{j}) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2}; \\ j \neq i}} (X_{i} - X_{j}) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2}; \\ j > i}} (X_{i} - X_{j}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2}; \\ i > j}} (X_{i} - X_{j})$$

$$\left(\text{ since the set } \left\{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2} \mid j \neq i\} \text{ is the union of the two disjoint sets } \left\{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2} \mid j > i\} \text{ and } \left\{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2} \mid i > j\}\right\}$$

But on the other hand,

$$\det (WV^T) = \det W \cdot \det (V^T) = \det W \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i>j}} (X_i - X_j)$$

(since det
$$(V^T)$$
 = det $V = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i>j}} (X_i - X_j)$). Hence,

$$\det W \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i>j}} (X_i - X_j) = \det \left(WV^T\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j>i}} (X_i - X_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i>j}} (X_i - X_j) .$$

But since the element
$$\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}^2;\\i>j}}(X_i-X_j) \text{ of the ring } R\left[X_1,X_2,\dots,X_m\right] \text{ is not a}$$

zero divisor (according to Lemma 5), this yields

$$\det W = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j).$$

Since
$$W = \left((-1)^{m-j} \, \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X_i}, ..., X_m \right) \right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}$$
, this becomes

$$\det\left(\left((-1)^{m-j}\,\sigma_{m-j}\left(X_1,X_2,...,\widehat{X_i},...,X_m\right)\right)_{\substack{1\leq i\leq m\\1\leq i\leq m}}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,...,m\}^2;\\j>i}} (X_i-X_j).$$

Thus, Theorem 6 is proven.

Next, we show:

Theorem 7. Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1 , a_2 , ..., a_m be m elements of R. Let b_1 , b_2 , ..., b_m be m elements of R. Then,

$$\det\left(\left(\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,...,m\}\setminus\{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell)\right)^{1 \le j \le m}\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,...,m\}^2;\\i>j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)).$$

Proof of Theorem 7. Consider the ring $R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_m]$ (the polynomial ring over R in m indeterminates $X_1, X_2, ..., X_m$).

Let
$$\widetilde{V} = (a_i^{j-1})_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}$$
. Then, $\widetilde{V} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = a_i^{j-1}$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

Let
$$W = \left((-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m \right) \right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}$$
. Then,

$$W\begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_1, X_2, ..., \widehat{X}_i, ..., X_m \right) \text{ for every } i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}.$$

For every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, we can apply (1) to $x = a_j$ and $a_k = X_k$, and obtain

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \, \sigma_k \left(X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_m \right) a_j^{m-1-k}. \tag{6}$$

Now, for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, we have

$$\left(W\widetilde{V}^{T}\right) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \underbrace{W \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}}_{=(-1)^{m-k}\sigma_{m-k}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots, \widehat{X_{i}}, \dots, X_{m}\right)} \cdot \underbrace{\widetilde{V}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{=\widetilde{V} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} = a_{j}^{k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \sigma_{m-k} \left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots, \widehat{X_{i}}, \dots, X_{m}\right) a_{j}^{k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{k} \left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots, \widehat{X_{i}}, \dots, X_{m}\right) a_{j}^{m-1-k}$$
 (here, we substituted k for $m-k$ in the sum)
$$= \prod_{\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_{j} - X_{\ell})$$
 (by (6)).

Hence,

$$W\widetilde{V}^T = \left(\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell)\right)_{1 \le i \le m}^{1 \le j \le m}.$$

Thus,

$$\det\left(\underbrace{\left(\prod_{t \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}\setminus \{i\}} (a_{j}-X_{t})\right)^{1 \leq j \leq m}}_{1 \leq i \leq m}\right) = \det\left(W\widetilde{V}^{T}\right) = \det W \cdot \det\left(\widetilde{V}^{T}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{i}-X_{j}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \int_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} \left(\operatorname{since} \det W = \det\left(\left((-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} \left(X_{1},X_{2},\dots,\widehat{X_{i}},\dots,X_{m}\right)\right)^{1 \leq j \leq m}_{1 \leq i \leq m}\right) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{i}-X_{j})$$

$$= \int_{(j,i) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \int_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} ((X_{j}-X_{i}) \cdot a_{j}) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} ((a_{i}-a_{j}) \cdot (X_{j}-X_{i})) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};} (a_{i}-a_{j})$$

Both sides of this identity are polynomials over the ring R in m indeterminates $X_1, X_2, ..., X_m$. Evaluating these polynomials at $X_1 = b_1, X_2 = b_2, ..., X_m = b_m$, we obtain

$$\det\left(\left(\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}\setminus\{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell)\right) \prod_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}^2;\\i>j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)).$$

This proves Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 2. For every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{a_j - b_i} = \frac{\prod_{\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}} (a_j - b_\ell)}.$$

Hence, the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{a_j-b_i}\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}$ is what we obtain if we take the matrix $\left(\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}\backslash\{i\}}(a_j-b_\ell)\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}$ and divide its j-th column by $\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}}(a_j-b_\ell)$ for every $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}$. Therefore,

$$\det\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_{j}-b_{i}}\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right) = \frac{\det\left(\left(\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}\setminus\{i\}}(a_{j}-b_{\ell})\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right)}{\prod\limits_{j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}}\prod\limits_{\ell\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}}(a_{j}-b_{\ell})}$$

$$= \frac{\det\left(\left(\prod_{\ell\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}\setminus\{i\}}(a_{j}-b_{\ell})\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\ell\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}}(a_{j}-b_{\ell})} = \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2};\\i>j}}((a_{i}-a_{j})(b_{j}-b_{i}))}{\prod\limits_{\substack{(\ell,j)\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}^{2}}}(a_{j}-b_{\ell})}$$

(by Theorem 7). Thus, Theorem 2 is proven.

Theorem 8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ be n pairwise distinct reals. Let c be a real such that $a_i + a_j + c > 0$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}^2$. Then, the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is positive definite.

Proof of Theorem 8. Let $A = \left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le n}$. Then, $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

Thus, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix.

Define *n* reals $b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$ by $b_i = -a_i - c$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Then, $a_j \neq b_i$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}^2$ (since $a_j - b_i = a_j - (-a_i - c) = a_i + a_j + c > 0$).

Now, every $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ satisfies

$$\det\left(\left(A\begin{bmatrix}j\\i\end{bmatrix}\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right) = \det\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_{j}-b_{i}}\right)_{1\leq i\leq m}^{1\leq j\leq m}\right)$$

$$\left(\operatorname{since} A\begin{bmatrix}j\\i\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_{i}+a_{j}+c} = \frac{1}{a_{j}-(-a_{i}-c)} = \frac{1}{a_{j}-b_{i}}\right)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2};\\i>j}} ((a_{i}-a_{j})\,(b_{j}-b_{i}))$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2}\\i>j}} (a_{j}-b_{i})}{\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2}\\i>j}} (a_{i}-a_{j})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2}\\i>j}} (a_{i}+a_{j}+c)}{\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2}\\i>j}} (a_{i}+a_{j}+c)}$$

$$\left(\operatorname{since} (a_{i}-a_{j})\,(b_{j}-b_{i}) = (a_{i}-a_{j})\,((-a_{j}-c)-(-a_{i}-c)) = (a_{i}-a_{j})^{2}}{\prod_{\substack{(i,j)\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}^{2}\\and\ a_{j}-b_{i}=a_{j}-(-a_{i}-c)=a_{i}+a_{j}+c}}}\right)$$

$$> 0$$

(since $(a_i - a_j)^2 > 0$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}^2$ satisfying i > j (because $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are pairwise distinct, so that $a_i \neq a_j$, thus $a_i - a_j \neq 0$), and $a_i + a_j + c > 0$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}^2$).

Hence, according to Theorem 1, the symmetric matrix A is positive definite. Since $A = \left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n}$, this means that the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is positive definite. Thus, Theorem 8 is proven.

Corollary 9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ be n pairwise distinct reals. Let c be a real such that $a_i + a_j + c > 0$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}^2$. Let $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ be n reals. Then, the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge 0$ holds, with equality if and only if $v_1 = v_2 = ... = v_n = 0$.

Proof of Corollary 9. Define a vector
$$v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 by $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \dots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$. Then,
$$v^T \left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le n} v = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} v_i v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c}. \tag{7}$$

Also, obviously,

$$v = 0$$
 holds if and only if $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$. (8)

Now, since the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is positive definite (by Theorem 8), we have $v^T \left(\frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}\right)_{1 \le i \le n}^{1 \le j \le n} v \ge 0$, with equality if and only if v = 0. According

to (7) and (8), this means that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge 0$, with equality if and only if $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$. Thus, Corollary 9 is proven

Corollary 10. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ be n pairwise distinct reals. Let c be a real such that $a_i + a_j + c > 0$ for every $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}^2$. Let $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ be n reals. Then, the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge 1$ $-c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}\right)^{2}$ holds, with equality if and only if $(c+a_{1})w_{1}=(c+a_{2})w_{2}=$

Proof of Corollary 10. Define n reals $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ by $v_i = (c + a_i) w_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}a_{j}w_{i}w_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} - \left(-c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}a_{j}w_{i}w_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} + c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}a_{j}w_{i}w_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} + c\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i}w_{j}$$

$$\left(\operatorname{since}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i}w_{j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_{i}a_{j}w_{i}w_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} + cw_{i}w_{j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_{i}a_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} + c\right)w_{i}w_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c + a_{i})(c + a_{j})}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c}w_{i}w_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(c + a_{i})w_{i}(c + a_{j})w_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{v_{i}v_{j}}{a_{i} + a_{j} + c}$$

(since $(c + a_i) w_i = v_i$ and $(c + a_j) w_j = v_j$). Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge -c \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2 \text{ holds if and only if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge 0.$$
(9)

Also, clearly,

$$v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$$
 holds if and only if $(c + a_1) w_1 = (c + a_2) w_2 = \dots = (c + a_n) w_n = 0$.

(10)

By Corollary 9, the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge 0$ holds, with equality if and only

if
$$v_1 = v_2 = ... = v_n = 0$$
. According to (9) and (10), this means that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \ge$

$$-c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}w_{i}\right)^{2}$$
, with equality if and only if $(c+a_{1})w_{1}=(c+a_{2})w_{2}=...=(c+a_{n})w_{n}=0$. Thus, Corollary 10 is proven

0. Thus, Corollary 10 is proven.

The problem follows from Corollary 10 (applied to c = -1 and $a_i = i$).